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## Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations

Audit Division - Office of the State Auditor Local Government Audit Division. The division within the Office of the State Auditor that monitors local governments' compliance with the Local Government Audit Law.

Audit Law - Local Government Audit Law, Section 29-1-601 et seq., C.R.S. The statute that outlines requirements for Colorado local governments to obtain an annual audit of their financial statements to be conducted by a certified public accountant.

CDE - Colorado Department of Education. The state agency that serves as the administrative arm for the Colorado State Board of Education. The Department is responsible for oversight of school districts and K-12 public education across Colorado.

Colorado Public School Finance Act - The Public School Finance Act, Section 29-54-101 et seq., C.R.S. The statute that outlines the formula used to determine state and local funding amounts for the State's 178 school districts and the Charter School Institute.

FTE - Full-time equivalent. An FTE of 1.0 means that the person is equivalent to a full-time worker, while an FTE of 0.5 signals that the worker is only half-time.

GAAP - Generally accepted accounting principles. Principles established by the accounting profession that establish standards for financial reporting and disclosure.

K-12 - Kindergarten through twelfth grade.
OSA - Office of the State Auditor, State of Colorado.
PPOR - Per pupil operating revenue.
TABOR - Taxpayer's Bill of Rights, Article X, Section 20, of the Colorado Constitution.
Tax Abatement - An official reduction or invalidation of an assessed valuation after the initial assessment for ad valorem taxation has been completed.

## Financial Ratios:

ASR - Asset Sufficiency Ratio. The ratio indicates whether the school district's total assets are adequate to cover all of its obligations or amounts owed. This ratio divides general fund total assets by general fund total liabilities.

DBR - Debt Burden Ratio. The ratio indicates whether the school district's annual revenue will cover its annual debt payments including principal and interest. This ratio divides total government revenue of funds paying debt by total governmental debt payments.

ORR - Operating Reserve Ratio. The ratio indicates the school district's reserve to cover future expenditures. This ratio divides fund balance of the general fund by total general fund expenditures (net of transfers).

OMR - Operating Margin Ratio. The ratio indicates the amount added to the school district's reserves for every $\$ 1$ generated in revenue. This ratio subtracts general fund total expenditures (net of transfers) from general fund total revenue and divides by general fund total revenues.

DFBR - Deficit Fund Balance Ratio. The ratio indicates the portion of annual revenue the school district must generate simply to cover an existing deficit fund balance in a governmental fund. This ratio is only calculated when a net deficit fund balance exists. This ratio subtracts the fund balance of the general fund, if the balance is positive, from the total deficit fund balances (shown as an absolute value) and divides the total by the total revenue in the deficit funds.

CFBR - Change in Fund Balance Ratio. The ratio indicates whether the school district's reserves in its general fund are increasing or decreasing. This ratio subtracts the prior year fund balance of the general fund from the current year fund balance and divides by the prior year fund balance.

# Fiscal Health Analysis of Colorado School Districts 

## Background

This report provides information on the Fiscal Health Analysis of the State's school districts performed by the Local Government Audit Division (Audit Division) of the Office of the State Auditor (OSA). This is the second year that the OSA has prepared a Fiscal Health Analysis Report of school districts. The Fiscal Health Analysis provides a set of financial indicators for each school district that may be used by the Colorado Department of Education (CDE), school districts, local government officials, and citizens to evaluate the financial health of Colorado's school districts. These financial indicators can warn of financial stress that may require examination and remedial action by the appropriate parties.

In Colorado, 178 school districts provide public education to more than 800,000 children enrolled in kindergarten through twelfth grade (K-12). Funding for each school district's total program is provided first by local sources of revenue, primarily through a property tax levy to finance the district's local share. The General Assembly appropriates additional funding to supplement local revenue in order to fully fund the district's program. This additional funding is based on a formula under the Colorado Public School Finance Act that considers, in part, the school district's annual pupil count, as well as the district's local share of revenues. In Fiscal Year 2009, the General Assembly provided more than \$3 billion in general funds to school districts as the state share of districts' total program funding.

## Roles of CDE and the Audit Division

CDE and the OSA's Audit Division have different, yet complementary, roles in providing support to school districts across Colorado. CDE is responsible for overseeing and monitoring districts, including their financial operations, whereas the Audit Division is responsible for ensuring that local governments comply with the Local Government Audit Law (Audit Law), as described below.

## Role of CDE

As the administrative arm of the Colorado State Board of Education, CDE is responsible for overseeing $\mathrm{K}-12$ education on a statewide basis. This
responsibility includes supervising many aspects of school district administration and accreditation. Accreditation requires school districts to comply with many regulations and policies, such as ensuring that students meet state academic standards and are prepared for post-secondary education. Accreditation also requires compliance with financial and audit requirements.

Accreditation assesses the quality of education and learning in the public schools and administration of school districts. In 2003, Senate Bill 03-248 added a financial component to CDE's accreditation assessment of Colorado school districts that linked districts' accreditation to their compliance with statutorily required budget and accounting policies. CDE also considers the OSA Fiscal Health Analysis in the accreditation assessment. Failure to comply with accreditation requirements may result in removal of accreditation and reorganization of the district. For more information on accreditation, see CDE's website at http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdefinance/Accreditation.htm.

## Role of the OSA Audit Division

OSA's Audit Division ensures that Colorado's local governments, including school districts, provide current financial reporting, as required by the Audit Law (Section 29-1-601 et seq., C.R.S.). The Audit Law requires local governments to contract with independent certified public accountants for annual audits of their financial statements.

School districts are required to complete their financial statement audits within five months following the end of the fiscal year and to submit their audit reports to the OSA within 30 days of completion. If a school district cannot meet the deadline, it may file for an extension of up to 60 days. If a district does not submit its audit report by the statutory deadline, the OSA has the authority to direct the county treasurer to prohibit the release of all property taxes collected on behalf of the school district until a satisfactory audit is submitted.

Once the school district submits its audit report, the Audit Division reviews the report for deficiencies, contacts the auditor or the school district for further information (if needed), and prepares a letter to the school district and its auditor if deficiencies are found. A deficiency may be related to noncompliance with statutory requirements, such as failure to adopt a budget, or noncompliance with generally accepted accounting principles, such as the requirement that the audit report include both budgetary and actual information for certain funds.

## Development and Description of the Fiscal Health Analysis

The Audit Division’s Fiscal Health Analysis is composed of a set of financial indicators by which to assess the financial health of Colorado school districts. The Audit Division first developed these indicators by researching school district analyses conducted by other states, state agencies, and public accounting firms and developed a set of financial health ratios appropriate to school districts. These ratios, when tracked over time, offer trend information that can warn of potential financial deterioration in a particular school district. The Fiscal Health Analysis uses a three-year period to evaluate trends.

The Fiscal Health Analysis focuses on the areas of highest risk for school districts. Accordingly, the analysis focuses primarily on each school district's general fund, because this fund accounts for state funding and local property tax revenues received and expended for operations and discretionary items. The analysis also focuses on the school districts' debt and includes any fund balance deficits. For the purpose of this analysis, we excluded proprietary funds, such as the school lunch program, because school districts can usually address deficits in these funds through increases in charges.

## Financial Ratios and Indicators

The Fiscal Health Analysis uses six ratios to assess school districts’ financial health. Following are general descriptions of the six ratios, together with the associated warning trends that are indicators of potential financial stress when evaluated over a three-year period. Appendix A contains further information on each ratio and the calculation of warning indicators.

## Ratio 1: Asset Sufficiency Ratio (ASR)

The ratio indicates whether the school district's total assets are adequate to cover all of its obligations or amounts owed. This ratio divides general fund total assets by general fund total liabilities.

Formula:
General fund total assets
General fund total liabilities

Warning indicator: A consistent deficit in assets’ adequacy to meet obligations over the three-year period.

## Ratio 2: Debt Burden Ratio (DBR)

The ratio indicates whether the school district's annual revenue will cover its annual debt payments, including principal and interest. This ratio divides total governmental revenue of fund(s) paying debt by total governmental debt payments.

Formula:

## Total governmental revenue of fund(s) paying debt Total governmental debt payments

Warning indicator: Annual revenues consistently below the annual debt payment for each of the three years.

## Ratio 3: Operating Reserve Ratio (ORR)

The ratio indicates the school district's reserve to cover future expenditures. This ratio divides fund balance of the general fund by total general fund expenditures (net of transfers).

Formula:
Fund balance of the general fund
Total general fund expenditures (net of transfers)
Warning indicator: A reserve that covers less than one week of future expenditures, which is the equivalent of .0192 , or $1 / 52$, for each of the three years.

## Ratio 4: Operating Margin Ratio (OMR)

The ratio indicates the amount added to the school district's reserves for every \$1 generated in revenue. This ratio subtracts general fund total expenditures (net of transfers) from general fund total revenue and divides by general fund total revenue.

Formula:
General fund total revenue - (general fund total expenditures, net of transfers)
General fund total revenue
Warning indicator: A loss in reserves for each of the three years.

