# Second Regular Session Seventy-second General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

# **PREAMENDED**

This Unofficial Version Includes Committee Amendments Not Yet Adopted on Second Reading

LLS NO. 20-0572.03 Michael Dohr x4347

**SENATE BILL 20-181** 

## SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Lee,

## **HOUSE SPONSORSHIP**

Weissman,

## **Senate Committees**

**House Committees** 

Judiciary Appropriations

#### A BILL FOR AN ACT

101 CONCERNING MEASURES TO IMPROVE OUTCOMES FOR DEFENDANTS
102 WHO MAY BE FOUND INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED.

## **Bill Summary**

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at <a href="http://leg.colorado.gov">http://leg.colorado.gov</a>.)

Under current law, a competency report must include an opinion regarding whether the defendant can be restored to competency. In relation to that report and opinion:

! If a court within the previous 5 years has found that the defendant will not attain competency within the reasonably foreseeable future and the evaluator provides an opinion

that there is a substantial probability of attaining competency within the reasonably foreseeable future, the evaluator shall state why the defendant's circumstances are different from the prior court's finding;

! When the defendant is diagnosed with a moderate to severe intellectual or developmental disability, acquired or traumatic brain injury, or dementia that affects the defendant's ability to gain or maintain competency and the evaluator's opinion is that there is a substantial probability of attaining competency, the evaluator shall state what circumstances will reasonably change in the defendant's condition to believe the defendant will be restored to competency within the reasonably foreseeable future; and When the defendant has been found incompetent to proceed 3 or more times over the previous 3 years in the current case or any other case and even if the defendant is later restored, the evaluator shall specifically identify those instances of findings of incompetency in the report.

When the defendant's evaluation includes one of the above situations, the court shall hold a hearing, within 35 days of receiving the report, on the issue of whether there is a substantial probability that the defendant will be restored to competency within the reasonably foreseeable future. At the hearing, there is a presumption that the defendant will not attain competency within the reasonably foreseeable future. A party attempting to overcome that presumption must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that there is a substantial probability that restoration efforts will be successful within the reasonably foreseeable future.

Under current law, when a defendant is found incompetent to proceed and charged with certain offenses that are not victims' rights act crimes, the court may dismiss those the charges. The bill removes the victims' rights act crimes limitation.

When the defendant is in custody on a misdemeanor, petty offense, traffic offense, or traffic infraction and is incompetent to proceed, the court, within 7 days of the defendant being found incompetent to proceed, shall set a hearing on bond. At the bond hearing there is a presumption that the court shall order a personal recognizance bond. If the court does not order a personal recognizance bond, the court must make findings of fact that extraordinary circumstances exist to overcome the presumption of a release and the clinical recommendation for outpatient treatment by clear and convincing evidence.

When a defendant is found incompetent to proceed or where civil commitment proceedings are initiated in a municipal case, the municipal court shall dismiss the case.

The state court administrator shall appoint a 6-member committee

-2-

to review the impacts of enhanced sentencing laws on people with health conditions, including mental health, intellectual or developmental disabilities, traumatic brain injuries, and other neurocognitive health conditions such as Alzheimer's or dementia. The committee shall produce a report outlining budgetary, legislative, regulatory, and practice recommendations no later than November 15, 2020. Recommendations must include ways to help protect the safety and well-being of first responders and shall also include mechanisms to ensure people with health conditions are not unnecessarily involved in the criminal or juvenile justice systems due to unmet health needs.

1 *Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:* 2 **SECTION 1.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, 16-8.5-105, amend 3 (5)(e)(I) as follows: 4 16-8.5-105. Evaluations, locations, time frames, and report. 5 (5) On and after July 1, 2020, the competency evaluation and report must 6 include but need not be limited to: 7 (e) An opinion as to whether the defendant is competent to 8 proceed. If the opinion of the competency evaluator is that the defendant 9 is incompetent to proceed, then: 10 (I) (A) If possible, an opinion as to whether there is a substantial 11 probability that the defendant, with restoration services, will attain 12 competency within the reasonably foreseeable future; and 13 (B) When, pursuant to the requirements of subsection 14 (5)(f) OF THIS SECTION, THE EVALUATOR IS AWARE THAT ANY COURT 15 WITHIN THE PREVIOUS FIVE YEARS HAS FOUND THE DEFENDANT IS 16 INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED AND THERE IS A SUBSTANTIAL PROBABILITY 17 THAT WITH RESTORATION SERVICES THE DEFENDANT WILL NOT ATTAIN 18 COMPETENCY WITHIN THE REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE, THE 19 EVALUATOR SHALL PROVIDE AN OPINION REGARDING THE PROBABILITY OF 20 RESTORATION PURSUANT TO THIS SUBSECTION (5)(e)(I) AND, WHEN THE

-3-

OPINION IS THAT THERE IS A SUBSTANTIAL PROBABILITY OF ATTAINING
COMPETENCY WITHIN THE REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE, THE
EVALUATOR SHALL STATE WHY THE DEFENDANT'S CIRCUMSTANCES ARE
DIFFERENT FROM THE PRIOR COURT'S FINDING;

(C) When the defendant is diagnosed with a moderate to severe intellectual or developmental disability, acquired or traumatic brain injury, or dementia, which either alone or together with a co-occurring mental illness affects the defendant's ability to gain or maintain competency, the evaluator shall provide an opinion as to whether there is a substantial probability that the defendant with restoration services will attain competency within the reasonably foreseeable future. When the opinion is that there is a substantial probability of attaining competency, the evaluator shall specifically state whether the evaluator believes there are unique or different services outside the standard competency restoration curriculum developed by the department that the defendant may need in order to be restored to competency within the reasonably foreseeable future.

