

FINAL FISCAL NOTE

Nonpartisan Services for Colorado's Legislature

Drafting Number: LLS 20-0794 Date: May 8, 2020

Rep. Sandridge Bill Status: Postponed Indefinitely **Prime Sponsors:**

Fiscal Analyst: Katie Ruedebusch | 303-866-3001

Katie.Ruedebusch@state.co.us

INTERLOCUTORY APPEALS IN FORCIBLE ENTRY AND DETAINER **Bill Topic:**

Summary of **Fiscal Impact:**

State Expenditure

□ State Transfer

□ TABOR Refund

□ Statutory Public Entity

This bill would have allowed the appellate courts to hear interlocutory appeals or an appeal of an eviction-related order while other parts of the case are still being considered. This bill would have increased Court of Appeals filing fee revenue and state expenditures on an ongoing basis.

Appropriation Summary:

In FY 2020-21, the bill would have required an appropriation of \$319,587 to the

Judicial Department.

Fiscal Note Status:

The fiscal note reflects the introduced bill. This bill was not enacted into law;

therefore, the impacts identified in this analysis do not take effect.

Table 1 State Fiscal Impacts Under HB 20-1134

		FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22
Revenue		-	-
Expenditures	General Fund	\$319,587	\$282,806
	Centrally Appropriated	\$60,367	\$71,265
	Total	\$379,954	\$354,071
	Total FTE	2.4 FTE	3.0 FTE
Transfers		-	-
TABOR Refund		-	-

Summary of Legislation

Under eviction law, the court may enter an order on the issue of restitution of the premises which restores, or denies to restore, possession of the property to the property owner. This may be ordered while other claims are under judicial consideration. Under current law, it is unclear if an appellate court can hear an interlocutory appeal of just the order on restitution of the premises. This bill allows the appellate courts to hear interlocutory appeals or an appeal of this order while other parts of the case are still being considered. Lastly, this bill specifies that a tenant must continue to pay rent during the appeal.

Background and Assumptions

In the last three years, 210 forcible entry and detainer cases contained a notice to appeal, or 70 cases per year. This fiscal note assumes that the trial courts will hear an additional 140 cases per year under the bill. Interlocutory appeals require a full appellate review and this fiscal note assumes judicial officers spend eight hours per appeal.

In addition, the Court of Appeals heard a total of 377 district court filings in 2019. This fiscal note assumes that the bill will result in a 10 percent increase in district court case appeals to the Court of Appeals.

State Revenue

Beginning in FY 2020-21, this bill increases state cash fund revenue from Court of Appeals filing fees. However, this fiscal note assumes a large percentage of indigence related to new filings. The high rate of indigence will result in a minimal increase in filing fees. For informational purposes the Court of Appeals filing fee is \$223. Filing fee revenue is subject to TABOR.

State Expenditures

The bill increases state General Fund expenditures in the Judicial Department by \$379,954 in FY 2020-21 and \$354,071 in FY 2021-22 and in future fiscal years. These costs are shown in Table 2 and discussed below.

Table 2 Expenditures Under HB 20-1134

		FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22
Judicial Department			
Personal Services		\$206,683	\$275,581
Operating Expenses		\$5,225	\$5,225
Capital Outlay Costs		\$107,679	\$2,000
Centrally Appropriated Costs*		\$60,367	\$71,265
	Total Cost	\$379,954	\$354,071
	Total FTE	2.4 FTE	3.0 FTE

^{*} Centrally appropriated costs are not included in the bill's appropriation.

Judicial Department. The Judicial Department requires 3.0 FTE, as described below.

- Trial courts. The trial courts in the Judicial Department require 0.5 FTE of a magistrate to handle the additional 140 expected new cases. This magistrate requires 1.5 FTE for support staff for a court clerk, law clerk, and court reporter. Standard operating and capital costs are included. Also, adding magistrate FTE results in costs for law library materials, travel, and facility costs for courtroom buildout and furnishings, which total \$81,279. First-year costs are prorated to reflect the General Fund paydate shift and to reflect the September effective date.
- Court of Appeals. The Court of Appeals requires 1.0 FTE staff attorney to handle an additional
 40 new appeal cases. As discussed above, the Court of Appeals can handle 20 appeals cases
 within existing resources. Should the bill result in more than 40 new appeals cases, this fiscal
 note assumes that any additional funding will be requested through the annual budget process
 in future years.

Centrally appropriated costs. Pursuant to a Joint Budget Committee policy, certain costs associated with this bill are addressed through the annual budget process and centrally appropriated in the Long Bill or supplemental appropriations bills, rather than in this bill. These costs, which include employee insurance and supplemental employee retirement payments, are estimated to be \$60,367 in FY 2020-21 and \$71,265 in FY 2021-22.

Local Government

The bill may increase revenue, costs and workload for the Denver County Court, which is managed and funded by the City and County of Denver.

Effective Date

This bill was postponed indefinitely by the House Judiciary Committee on February 11, 2020.

State Appropriations

In FY 2020-21, the bill requires a General Fund appropriation of \$319,587 to the Judicial Department and 2.4 FTE.

State and Local Government Contacts

Judicial