## Ratio 5: Deficit Fund Balance Ratio (DFBR)

This ratio indicates the portion of annual revenue the school district must generate simply to cover an existing deficit fund balance in a governmental fund. This ratio is only calculated when a net deficit fund balance exists. This ratio subtracts the fund balance of the general fund, if the balance is positive, from the total deficit fund balances (shown as an absolute value) and divides the total by the total revenue in the deficit funds.

Formula:
Total [absolute value] deficit fund balance(s) - positive fund balance of the general fund
Total revenue in deficit fund balance(s)

Warning indicator: The portion of annual revenue needed to cover the deficit fund balance is increasing over the three-year period.

## Ratio 6: Change in Fund Balance Ratio (CFBR)

The ratio indicates whether the school district's reserves in its general fund are increasing or decreasing. This ratio subtracts the prior year fund balance of the general fund from the current year fund balance and divides by the prior year fund balance.

Formula:
Current year fund balance of the general fund - prior year fund balance
Prior year fund balance of the general fund

Warning indicator: Consistent decreases in reserves.

## Trend Analysis

The purpose of the Audit Division's trend analysis is to identify school districts whose ratios indicate a warning trend over the three-year period. The Audit Division established this analysis as a baseline to see how school districts are performing financially over the period through the latest audited fiscal year, which, for this report, is the year ending June 30, 2009. This analysis is conducted annually and examines the most current rolling three-year period for which audited financial statements are available. CDE has stated that even though it receives and reviews information on a more detailed basis prior to the release of the Fiscal Health Analysis, the multiple-year view of a district’s fiscal health is valuable for the Department's analysis. The Fiscal Health Analysis
provides a listing of districts with two or more warning indicators, showing trends that might not have surfaced in CDE's review of prior year financial data.

The Fiscal Health Analysis has some limitations when identifying financial stress with a school district. First, the analysis does not highlight school districts that show a warning indicator for only one or two of the three years included in the review. For example, one school district has been on CDE financial accreditation watch since 2006 because of several factors, including deficit fund balances, expenditures in excess of budgeted amounts, and failure to comply with TABOR. Our analysis did not identify a warning trend for this district because only the first year of the three-year period showed a deficit fund balance. However, because of the severity of the issues identified, CDE has continued the financial accreditation watch to provide further oversight and support. This is the only district that CDE currently has on financial accreditation watch.

Second, the analysis does not take into consideration any current budgetary actions the district has taken that may affect the school district's financial condition. For example, if the district has significantly cut expenditures in Fiscal Year 2010, the changes would not appear until the actual results were reported at the end of the year in the 2010 audited financial statements. These financial statements will not be due to the Audit Division until December 2010. Finally, since the analysis is based on historical data, it does not consider school districts' financial condition at the current point in time, nor does it reflect recent actions a school district might have taken that will have an effect in future years.

## Evaluation of the School Districts

Our Fiscal Health Analysis revealed that of the State's 178 school districts, 49 had one or more warning indicators. Of these 49 school districts, 30 districts had one warning indicator and 19 districts had two warning indicators. The following table demonstrates the number of school districts with indicators based on the analysis of the three-year period ending June 30, 2009:

| State of Colorado Fiscal Health Analysis School Districts With Warning Indicators <br> For the Three-Year Period Ending June 30, 2009 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Health Ratio | Number of Districts with Warning Indicator ${ }^{1}$ | Prior Analysis ${ }^{2}$ |
| Ratio 1: Asset Sufficiency Ratio | 0 | 2 |
| Ratio 2: Debt Burden Ratio | 7 | 7 |
| Ratio 3: Operating Reserve Ratio | 0 | 2 |
| Ratio 4: Operating Margin Ratio | 40 | 33 |
| Ratio 5: Deficit Fund Balance Ratio | 0 | 0 |
| Ratio 6: Change in Fund Balance Ratio | 21 | 17 |
| Total Indicators | 68 | 61 |
| Total Districts With One or More Indicators | 49 | 43 |
| Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from audited financial statements submitted by school districts. <br> ${ }^{1}$ Some districts had indicators in more than one category. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Number of districts with indicators in prior analysis which covered the three-year period ending June 30, 2008. |  |  |

The table shows that most of the warning indicators occurred in the operating margin and change in fund balance ratios. These ratios are designed to identify growth or decline in school districts’ operating margin or reserves. A warning indicator identifies a decline over the three-year period, which could result from a deliberate spending down of fund balance to supplement operations, planned capital project expenditures that used reserves established for that purpose, or a reduction in state funding.

The presence of one or more fiscal health warning indicator may not mean that a school district is facing financial stress. Nonetheless, a warning indicator should prompt further examination by the decision makers of the school district to determine what led to the indicator. The more indicators a school district has, the more likely it is to be experiencing financial stress. Continued financial stress could cause a school district to reduce or eliminate programs and jobs and may affect the quality of education.

## School Districts with Two Indicators

Our analysis identified 19 school districts with two warning indicators. No district had more than two indicators for this period. The Audit Division discussed these warning indicators with the school districts to obtain information about potential financial stress and the steps the districts are taking to correct the situation. Appendix B provides further information regarding the 19 school districts with two warning indicators, including explanations from the districts.

Current economic conditions have adversely affected districts of all sizes and geographic areas across the state. The map in Appendix C shows the districts that have been identified with two indicators and where they are located. The map illustrates that these districts are located in metropolitan as well as rural areas. Further, the chart below demonstrates that the number of pupils in each district varies widely. Large and small districts are showing signs of financial stress.


Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data obtained from the Colorado Department of Education.

## School Districts with Increased Signs of Financial Stress

Of the 19 school districts with two warning indicators, 15 school districts had an increase in the number of warning indicators from the prior year. We will discuss
the remaining four in the next section. Warning indicators for these 15 districts occurred in the operating margin, change in fund balance, and debt burden ratios.

- The following nine school districts (with respective counties) went from zero to two warning indicators from the prior reporting period:

```
o Brighton 27-J (Adams, Broomfield, Weld)
o Buena Vista R-31 (Chaffee)
o DeBeque 49JT (Garfield, Mesa)
o Durango 9-R (La Plata)
o Eagle County RE-50 (Eagle, Garfield, Routt)
o La Veta RE-2 (Huerfano)
o Roaring Fork RE-1 (Eagle, Garfield, Pitkin)
o Stratton R-4 (Kit Carson)
o Vilas RE-5 (Baca)
```

- The following six school districts (with respective counties) went from one to two warning indicators from the prior reporting period:

```
o Hayden RE-1 (Routt)
o Huerfano RE-1 (Huerfano)
o Littleton 6 (Arapahoe)
o Manzanola 3J (Crowley, Otero)
o Platte Valley RE-3 (Sedgwick)
o Rocky Ford R-2 (Otero)
```

Overall the 15 districts’ explanations regarding their two warning indicators centered around three distinct themes: (1) districts have been spending down their fund balance for various planned expenditures, (2) property tax revenues have declined, and (3) districts have incurred costs for capital improvements.

Spending down fund balance. Four school districts (Eagle County RE-50, La Veta RE-2, Littleton 6, and Rocky Ford R-2) indicated that they were deliberately spending down the fund balance in their general fund. District superintendents and the local boards of education at Eagle County RE-50, La Veta RE-2, and Littleton 6 reported that they purposely built up reserves over time. Rocky Ford R-2 used fund balance for necessary items, such as a dishwasher and textbook replacements, when existing revenues did not cover expenditures.

Decline in property tax revenues. Four school districts (Durango 9-R, Eagle County RE-50, Huerfano RE-1, and Stratton R-4) had experienced a reduction in property tax revenues. Two of the districts had unexpected tax abatements. Durango 9-R and Huerfano RE-1 did not receive a portion of property tax revenues from their respective counties due to tax abatements. Eagle County RE50 indicated that the reduction in its property tax revenue was due to Eagle

County's inability to collect property taxes from several large real estate developers. In total, the tax abatements and uncollected property taxes amounted to approximately $\$ 3.2$ million in lost property tax revenue to these districts. However, the districts recouped some of the revenue through a tax lien sale or a mill levy adjustment that included the abated taxes.

Capital improvements. Seven school districts (Buena Vista R-31, Hayden RE-1, La Veta RE-2, Platte Valley RE-3, Rocky Ford R-2, Roaring Fork RE-1, and Stratton R-4) indicated that major capital improvement projects were in process during the three-year period of the analysis. The seven districts had capital improvement projects funded in part with grants and donations; however, the districts were also either contributing matching funds or using district reserves to fund the projects. Types of projects included building renovations and new building construction.

## Other Reasons for Indicators

In addition, four school districts (Brighton 27-J, DeBeque 49JT, Manzanola 3J, and Vilas RE-5) indicated various other reasons for their negative indicators. Brighton 27-J had a large increase in students combined with a decline in per pupil revenue. Vilas RE-5 paid moneys back to CDE because of problems identified during CDE pupil count audits. Manzanola 3J and DeBeque 49JT cited declining student enrollment.

Although there are various explanations behind why these school districts are experiencing financial stress, they reported that they are rectifying the problems in similar ways. All of these school districts have had to make cuts to their annual budgets by a combination of eliminating jobs or cutting back educational programs. Some school districts are also planning to supplement their revenue by spending down their existing fund balance or seeking a mill levy override in November 2010.