(D) WHEN THE DEFENDANT HAS BEEN FOUND INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED <u>PURSUANT TO SECTION 16-8.5-103</u>, THREE OR MORE TIMES OVER THE PREVIOUS THREE YEARS IN THE CURRENT CASE OR ANY OTHER CASE, EVEN IF THE DEFENDANT IS LATER RESTORED, THE EVALUATOR SHALL SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFY THOSE INSTANCES OF FINDINGS OF INCOMPETENCY AS A PART OF THE REVIEW REQUIRED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (5)(f) OF THIS SECTION. THE EVALUATOR SHALL PROVIDE AN OPINION AS TO WHETHER THERE IS A SUBSTANTIAL PROBABILITY THAT THE DEFENDANT

-4- 181

2	REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE AND MAINTAIN COMPETENCY
3	THROUGHOUT THE CASE.
4	SECTION 2. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 16-8.5-111, amend
5	(2)(a) and (2)(b)(II); and <b>add</b> (2)(a.5) as follows:
6	16-8.5-111. Procedure after determination of competency or
7	incompetency. (2) If the final determination made pursuant to section
8	16-8.5-103 is that the defendant is incompetent to proceed, the court has
9	the following options:
10	(a) If the defendant is charged with an offense as outlined in
11	section 16-8.5-116 (7), or (8), except for an offense enumerated in section
12	<del>24-4.1-302 (1),</del> and the competency evaluation has determined that the
13	defendant meets the standard for civil commitment pursuant to article 65
14	of title 27, the court may forgo any order of restoration and immediately
15	order that proceedings be initiated by the county attorney or district
16	attorney required to conduct proceedings pursuant to section 27-65-111
17	(6) for the civil commitment of the defendant and dismiss the charges
18	without prejudice in the interest of justice once civil commitment
19	proceedings have been initiated.
20	(a.5) If the evaluator has provided an opinion <u>that the</u>
21	DEFENDANT IS INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED AND THERE IS NOT A
22	SUBSTANTIAL PROBABILITY THAT THE DEFENDANT, WITH RESTORATION
23	SERVICES, WILL ATTAIN COMPETENCY WITHIN THE REASONABLY
24	FORESEEABLE FUTURE PURSUANT TO SECTION 16-8.5-105 (5)(e)(I)(B),
25	(5)(e)(I)(C), or $(5)(e)(I)(D)$ , in lieu of ordering restoration
26	TREATMENT THE COURT SHALL SET A HEARING WITHIN THIRTY-FIVE DAYS
27	OF RECEIVING THE REPORT ON THE ISSUE OF WHETHER THERE IS A

WITH RESTORATION SERVICES WILL ATTAIN COMPETENCY WITHIN THE

1

-5-

I	SUBSTANTIAL PROBABILITY THAT THE DEFENDANT WILL BE RESTORED TO
2	COMPETENCY WITHIN THE REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE AND IN THE
3	CASE OF A FINDING PURSUANT TO SECTION 16-8.5-105 (5)(e)(I)(D
4	MAINTAIN COMPETENCY THROUGH THE ADJUDICATION OF THE CASE. AT
5	THE HEARING, THERE IS A PRESUMPTION THAT THE DEFENDANT WILL NOT
6	ATTAIN COMPETENCY WITHIN THE REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE. A
7	PARTY ATTEMPTING TO OVERCOME THAT PRESUMPTION MUST PROVE BY
8	A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE THAT THERE IS A SUBSTANTIAL
9	PROBABILITY THAT RESTORATION EFFORTS WILL BE SUCCESSFUL WITHIN
10	THE REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE. AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE
11	HEARING WHEN THERE IS AN OPINION PURSUANT TO SECTION 16-8.5-105
12	(5)(e)(I)(D), IF THE COURT FINDS THAT THERE IS NOT A SUBSTANTIAL
13	PROBABILITY THAT THE DEFENDANT WILL BE RESTORED TO COMPETENCY
14	WITHIN THE REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE AND MAINTAIN
15	COMPETENCY THROUGHOUT THE CASE, THE COURT SHALL DISMISS THE
16	CASE AND MAY CONSIDER ORDERING THE INITIATION OF PROCEEDINGS
17	PURSUANT TO SECTION 16-8.5-116 (6)(b) OR (6)(c). IF THE COURT
18	DETERMINES THAT THERE IS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO MAKE AN
19	IMMEDIATE FINDING OF NO SUBSTANTIAL PROBABILITY OF RESTORATION
20	TO COMPETENCY WITHIN THE REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE, THEN
21	THE COURT SHALL ORDER RESTORATION EDUCATION FOR AN INITIAL
22	PERIOD OF TIME NOT TO EXCEED NINETY-ONE DAYS AS PROVIDED FOR IN
23	THIS SECTION AND REVIEW OF THE CASE PURSUANT TO SECTION 16-8.5-116
24	(3) AND (4). AT THE INITIAL AND SUBSEQUENT REVIEW HEARINGS, THE
25	COURT SHALL PRESUME THAT THERE IS NOT A SUBSTANTIAL PROBABILITY
26	THAT THE DEFENDANT WILL BE RESTORED TO COMPETENCY WITHIN THE
27	REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE AND MAINTAIN COMPETENCY