## School Districts with Same Indicators as in the Prior Analysis

Of the 19 school districts with two warning indicators, four school districts repeated the same two warning indicators from the prior year. Warning indicators occurred in operating margin and change in fund balance.

- Hoehne Reorganized 3 school district indicated in our prior report that a decline in student enrollment, increased maintenance costs, and debt payments made from the general fund were the underlying causes of the warning indicators. This year, Hoehne cited a reduction in state funding as the underlying cause. Prior year actions included efforts to increase enrollment, secure grants, and staff reductions. This year, Hoehne has
made reductions to its budget in the areas of administration, sports programs, and transportation and instituted a hiring freeze.
- Lewis Palmer 38 school district indicated for both this year's and last year's reports that the cause of the warning indicators was a history of deficit spending in response to growing fund balances prior to the Fiscal Year 2007 school year. Efforts in the prior year included unsuccessful attempts to pass a mill levy override, as well as planned budget reductions. This year Lewis Palmer reported that the board cut approximately \$3 million from the Fiscal Year 2010 budget. Preliminary results indicate that Fiscal Year 2010 revenues exceeded expenditures, and the Fiscal Year 2011 budget projects an increase in fund balance.
- Pritchett RE-3 school district indicated in the prior year's report that it had intentionally built up its fund balance in previous years. The district has been utilizing the fund balance to supplement revenues in an environment of declining enrollment. Prior year actions included cutting costs. This year Pritchett indicated that it will continue to cut costs and also prepare the community for a potential ballot question in the fall to consider a mill levy override.
- Gilcrest/Weld RE-1 indicated in both last year's and this year's reports that the reasons for the warning indicators included a deliberate spenddown of fund balance, capital improvements, and a freshman laptop initiative. The district reported in both years that the board has taken steps to stabilize the fund balance by reducing capital expenditures, reducing staff, and a planned 13 percent reduction in total expenditures in Fiscal Year 2011.


## CDE Actions:

The Public School Finance Unit of the Department of Education has two main functions in regard to school district financial activities: 1) to provide technical assistance to school districts regarding complex funding formulas and reporting requirements; and 2) to monitor compliance with budgeting, accounting, and reporting requirements related to school district financial accreditation. Each district's audited financial statement is reviewed for compliance, and a "Financial Accreditation Report" is collected from each school district for the purpose of assuring compliance with approximately thirty (30) statutory requirements. If any areas of non-compliance are identified, a plan of corrective action is required to be provided by the district. If the violations are significant, further action is taken by the Department with respect to financial accreditation. This process has worked well to ensure that local boards of education and district administration work together to establish the corrective action plan.

Of the school districts identified in this year's fiscal health analysis with two warning indicators, there were two school districts where the Department had identified areas of non-compliance. Brighton 27-J School District in Adams County and Manzanola 3J School District in Otero County were put on notice in March 2010 that they were in possible violation and could be placed on financial accreditation watch. Both districts were required to submit a corrective action plan and specific financial information for Fiscal Year 2010, which they did within the time frame specified. Following the Department's review of the information, both districts were informed that they would not be subject to financial accreditation watch interventions. The Department will be reviewing the districts’ Fiscal Year 2010 audited financials to determine if the corrective action plans for Fiscal Year 2010 were actually put in place. The Department will be paying particular attention to the items identified in the districts' corrective action plans and may continue to monitor the districts’ financial reporting and automated data exchange submission process.

The Fiscal Health Analysis identified few districts in a financial position that poses concern. Even though there is some indication of spending down reserves, it is not a widespread practice on a consistent basis. Use of reserves will likely increase in Fiscal Year 2010 through Fiscal Year 2012 due to the state’s economic condition and the funding reductions being implemented.

The information included in this report provides trending data that helps the Department focus on districts headed for potential financial difficulties. Early indicators are always useful when providing technical assistance to such districts.

## Follow Up From the Prior Year

Our 2009 report identified 13 school districts with two warning indicators. With the exception of the four districts discussed above, these school districts showed signs of improvement in this year's Fiscal Health Analysis. Six school districts decreased from two indicators to zero; three school districts decreased from two indicators to one.

In our 2009 report, Centennial School District No. R1 in Costilla County was highlighted with having four warning indicators, and Branson Reorganized School District No. RE-82 in Las Animas County was highlighted with three warning indicators. Both school districts have improved their financial situation enough to not trigger any warning indicators for this year's analysis.

- Centennial School District had warning indicators in the areas of asset sufficiency, operating reserves, operating margin, and change in fund balance. CDE reported providing extensive monitoring of Centennial School District finances and other requirements imposed on the district.

Our 2009 report outlined that in November 2008, the State Board of Education provided a loan to the district through the Public School Fund Contingency Reserve that was to be fully repaid prior to June 30, 2010. The extended repayment timeline was contingent on the district entering into a Memorandum of Understanding with the CDE. CDE continues to monitor and work with Centennial School District on the implementation of the November 2008 Memorandum of Understanding related to compliance and accreditation and terms of repayment of the contingency reserve loan.

- Branson had warning indicators in the areas of asset sufficiency, operating reserves, and change in fund balance. Our 2009 report outlined that CDE had conducted a pupil count audit that resulted in the district's owing CDE more than $\$ 600,000$ for overstated pupil counts. CDE structured terms enabling Branson to repay the amount due, interest free, at any time before April 25, 2016. Branson has planned expenditure reductions over the next several years in an effort to build its fund balance to pay the audit liability by 2016 .


## Conclusion

Financial trend analysis is an important analytical tool because it serves as an early warning system for CDE, the school districts, and citizens in identifying areas of concern. The analysis allows CDE and school district officials to take prompt action when there is an indication of financial stress. Failure to take appropriate action could lead to further decline in the financial health of the district and may cause a district's accreditation to be compromised.
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Appendix A

> Appendix A
> School District Fiscal Health Analysis
> Understanding the Fiscal Health Ratios and Indicators

The following table provides a description of the ratios, calculations, benchmarks, and warning indicators:

|  | Ratio | Calculations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Asset <br> Sufficiency <br> Ratio <br> (ASR) | General fund total assets General fund total liabilities |
| 2 | Debt <br> Burden <br> Ratio <br> (DBR) | $\frac{\text { Total governmental revenue of fund(s) paying debt }}{\text { Total governmental debt payments }}$ |
| 3 | Operating <br> Reserve <br> Ratio <br> (ORR) | Fund balance of the general fund <br> Total general fund expenditures +/- Net transfers |
| 4 | Operating <br> Margin <br> Ratio <br> (OMR) | General fund total revenue - (general fund total expenditures $+/$ - Net transfers) General fund total revenues |
| 5 | Deficit <br> Fund <br> Balance <br> Ratio <br> (DFBR) | Total [absolute value] deficit fund balance(s) - fund balance of the general fund, if positive <br> Total revenue in deficit fund balance(s) <br> *This ratio is only calculated when the numerator is positive, or a net deficit fund balance exists in governmental funds. |
| 6 | Change in <br> Fund <br> Balance <br> Ratio <br> (CFBR) | Current Year fund balance of the general fund - prior year fund balance Prior year general fund balance |

Appendix A<br>School District Fiscal Health Analysis<br>Understanding the Fiscal Health Ratios and Indicators

| Description | Benchmark | Warning Indicators |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicates the coverage of general fund assets to general fund liabilities. | An ASR of 1 would indicate that total assets equals total liabilities | ASR < 1.0 (liabilities exceed assets) for 2007 with declines in all subsequent years | Decline in ASR ratios for 2008 and 2009, with 2009 ratio < 1.0 |
| Indicates the coverage of revenue of fund(s) paying debt service to the annual principal and interest payments, including leases. | A DBR of 1 would indicate that debt service equals the annual revenue of the fund supporting the debt | DBR < 1.0 (debt service exceeds revenue) for 2007, 2008 and 2009 | Decrease in DBR ratios for 2008 and 2009, with 2009 $<1.0$ |
| Indicates the amount the general fund ending fund balance will cover of the current year general fund expenditures, including transfers. | An ORR of . 0192 (1/52, or one week) equates to one week of reserves for current expenditures and transfers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORR < . } 0192 \text { for } \\ & 2007,2008 \text { and } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Decrease in ORR } \\ & \text { for } 2008 \text { and 2009, } \\ & \text { with } 2009 \\ & <.0192 \end{aligned}$ |
| Indicates the amount added to reserves for every $\$ 1$ in total general fund gross revenue. | An OMR of 0.01 would indicate that $\$ .01$ would result in net income for every $\$ 1$ produced in gross revenue | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OMR }<0.00 \text { for } \\ & 2007,2008 \text { and } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | Decrease in OMR for 2008 and 2009, with $2009<0.00$ |
| Indicates the portion of annual revenue required to cover the deficit fund balance in a governmental fund (in excess of the deficit covered by the existing fund balance of the general fund) Only calculated when a net deficit fund balance exists in governmental funds. | An increasing DFBR indicates a greater length of time each year to cover the deficit fund balance with existing revenue in the subsequent years. | Deficit fund balances for 2007, 2008 and 2009 | Increase in DFBR, for 2008 and 2009 |
| Indicates the change in the fund balance of the general fund from one year to the next in relationship to the prior year fund balance | A CFBR of 0 would indicate that the fund balance had not changed from the prior year | CFBR < 0 for 2007,2008 and 2009, with the 2009 fund balance $<0$ (i.e., a negative fund balance) | Decrease in CFBR for 2008 and 2009, with the 2009 fund balance < the 2007 beginning fund balance |
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## Appendix B

Three-Year Period Ending June 30, 2009

|  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{4}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | School District Name | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OMR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DFBR } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFBR } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Adams | Brighton 27-J School District | 2007 | 1.47 | 8.50 | 0.1099 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.95 |
| Broomfield |  | 2008 | 1.41 | 8.00 | 0.0743 | -0.02 | 0 | -0.18 |
| Weld | District pupil count: 13,711 | 2009 | 1.11 | 6.85 | 0.0235 | -0.04 | 0 | -0.64 |


| Prior Year Analysis | $\underline{ }$ Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 1.39 | 0.90 | 0.0644 | 0.04 | 0 | 2.23 |  |
|  | 2007 | 1.47 | 1.26 | 0.1099 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.95 |
|  | 2008 | 1.41 | 2.23 | 0.0743 | -0.02 | 0 | -0.18 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
Brighton 27-J School District: The district spent more money than it had available, and this caused a depletion of its TABOR and local board of education designated reserves as well as a reduction in the fund balance of the general fund. There are several causes, including but not limited to a large increase in students and declining per pupil revenues from the State.

Actions taken: The district has been working to increase TABOR reserves and the local board of education designated reserves to the appropriate levels, which will increase the fund balance. For Fiscal Year 2010 both TABOR and the local board of education reserve have been fully funded in the building fund. The increase in reserves was accomplished through significant cuts to programs and budgets.

Three-Year Period Ending June 30, 2009

| County |  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{4}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School District Name | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { ORR } \\ \underline{\text { Ratio }} \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OMR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { DFBR }}{\underline{\text { Ratio }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { CFBR }}{\text { Ratio }} \end{aligned}$ |
| Arapahoe | Littleton 6 School District | 2007 | 2.63 | 1.12 | 0.1734 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.06 |
|  |  | 2008 | 2.44 | 1.28 | 0.1534 | -0.02 | 0 | -0.13 |
|  | District pupil count: 15,869 | 2009 | 2.06 | 1.24 | 0.1164 | -0.03 | 0 | -0.21 |


| Prior Year Analysis | $\underline{\text { Year }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2.65 | 1.27 | 0.1669 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.14 |
|  | 2007 | 2.63 | 1.83 | 0.1734 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.06 |
|  | 2008 | 2.44 | 1.28 | 0.1534 | -0.02 | 0 | -0.13 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
Littleton 6 Response: The cause of the negative indicators was a significant $\$ 6.9$ million or 31 percent reduction in available general fund reserves. The reduction was mostly the result of planned one time expenditures such as curriculum revisions and planned utilization of fund balance. However, some of the reduction in fund balance was the result of state reductions to school finance funding.

Actions taken: Over the past three years, annual budgetary plans approved by the local board of education have incorporated $\$ 14$ million in expenditure reductions. The Fiscal Year 2011 budget included the largest budgetary balancing plan totaling $\$ 7.5$ million in reductions and was in response to the reduction in K -12 educational funding from the State. These budget cuts have caused the loss of 200 jobs at Littleton Public Schools.

Three-Year Period Ending June 30, 2009

| County |  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{4}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School District Name | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { ASR } \\ \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ \hline \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OMR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { DFBR }}{\text { Ratio }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { CFBR }}{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Baca | Pritchett School District No. RE-3 | 2007 | 10.29 | 0 | 1.0083 | -0.03 | 0 | -0.03 |
|  |  | 2008 | 10.93 | 0 | 0.8570 | -0.11 | 0 | -0.10 |
|  | District pupil count: 72 | 2009 | 8.44 | 0 | 0.6709 | -0.14 | 0 | -0.16 |


| Prior Year Analysis | $\underline{\text { Year }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 13.79 | 0 | 1.1120 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.05 |
|  | 2007 | 10.29 | 0 | 1.0083 | -0.03 | 0 | -0.03 |
|  | 2008 | 10.93 | 0 | 0.8570 | -0.11 | 0 | -0.10 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
Pritchett RE-3 Response: The underlying causes of the negative indicators are reduced revenues and a decline in enrollment. State budget cuts of 6.35 percent inclusive of the Fiscal year 2010 and the Fiscal Year 2011 school years have resulted in a decrease of $\$ 1,087$ in state per pupil funding. Student enrollment has also declined by over ten students in the past few years. Fiscal Year 2007 was the last positive revenue year for the district before being required to spend reserves.

Actions taken: The district has held several meetings to target strategic initiatives to prioritize and downsize spending. The district also hosted an all-community meeting to begin the formal process of preparing the community for a potential ballot question in the fall of 2010 to consider a mill levy override. The district has not increased the mill levy in many years. The district budget will reflect a cost savings of approximately $\$ 20,000$ or 2 percent for the Fiscal Year 2011 school year. The district will continue to look for avenues, such as grant funding, and other revenue sources to build value added quality into our programs.

Appendix B
School District Fiscal Health Analysis
School Districts with Two Warning Indicators with Responses
Three-Year Period Ending June 30, 2009

|  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{4}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | School District Name | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OMR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DFBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Baca | Vilas School District RE-5 | 2007 | 1.69 | 0 | 0.0844 | 0.06 | 0 | 0.91 |
|  |  | 2008 | 1.80 | 0 | 0.0328 | -0.04 | 0 | -0.64 |
|  | District pupil count: 487 | 2009 | 1.31 | 0 | 0.0658 | -0.15 | 0 | -0.66 |


| Prior Year Analysis | $\underline{Y e a r}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2006 | 3.20 | 128.66 | 0.0864 | 0.04 | 0 | 1.45 |
|  | 2007 | 1.69 | 0 | 0.0844 | 0.06 | 0 | 0.91 |
|  | 2008 | 1.80 | 0 | 0.0328 | -0.04 | 0 | -0.64 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
Vilas School District RE-5 Response: The underlying cause of the negative indicators has primarily to do with moneys paid back to the Colorado Department of Education for results of pupil count audits.

Actions taken: The district has been more careful with the data and procedures that caused problems with pupil count audits.

Appendix B
School District Fiscal Health Analysis
School Districts with Two Warning Indicators with Responses
Three-Year Period Ending June 30, 2009

|  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{4}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School District Name | $\underline{\text { Year }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OMR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{\text { DFBR }} \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| County | Buena Vista R-31 School District | 2007 | 5.82 | 1.10 | 0.4673 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.05 |
| Chaffee |  | 2008 | 5.33 | 1.08 | 0.4325 | -0.01 | 0 | -0.03 |
|  | District pupil count: 992 | 2009 | 4.22 | 1.03 | 0.3967 | -0.02 | 0 | -0.05 |


| Prior Year Analysis | $\underline{Y e a r}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2006 | 5.16 | 11.55 | 0.4445 | -0.02 | 0 | -0.05 |
|  | 2007 | 5.82 | 1.10 | 0.4673 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.05 |
|  | 2008 | 5.33 | 1.08 | 0.4325 | -0.01 | 0 | -0.03 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
Buena Vista R-31 Response: The underlying cause of both negative indicators is necessary purchases made from the capital reserve fund that were funded out of the general fund reserve. The local board of education approved the purchases out of the capital reserve fund for the Fiscal Year 2009 school year, such as a modular building, buses, and a suburban. The balance of the transfer out of the general fund was $\$ 48,000$ to the lunch fund. The transfer was approved as part of the original budget approval process.

Actions taken: The district has removed close to \$500,000 from the operating budget for Fiscal Year 2011.

Three-Year Period Ending June 30, 2009

| County |  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{4}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School District Name | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { OMR }}{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { DFBR }}{\text { Ratio }}$ | $\frac{\text { CFBR }}{\text { Ratio }}$ |
| Crowley | Manzanola 3J School District | 2007 | 8.04 | 0 | 0.7433 | -0.03 | 0 | -0.03 |
| Otero |  | 2008 | 8.18 | 0 | 0.6970 | -0.04 | 0 | -0.06 |
|  | District pupil count: 169 | 2009 | 6.61 | 0 | 0.6113 | -0.08 | 0 | -0.10 |


| Prior Year Analysis | $\underline{Y e a r}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 9.04 | 0 | 0.7488 | -0.16 | 0 | -0.16 |
|  | 2007 | 8.04 | 0 | 0.7433 | -0.03 | 0 | -0.03 |
|  | 2008 | 8.18 | 0 | 0.6970 | -0.04 | 0 | -0.06 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
Manzanola 3J Response: The underlying causes of the negative indicators are declining enrollment, rising fixed costs and an increase in expenditures.