-6- 181

THROUGH THE ADJUDICATION OF THE CASE AND SHALL DISMISS THE CASE

UNLESS THERE IS CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT THE PERSON

HAS MADE PROGRESS TOWARD ATTAINING COMPETENCY AND CAN

MAINTAIN COMPETENCY THROUGH THE ADJUDICATION OF THE CASE. <u>IF</u>

THE CASE IS ORDERED DISMISSED, THE DEPARTMENT WILL HAVE THE SAME

OBLIGATIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 16-8.5-105 (5)(e)(I).

- (b) (II) (A) If the defendant is in custody and the recommendation is for outpatient restoration services, the court shall consider the release of the defendant on bond consistent with article 4 of this title 16 and the Colorado rules of criminal procedure.
- (B) As a condition of bond, the court shall order that the restoration take place on an outpatient basis. Pursuant to section 27-60-105, the department through the office of behavioral health is the entity responsible for the oversight of restoration education and coordination of all competency restoration services. As a condition of release for outpatient restoration services, the court may require pretrial services, if available, to work with the department and the restoration services provider under contract with the department to assist in securing appropriate support and care management services, which may include housing resources. The individual agency responsible for providing outpatient restoration services for the defendant shall notify the court or other designated agency within twenty-one days if restoration services have not commenced.
- (C) WHEN THE DEFENDANT IS IN CUSTODY ON A MISDEMEANOR, PETTY OFFENSE, OR TRAFFIC OFFENSE, THE COURT, WITHIN SEVEN DAYS OF THE DEFENDANT BEING FOUND INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED, SHALL SET A HEARING ON BOND. IF THE DEFENDANT IS NOT ORDERED RELEASED ON A

-7-

1	PERSONAL RECOGNIZANCE BOND AT THE TIME OF THE FINDING OF
2	INCOMPETENCY, THE COURT SHALL APPOINT A COURT LIAISON TO ASSIST
3	IN A PLAN FOR RELEASE AND NOTIFY THE FORENSIC SUPPORT TEAM THAT
4	THE DEFENDANT IS LIKELY TO BE RELEASED AT THE BOND HEARING SO
5	THAT THEY MAY COORDINATE WITH THE BRIDGES LIAISON TO CREATE A
6	DISCHARGE PLAN CONSISTENT WITH THE PLAN DESCRIBED IN SECTION
7	16-8.5-105(1)(d). At the bond hearing there is a presumption that
8	THE COURT SHALL ORDER A PERSONAL RECOGNIZANCE BOND. IF THE
9	COURT DOES NOT ORDER A PERSONAL RECOGNIZANCE BOND AND THE
10	DEFENDANT IS COMMITTED FOR INPATIENT RESTORATION, THE COURT
11	MUST MAKE FINDINGS OF FACT THAT EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES
12	EXIST TO OVERCOME THE PRESUMPTION OF A RELEASE AND THE CLINICAL
13	RECOMMENDATION FOR OUTPATIENT TREATMENT BY CLEAR AND
14	CONVINCING EVIDENCE.
15	<del></del>
16	SECTION 3. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 16-8.5-116, amend
17	(7)(a)(I); and add (15) as follows:
18	16-8.5-116. Certification - reviews - termination of
19	<b>proceedings - rules.</b> (7) At any review hearing held concerning the
20	defendant's competency to proceed, the court shall dismiss the charges
21	against the defendant and release the defendant from confinement, subject
22	to the provisions of subsection (10) of this section, if:
23	(a) The defendant:
24	(I) Is charged with a misdemeanor, a misdemeanor drug offense,
25	or a petty offense, except for those offenses enumerated in section
26	
20	<del>24-4.1-302 (1)</del> <u>OR A TRAFFIC OFFENSE;</u>

-8-

1	(15) When the defendant is charged with an offense in
2	MUNICIPAL COURT, AND THE DEFENDANT IS FOUND INCOMPETENT TO
3	PROCEED, OR WHEN CIVIL COMMITMENT PROCEEDINGS ARE INITIATED
4	PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 65 OF TITLE 27, THE MUNICIPAL COURT SHALL
5	DISMISS THE CASE.
6	
7	<b>SECTION 4.</b> Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds,
8	determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate
9	preservation of the public peace, health, or safety.

-9-