Actions taken: The district is constantly looking at ways to cut and contain spending, including cutting positions and by combining positions in the classified, certified, and administrative employee areas. The district has also reduced benefits of all of district employees. Community forums were held and issues were discussed with the district's accountability committee. A list of considerations was presented to the local board of education to cut approximately $\$ 120,000$ from the budget. The local board of education decided to only make cuts due to attrition and retirement of staff, and to cut assistant high school coaches positions, saving approximately $\$ 50,000$.

|  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{4}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | School District Name | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OMR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{\text { DFBR }} \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Eagle | Eagle County RE 50 School District | 2007 | 2.52 | 1.57 | 0.3887 | 0.04 | 0 | 0.11 |
| Garfield |  | 2008 | 2.17 | 0.95 | 0.3610 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 |
| Routt | District pupil count: 6,007 | 2009 | 2.13 | 0.99 | 0.2699 | -0.05 | 0 | -0.16 |


| Prior Year Analysis | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2.36 | 1.02 | 0.3568 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.07 |
|  | 2007 | 2.52 | 1.57 | 0.3887 | 0.04 | 0 | 0.11 |
|  | 2008 | 2.17 | 0.95 | 0.3610 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
Eagle County RE 50 Response: The underlying cause of the negative indicators was a decline in fund balance of approximately $\$ 2.5$ million in Fiscal Year 2009. About $\$ 500,000$ of the decline was planned; however the remaining $\$ 2$ million was due to uncollected property taxes, the majority of which was from several large developers. After the district closed the books in August 2009, approximately $\$ 1.9$ million was collected through a tax lien sale in November 2009. The district was fortunate to have a remaining fund balance of approximately $\$ 13.5$ million at June 30, 2009.

Actions taken: The under-collection of property taxes may continue in Eagle County for several years until the economy improves, however through late collections and the tax lien sale the district has recouped most of these funds. The local board of education has indicated it is comfortable reducing fund balance to $\$ 10$ million (about 20 percent of expenditures) to support education during this difficult economy. For Fiscal Year 2011 the district reduced spending by $\$ 4$ million to adjust for the $\$ 3$ million reduction in state funding available to Eagle schools.

Three-Year Period Ending June 30, 2009

|  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{4}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | School District Name | $\underline{\text { Year }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OMR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{\text { DFBR }} \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Eagle | Roaring Fork RE-1 School District | 2007 | 1.78 | 0.43 | 0.1706 | 0.03 | 0 | 0.20 |
| Garfield |  | 2008 | 1.60 | 1.58 | 0.1397 | -0.02 | 0 | -0.13 |
| Pitkin | District pupil count: 5,311 | 2009 | 1.45 | 1.27 | 0.1014 | -0.03 | 0 | -0.24 |


| Prior Year Analysis | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 1.66 | 0.28 | 0.1500 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.13 |
|  | 2007 | 1.78 | 0.43 | 0.1706 | 0.03 | 0 | 0.20 |
|  | 2008 | 1.60 | 1.83 | 0.1397 | -0.02 | 0 | -0.13 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
Roaring Fork RE-1 Response: The cause of the negative indicators follows a bond issue to fund construction that was passed in 2004. The district budget provided for inflationary increases in construction costs ranging from 4 to 7 percent over a three-year project period based on a 10 year history. Immediately following the passage of the bond issue, the Western Slope experienced unprecedented increases in construction costs ranging from 14 percent to 24 percent over the next several years.

Actions taken: The local board of education committed to using general fund reserves over and above the district's target reserve level of 10 percent of total program funding to cover construction overages. The district added approximately $\$ 5.2$ million to both the general fund and capital reserve fund from Fiscal Year 2003 to Fiscal Year 2007. In Fiscal Years 2008 and 2009 a significant portion of the $\$ 5.2$ million reserve was depleted as bond projects were completed. Reserves never fell below the district's targeted general fund reserve of 10 percent of total program funding. In Fiscal Year 2009 targeted fund balance was $\$ 3.6$ million compared to actual ending fund balance of $\$ 4.2$ million. The district is comfortable with the target at this level.

Three-Year Period Ending June 30, 2009

|  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{4}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | School District Name | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { OMR }}{\underline{\text { Ratio }}}$ | $\frac{\text { DFBR }}{\underline{\text { Ratio }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Lewis Palmer 38 School District | 2007 | 2.40 | 1.57 | 0.1965 | -0.05 | 0 | -0.19 |
| El Paso |  | 2008 | 1.64 | 6.26 | 0.1287 | -0.05 | 0 | -0.28 |
|  | District pupil count: 5,817 | 2009 | 1.80 | 6.33 | 0.0842 | -0.04 | 0 | -0.33 |


| Prior Year Analysis | $\underline{Y e a r}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2006}$ | 2.68 | 1.75 | 0.2608 | -0.01 | 0 | -0.05 |
|  | 2007 | 2.40 | 1.57 | 0.1965 | -0.05 | 0 | -0.19 |
|  | 2008 | 1.64 | 6.26 | 0.1287 | -0.05 | 0 | -0.28 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
Lewis-Palmer 38 Response: The underlying cause of the negative indicators is a history of deficit spending in response to growing fund balances prior to the Fiscal Year 2007 school year. Expenditures of fund balance were made on staff and other on-going expenses. The local board of education prior to 2006 was also unaware of liabilities the district had begun incurring in the 1990's in the form of early retirement bonuses and health insurance for a group of retirees.

Actions taken: Recently the local board of education has placed numerous mill levy overrides on the ballot in an attempt to strengthen the district revenues. The last mill levy override in November 2008 failed and the local board of education then cut approximately $\$ 3$ million dollars for the Fiscal Year 2010 budget to absorb projected declining enrollment and reductions in state funding. Preliminary results indicate that the Fiscal Year 2010 budget has revenues exceeding expenditures and the Fiscal Year 2011 budget also projects an increase in fund balance.

Three-Year Period Ending June 30, 2009

|  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{\mathbf{3}}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{4}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County School District Name | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OMR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DFBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFBR } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Garfield DeBeque 49JT School District | 2007 | 8.18 | 1.20 | 0.8260 | 0.09 | 0 | 0.14 |
| Mesa | 2008 | 8.39 | 1.78 | 0.7848 | 0.02 | -4.80 | 0.02 |
| District pupil count: 164 | 2009 | 6.64 | 1.13 | 0.5399 | -0.16 | 0 | -0.20 |
| Prior Year Analysis | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2006 | 11.55 | 1.14 | 0.7341 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.08 |
|  | 2007 | 8.18 | 1.20 | 0.8260 | 0.09 | 0 | 0.14 |
|  | 2008 | 8.39 | 1.78 | 0.7848 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.02 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
DeBeque 49JT Response: The underlying causes of the negative indicators were a combination of an increase in staff in order to offer core classes and a decrease in student enrollment. The decrease in student enrollment was due to oil and gas moving out of the area.

Actions taken: The district lowered expenses by nearly $\$ 240,000$ for Fiscal Year 2011 by reducing staff and cutting operational costs. The district has also frozen salaries and will implement two furlough days for the Fiscal Year 2011 school year. The district plans to seek a mill levy override in the November 2010 election, and pursue sharing staff with neighboring districts.

Three-Year Period Ending June 30, 2009

|  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{4}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | School District Name | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { ASR }}{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{\text { ORR }} \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { OMR }}{\text { Ratio }}$ | $\frac{\text { DFBR }}{\text { Ratio }}$ | $\frac{\text { CFBR }}{\text { Ratio }}$ |
| Huerfano | Huerfano RE-1 School District | 2007 | 4.35 | 0.97 | 0.3254 | -0.03 | 0 | -0.07 |
|  |  | 2008 | 3.86 | 1.02 | 0.2964 | -0.03 | 0 | -0.08 |
|  | District pupil count: 691 | 2009 | 3.25 | 0.89 | 0.2346 | -0.06 | 0 | -0.19 |


| Prior Year Analysis | $\underline{Y e a r}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 4.28 | 1.05 | 0.3472 | -0.02 | 0 | -0.07 |
|  | 2007 | 4.35 | 0.97 | 0.3254 | -0.03 | 0 | -0.07 |
|  | 2008 | 3.86 | 1.03 | 0.2964 | -0.03 | 0 | -0.08 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
Huerfano RE-1 Response: The underlying causes of the negative indicators were increased payroll costs, an unexpected tax abatement of approximately $\$ 130,000$ in Fiscal Year 2008, and another unexpected tax abatement of $\$ 112,000$ in Fiscal Year 2009. In addition the district did not receive an expected $\$ 120,000$ in Rural Secure Funding from Huerfano County. In November 2008, facility adjustments were planned and completed to offset costs. The adjustments fell short of the expected offset amount.

Actions taken: The Fiscal Year 2009 fund balance was approximately $\$ 1.2$ million, however budget cuts were made for Fiscal Year 2010 to initiate an increase in the district's fund balance.

|  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{4}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | School District Name | Year | $\frac{\text { ASR }}{\underline{\text { Ratio }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{\text { ORR }} \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { OMR }}{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { DFBR }}{\text { Ratio }}$ | $\frac{\text { CFBR }}{\text { Ratio }}$ |
| Huerfano | La Veta RE-2 School District | 2007 | 5.37 | 1.13 | 0.5130 | 0.04 | 0 | 0.09 |
|  |  | 2008 | 4.50 | 1.57 | 0.4400 | -0.01 | 0 | -0.03 |
|  | District pupil count: 257 | 2009 | 3.05 | 1.17 | 0.3302 | -0.03 | 0 | -0.08 |


| Prior Year Analysis | $\underline{\text { Year }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 4.87 | 1.07 | 0.5082 | 0.04 | 0 | 0.10 |
|  | 2007 | 5.37 | 1.13 | 0.5130 | 0.04 | 0 | 0.09 |
|  | 2008 | 4.50 | 1.57 | 0.4400 | -0.01 | 0 | -0.03 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
La Veta RE-2 Response: The underlying cause of the negative indicators is a complete renovation of the heating ventilation and air condition system for five of the six buildings in the district. While a significant amount of grant money was utilized to complete the project, the district accrued $\$ 300,000$ of expenditures to complete the project. The majority of the district's expenses were dispensed in Fiscal Years 2009 and 2010. Additionally, the local board of education was advised by the external auditor that the beginning fund balance for Fiscal Year 2006 was up almost $\$ 250,000$ from Fiscal Year 2005 and that the board should look at maintaining, if not decreasing, the fund balance.

Actions taken: The project has now been completed and the district expenditures will dramatically decline from the Fiscal Year 2009 levels and will have an additional decrease for Fiscal Year 2010 and another budgeted decrease in Fiscal Year 2011.

| County |  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{4}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School District Name | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{\text { ORR }} \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { OMR }}{\text { Ratio }}$ | $\frac{\text { DFBR }}{\text { Ratio }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { CFBR }}{} \\ & \hline \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ |
| Kit | Stratton R-4 School District | 2007 | 5.68 | 35.52 | 0.6373 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.09 |
| Carson |  | 2008 | 3.93 | 30.89 | 0.5433 | -0.02 | 0 | 0 |
|  | District pupil count: 207 | 2009 | 6.89 | 26.80 | 0.4405 | -0.08 | 0 | -0.14 |


| Prior Year Analysis | $\underline{Y e a r}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 6.85 | 35.53 | 0.5658 | 0.03 | 0 | 0.06 |
|  | 2007 | 5.68 | 35.52 | 0.6373 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.09 |
|  | 2008 | 3.93 | 36.23 | 0.5433 | -0.02 | 0 | 0 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
Stratton R-4 Response: The underlying causes of the negative indicators were a state mandated decrease in the district's local mill levy from 32.354 mills to a mandated state equalized levy of 27 mills, a decline in student enrollment and a large capital improvement project. The district has experienced a 29 percent decline in enrollment over the last four years, reducing per pupil revenues. In Fiscal Year 2008 the district partially funded a capital improvement project. Renovations included heating, ventilating, and air conditioning repair, new roofs for two buildings, new gym bleachers, and digital controls for the high school gym and kitchen.

Actions taken: The district has reduced staff and reduced the bus fleet and route buses from five to three. The district will be looking to add a mill levy override question to the November 2010 ballot in order to return the local tax contributions to the level it was prior to the state imposed reduction.

Three-Year Period Ending June 30, 2009

| County |  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{4}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School District Name | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { ASR } \\ \text { Ratio } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OMR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { DFBR }}{\text { Ratio }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| La Plata | Durango 9-R School District | 2007 | 2.01 | 1.15 | 0.1414 | -0.01 | 0 | -0.05 |
|  |  | 2008 | 1.91 | 1.03 | 0.1246 | -0.01 | 0 | -0.05 |
|  | District pupil count: 4,839 | 2009 | 1.74 | 0.98 | 0.1040 | -0.02 | -17.42 | -0.14 |


| Prior Year Analysis | $\underline{\text { Year }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2006 | 2.05 | 1.64 | 0.1563 | 0 | 0 | -0.01 |
|  | 2007 | 2.01 | 1.15 | 0.1414 | -0.01 | 0 | -0.05 |
|  | 2008 | 1.91 | 1.03 | 0.1246 | -0.01 | 0 | -0.05 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
Durango 9-R Response: The underlying cause of the negative indicators was a reduction in property tax revenues. The local board of education has a policy of maintaining 10 percent of revenues in the fund balance of the general fund. In Fiscal Year 2009 La Plata County had tax abatements of almost $\$ 1$ million in property tax revenue that otherwise would have come to the district. Because of the reduction in revenue, the district expended fund balance knowing they would be able to collect the revenue in the next year.

Actions taken: The abated taxes were included in the 2009 mill levy so the taxes will be collected in 2010. This will bring the fund balance back above the 10 percent reserve the local board of education requires. Additionally the fund balance of the debt service fund has grown over the years until there is a full year of debt service in reserve. The district's debt service payments are going to drop by $\$ 3$ million per year, so a drop in fund balance will not affect the fund. The local board of education is considering using the reserves to help maintain the mill levy at its current rate as the assessed value on gas properties is dropping by more than 50 percent.

Appendix B
School District Fiscal Health Analysis
School Districts with Two Warning Indicators with Responses
Three-Year Period Ending June 30, 2009

| County | School District Name |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{4}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { ASR } \\ \text { Ratio } \\ \hline \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{\text { DBR }} \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { OMR }}{\underline{\text { Ratio }}}$ | $\frac{\text { DFBR }}{\text { Ratio }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFBR } \\ & \hline \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Las Animas | Hoehne Reorganized School District No. 3 | 2007 | 6.75 | 17.98 | 0.4970 | -0.10 | 0 | -0.15 |
|  |  | 2008 | 3.57 | 1.31 | 0.4165 | -0.09 | 0 | -0.17 |
|  | District pupil count: 335 | 2009 | 2.79 | 1.11 | 0.3114 | -0.09 | 0 | -0.22 |


| Prior Year Analysis | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 7.21 | 17.11 | 0.6113 | -0.07 | 0 | -0.09 |
|  | 2007 | 6.75 | 17.98 | 0.4970 | -0.10 | 0 | -0.15 |
|  | 2008 | 3.57 | 1.31 | 0.4165 | -0.09 | 0 | -0.17 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
Hoehne Reorganized 3 Response: The underlying cause of the negative indicators is because of a reduction in state funding.
Actions taken: The district has made recommendations to the local board of education to cut the budget, including but not limited to reducing administrative costs, reductions in sports at the junior high and high school levels, reductions in bus routes and a hiring freeze.

Three-Year Period Ending June 30, 2009

| County |  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{4}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School District Name | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { ASR } \\ \text { Ratio } \\ \hline \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OMR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DFBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Otero | Rocky Ford R-2 | 2007 | 4.10 | 56.07 | 0.3211 | -0.02 | 0 | -0.06 |
|  |  | 2008 | 3.74 | 59.82 | 0.2619 | -0.05 | 0 | -0.15 |
|  | District pupil count: 859 | 2009 | 3.23 | 58.18 | 0.2025 | -0.04 | 0 | -0.16 |


| Prior Year Analysis | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2006 | 4.23 | 57.24 | 0.3377 | -0.02 | 0 | -0.06 |
|  | 2007 | 4.10 | 56.07 | 0.3211 | -0.02 | 0 | -0.06 |
|  | 2008 | 3.74 | 59.82 | 0.2619 | -0.05 | 0 | -0.15 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
Rocky Ford R-2 Response: The underlying causes of the negative indicators are that expenses exceeded revenues and the need to use fund balance to cover the expenses. In Fiscal Year 2007 the district had an increase in kindergarten students which required hiring another teacher. The full-time teacher was not budgeted for and was only funded at .5 FTE. Also, in Fiscal Year 2007 the district used fund balance to purchase a dishwasher for one of the kitchens. In Fiscal Year 2008 the district used fund balance to cover several equipment or capital project needs, and a major portion of the use of fund balance was a transfer to the capital reserve fund for matching funds for the Capital Construction Grant at Rocky Ford High School. In Fiscal Year 2009 the district had to use fund balance to cover textbook replacement and salaries and benefits.

Actions taken: The local board of education adopted a resolution in Fiscal Year 2009 to use general fund balance and further stated that $\$ 400,000$ would be reduced in the general fund in Fiscal Year 2010 so that no ongoing deficits will occur in the fund. The district has taken steps to correct the situation with the local board of education to make cuts in personnel and supplies in the amount of $\$ 365,635$ to have a balanced budget for Fiscal Year 2010.

Three-Year Period Ending June 30, 2009

|  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{4}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | School District Name | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OMR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { DFBR }}{\text { Ratio }}$ | $\frac{\text { CFBR }}{\text { Ratio }}$ |
| Routt | Hayden RE-1 School District | 2007 | 1.99 | 0 | 0.1100 | -0.01 | 0 | -0.07 |
|  |  | 2008 | 1.81 | 198.48 | 0.0796 | -0.03 | 0 | -0.26 |
|  | District pupil count: 465 | 2009 | 1.50 | 102.38 | 0.0482 | -0.03 | 0 | -0.36 |


| Prior Year Analysis |
| :--- |
| Year |
|  |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
Hayden RE-1 School District Response: The underlying causes were a decline in student enrollment over the past three years. In addition, the school district built a vocational education building with the help of an energy impact grant, local donations, and money from the district. The project was supported by the local board of education with the understanding that the district would be using reserves. The district is hoping that it will see an increase in revenue due to increased enrollment at the new vocational education building. The district spent approximately $\$ 360,000$ over a four year period for the project which was finished in the summer of 2009.

Actions taken: The district has had several meetings throughout the budget process and has made budget cuts totaling over \$400,000 for Fiscal Year 2011. Additionally, the district is planning a mill levy election in November 2010.

Three-Year Period Ending June 30, 2009

|  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{\mathbf{3}}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{4}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County School District Name | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OMR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DFBR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFBR } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Sedgwick Platte Valley RE-3 School District | 2007 | 7.09 | 24.98 | 0.5399 | -0.01 | 0 | -0.02 |
|  | 2008 | 6.08 | 26.29 | 0.4762 | -0.04 | 0 | -0.04 |
| District pupil count: 108 | 2009 | 5.88 | 26.30 | 0.4077 | -0.06 | 0 | -0.13 |
| Prior Year Analysis | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2006 | 7.15 | 24.48 | 0.6078 | 0.07 | 0 | 0.13 |
|  | 2007 | 7.09 | 24.98 | 0.5399 | -0.01 | 0 | -0.02 |
|  | 2008 | 6.08 | 26.29 | 0.4762 | -0.04 | 0 | -0.04 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
Platte Valley RE-3 Response: The underlying causes of the negative indicators are capital purchases of a new wood gym floor, boiler replacements and repairs, field lights, air conditioning units in both kitchens, sprinkler system on the athletic field, a new 2009 bus and two additional vehicles. Additionally, fiber was installed and equipment was purchased for distance learning and an additional paraprofessional was hired mid-year.

Actions taken: The cost of upkeep and maintenance for the district's aging buildings and the declining enrollment are ongoing concerns. The district's 5 -year plan will be updated with consideration to develop a new master plan. Repairs and maintenance will occur as needed.

Three-Year Period Ending June 30, 2009

| County | School District Name |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{1}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{4}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{5}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\underline{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASR } \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{\text { DBR }} \\ & \underline{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { OMR }}{\text { Ratio }}$ | $\frac{\text { DFBR }}{\text { Ratio }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { CFBR }}{\text { Ratio }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Weld | Gilcrest RE-1/ | 2007 | 3.21 | 0.53 | 0.2653 | -0.07 | 0 | -0.21 |
|  | Weld County RE-1 | 2008 | 2.73 | 0 | 0.1991 | -0.07 | 0 | -0.25 |
|  | District pupil count: 1,769 | 2009 | 2.35 | 122.84 | 0.1390 | -0.06 | 0 | -0.30 |


| Prior Year Analysis | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 2006 | 3.91 | 1.09 | 0.3485 | -0.05 | 0 | -0.12 |
|  | 2007 | 3.21 | 0.53 | 0.2653 | -0.07 | 0 | -0.21 |
|  | 2008 | 2.73 | 0 | 0.1991 | -0.07 | 0 | -0.25 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from annual audited financial statements submitted by school districts for 2006-2009. District pupil count figures from CDE October 2008 statewide pupil count for the 2008-2009 school year.

Shaded columns are ratios where the district has warning indicators. See Appendix A for explanation of ratios and indicators.
Gilcrest Weld County RE-1 Response: The underlying cause of the negative indicators was a deliberate spending of fund balance on one time expenditures for educational programming. From Fiscal Years 2002 to 2005 the district’s fund balance had increased from under $\$ 1$ million over $\$ 6$ million and was projected to be over $\$ 8$ million the following year. Recognizing that the fund balance was growing at a rapid rate, the local board of education decided to spend down fund balance on renovations to athletic facilities, purchase of school buses and suburbans, shares of water for irrigation purposes, approximately 20 acres of land, technology infrastructure and equipment upgrades. Recently the district established a freshman laptop initiative, which includes providing a laptop for every freshman entering Valley High School.

Actions taken: During Fiscal Years 2009 and 2010 the district did not receive the anticipated revenues at the local level and there were rescissions by the state in both years. The district continues to cut expenses with nearly a 13 percent reduction in expenses in Fiscal Year 2011.

## Appendix C

Appendix C
School District Fiscal Health Analysis School Districts with Two Warning Indicators


Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data obtained from the Colorado Department of Education.

## Appendix D

| County | School District Name | Year | Gov Fund Annual Debt Service | Gov Funds Total Revenue Paying Debt Service | Gen Fund Total Assets | Gen Fund Total Liabilities | Fund Balance of the General Fund | Gen Fund Total Revenue | Gen Fund Total Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams | Adams 12 Five Star Schools | 2007 | 37,002,065 | 306,649,616 | 89,543,034 | 39,492,597 | 50,050,437 | 263,984,990 | 258,738,162 |
| Broomfield |  | 2008 | 40,254,948 | 330,046,657 | 84,863,444 | 38,201,688 | 46,661,756 | 280,368,032 | 163,048,070 |
|  |  | 2009 | 42,339,184 | 358,064,898 | 91,422,099 | 41,414,120 | 50,007,979 | 310,004,084 | 306,121,489 |
| Adams | Adams County 14 | 2007 | 3,631,304 | 5,429,150 | 15,363,616 | 6,894,514 | 8,469,102 | 58,648,958 | 56,950,586 |
|  | School District | 2008 | 5,746,233 | 9,743,557 | 14,763,094 | 6,444,556 | 8,318,538 | 58,630,325 | 57,655,209 |
|  |  | 2009 | 5,909,566 | 6,479,932 | 14,882,336 | 6,051,648 | 8,830,688 | 59,197,859 | 57,399,709 |
| Adams | Adams-Arapahoe 28J School District | 2007 | 20,535,517 | 36,260,741 | 44,410,879 | 20,173,881 | 24,236,998 | 217,527,074 | 225,266,805 |
| Arapahoe | Aurora Public Schools | 2008 | 19,170,327 | 29,646,043 | 29,136,643 | 18,798,281 | 10,338,362 | 225,047,968 | 237,266,567 |
|  |  | 2009 | 22,367,275 | 28,062,094 | 41,555,117 | 23,060,050 | 18,495,067 | 253,753,554 | 244,139,348 |
| Adams | Bennett 29J School District | 2007 | 884,818 | 858,973 | 1,566,875 | 1,015,756 | 551,119 | 7,425,377 | 7,740,030 |
| Arapahoe |  | 2008 | 891,928 | 8,762,828 | 1,508,116 | 835,194 | 672,922 | 7,852,979 | 7,516,643 |
|  |  | 2009 | 908,163 | 8,973,457 | 1,881,747 | 1,058,191 | 823,556 | 8,101,625 | 7,628,276 |
| Adams | Brighton 27J School District | 2007 | 10,101,883 | 85,908,543 | 23,704,451 | 16,072,861 | 7,631,590 | 73,172,214 | 67,752,113 |
| Broomfield |  | 2008 | 12,233,172 | 97,859,971 | 21,510,876 | 15,265,191 | 6,245,685 | 82,727,080 | 81,084,779 |
| Weld |  | 2009 | 15,501,538 | 106,251,257 | 21,877,162 | 19,622,232 | 2,254,930 | 91,815,548 | 92,699,532 |
| Adams | Byers 32J School District | 2007 | 306,958 | 352,653 | 1,495,242 | 340,938 | 1,154,304 | 3,845,615 | 3,511,077 |
| Arapahoe |  | 2008 | 304,036 | 368,621 | 1,545,442 | 398,092 | 1,147,350 | 4,003,006 | 3,863,098 |
|  |  | 2009 | 310,548 | 314,927 | 1,545,591 | 426,768 | 1,118,823 | 4,164,777 | 4,040,049 |
| Adams | Deer Trail 26J School District | 2007 | - |  | 1,036,668 | 145,556 | 891,112 | 2,204,050 | 2,167,331 |
| Arapahoe |  | 2008 | 15,367 | 3,770,187 | 1,099,499 | 162,196 | 937,303 | 3,770,187 | 3,663,340 |
|  |  | 2009 | 30,734 | 839,566 | 839,566 | 142,330 | 697,236 | 2,276,508 | 2,455,088 |
| Adams | Keenesburg RE-3J School District | 2007 | 3,049,385 | 5,260,958 | 10,528,456 | 2,243,404 | 8,285,052 | 16,036,434 | 15,228,283 |
| Weld | Weld 3J | 2008 | 3,200,743 | 5,061,225 | 9,626,443 | 1,917,582 | 7,708,861 | 16,403,474 | 16,691,726 |
|  |  | 2009 | 3,172,270 | 3,216,839 | 9,582,089 | 1,920,896 | 7,661,193 | 16,953,420 | 16,573,944 |
| Adams | Mapleton 1 School District | 2007 | 2,839,834 | 40,804,879 | 9,270,977 | 4,455,927 | 4,815,050 | 39,007,875 | 37,107,948 |
|  |  | 2008 | 1,623,525 | 42,374,737 | 8,587,197 | 4,153,370 | 4,433,827 | 40,653,217 | 39,291,340 |
|  |  | 2009 | 3,052,642 | 45,514,997 | 9,461,127 | 5,159,899 | 4,301,228 | 43,249,148 | 42,020,997 |
| Adams | Strasburg 31J School District | 2007 | 905,458 | 908,598 | 2,332,900 | 638,163 | 1,694,737 | 6,649,817 | 6,116,800 |
| Arapahoe |  | 2008 | 883,629 | 965,188 | 2,046,372 | 727,345 | 1,319,027 | 7,121,007 | 7,059,338 |
|  |  | 2009 | 884,030 | 824,921 | 2,051,356 | 749,186 | 1,302,170 | 7,505,512 | 7,138,794 |
| Adams | Westminster 50 School District | 2007 | 4,796,559 | 87,120,739 | 19,388,272 | 10,357,583 | 9,030,689 | 80,040,957 | 78,531,027 |
|  |  | 2008 | 7,222,244 | 89,535,415 | 20,553,215 | 8,228,392 | 12,324,823 | 81,882,291 | 75,617,321 |
|  |  | 2009 | 7,457,424 | 91,000,117 | 19,319,693 | 5,785,830 | 13,533,863 | 82,793,839 | 78,207,707 |
| Adams | Wiggins RE-50(J) School District | 2007 | 384,101 | 419,549 | 1,515,807 | 609,908 | 905,899 | 4,402,823 | 4,540,074 |
| Morgan |  | 2008 | 392,841 | 423,506 | 1,515,575 | 581,325 | 934,250 | 4,590,930 | 4,562,579 |
| Weld |  | 2009 | 393,640 | 402,596 | 1,575,839 | 554,235 | 1,021,604 | 4,572,754 | 4,505,461 |
| Alamosa | Alamosa RE-11J | 2007 | 1,234,825 | 15,415,100 | 1,991,270 | 1,687,873 | 303,397 | 14,350,230 | 13,395,898 |
| Conejos | School District | 2008 | 1,176,313 | 16,507,053 | 2,611,742 | 2,160,086 | 451,656 | 14,731,347 | 13,250,144 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,178,813 | 16,900,064 | 2,659,052 | 1,801,427 | 857,625 | 15,130,015 | 13,647,712 |
| Alamosa | Center 26 JT School District | 2007 | 95,126 | 214,360 | 418,163 | 564,269 | $(146,106)$ | 5,448,075 | 5,549,645 |
| Rio Grande |  | 2008 | 944,327 | 214,360 | 656,916 | 485,499 | 171,417 | 4,725,017 | 4,405,688 |
| Saguache |  | 2009 | - | - | 824,105 | 471,606 | 352,499 | 4,755,741 | 4,526,353 |
| Alamosa | North Conejos RE-1J | 2007 | 137,929 | 163,259 | 5,200,855 | 1,152,691 | 4,048,164 | 7,882,071 | 7,596,950 |
| Conejos | School District | 2008 | 138,135 | 163,577 | 5,441,094 | 986,926 | 4,454,168 | 8,212,213 | 7,825,480 |
|  |  | 2009 | 138,385 | 85,462 | 6,146,672 | 1,036,544 | 5,110,128 | 8,661,960 | 8,037,177 |
| Alamosa | Sanford 6J School District | 2007 | 30,909 | 36,225 | 1,552,921 | 222,857 | 1,330,064 | 2,736,102 | 2,391,264 |
| Conejos | Conejos CJ | 2008 | - | - | 1,710,483 | 220,698 | 1,489,785 | 2,724,228 | 2,489,507 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 2,004,110 | 213,320 | 1,790,790 | 3,009,757 | 2,583,752 |
| Alamosa | Sangre De Cristo RE-22J | 2007 | 55,590 | 2,944,364 | 950,406 | 316,345 | 634,061 | 2,922,787 | 2,693,362 |
| Saguache | School District | 2008 | 96,230 | 3,229,597 | 945,079 | 322,678 | 622,401 | 3,041,411 | 2,830,175 |
|  |  | 2009 | 36,264 | 3,292,676 | 1,073,419 | 309,813 | 763,606 | 3,152,237 | 2,836,032 |
| Alamosa | Sargent RE-33J School District | 2007 | 220,439 | 208,518 | 1,213,778 | 312,949 | 900,829 | 3,427,598 | 3,084,722 |
| Rio Grande |  | 2008 | 230,226 | 196,850 | 1,401,644 | 305,506 | 1,096,138 | 3,465,356 | 3,264,532 |
|  |  | 2009 | 319,010 | 455,158 | 1,495,065 | 300,190 | 1,194,875 | 3,420,184 | 3,273,447 |
| Arapahoe | Cherry Creek 5 School District | 2007 | 46,186,055 | 58,396,417 | 71,373,522 | 49,066,260 | 22,307,262 | 353,466,550 | 352,697,851 |
|  |  | 2008 | 49,222,291 | 62,645,960 | 65,015,052 | 50,078,902 | 14,936,150 | 370,337,703 | 379,203,336 |
|  |  | 2009 | 43,511,026 | 44,416,236 | 74,662,441 | 51,182,772 | 23,479,669 | 402,638,754 | 395,838,410 |
| Arapahoe | Englewood 1 School District | 2007 | 3,302,388 | 3,260,530 | 14,577,866 | 4,735,670 | 9,842,196 | 27,954,979 | 26,341,262 |
|  |  | 2008 | 3,064,296 | 3,338,589 | 14,879,003 | 4,203,781 | 10,675,222 | 28,636,647 | 27,029,542 |
|  |  | 2009 | 3,438,917 | 3,240,762 | 15,141,639 | 3,982,496 | 11,159,143 | 28,824,858 | 27,272,426 |
| Arapahoe | Littleton 6 School District | 2007 | 11,751,619 | 13,145,867 | 32,973,671 | 12,546,367 | 20,427,304 | 119,047,322 | 116,410,269 |
|  | Arapahoe County | 2008 | 10,337,318 | 13,229,175 | 32,460,429 | 13,309,468 | 19,150,961 | 122,034,273 | 123,500,737 |
|  |  | 2009 | 10,297,281 | 12,750,371 | 29,261,401 | 14,214,177 | 15,047,224 | 125,217,138 | 127,757,706 |
| Arapahoe | Sheridan 2 School District | 2007 | 1,144,840 | 1,471,496 | 7,930,140 | 2,256,081 | 5,674,059 | 14,164,293 | 12,536,956 |
|  |  | 2008 | 1,545,010 | 2,093,129 | 8,706,983 | 2,362,989 | 6,343,994 | 14,135,232 | 12,959,537 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,541,210 | 1,517,813 | 9,078,193 | 2,347,093 | 6,731,100 | 14,571,114 | 13,482,864 |
| Archuleta | Archuleta County 50 JT | 2007 | 933,970 | 1,138,037 | 7,392,833 | 1,301,933 | 6,090,900 | 11,106,930 | 11,378,593 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from audited financial statements submitted by school districts.

|  |  |  |  | Ratio 1 | Ratio 2 | Ratio 3 | Ratio 4 | Ratio 5 | Ratio 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interfund Transfer Net | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Deficit Fund } \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Deficit Fund Balance | Gen Fund Prior Year Fund Bal | ASR <br> Ratio | DBR Ratio | ORR <br> Ratio | OMR Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DFBR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | CFBR <br> Ratio |  |
| $(505,885)$ | - | - | 45,309,494 | 2.27 | 8.29 | 0.1931 | 0.02 | - | 0.10 |  |
| $(521,062)$ | - | - | 50,050,437 | 2.22 | 8.20 | 0.2853 | 0.42 | - | (0.07) |  |
| $(536,372)$ | - | - | 46,661,756 | 2.21 | 8.46 | 0.1631 | 0.01 | - | 0.07 |  |
| $(1,786,160)$ | - | - | 8,556,890 | 2.23 | 1.50 | 0.1442 | - | - | (0.01) |  |
| $(1,125,680)$ | - | - | 8,469,102 | 2.29 | 1.70 | 0.1415 | - | - | (0.02) |  |
| $(1,286,000)$ | - | - | 8,318,538 | 2.46 | 1.10 | 0.1505 | 0.01 | - | 0.06 |  |
| $(1,079,352)$ | - | - | 33,056,081 | 2.20 | 1.77 | 0.1071 | (0.04) | - | (0.27) |  |
| $(1,680,037)$ | - | - | 24,236,998 | 1.55 | 1.55 | 0.0433 | (0.06) | - | (0.57) |  |
| $(1,457,501)$ | - | - | 10,338,362 | 1.80 | 1.25 | 0.0753 | 0.03 | - | 0.79 |  |
| $(317,553)$ | 3,480 | 8,380 | 1,158,083 | 1.54 | 0.97 | 0.0684 | (0.09) | (65.35) | (0.52) |  |
| $(315,466)$ | - | - | 551,119 | 1.81 | 9.82 | 0.0859 | - | - | 0.22 |  |
| $(322,715)$ | - | - | 672,922 | 1.78 | 9.88 | 0.1036 | 0.02 | - | 0.22 |  |
| $(1,711,999)$ | 60,555 | - | 3,923,488 | 1.47 | 8.50 | 0.1099 | 0.05 | - | 0.95 | 2 |
| $(3,028,206)$ | - | - | 7,631,590 | 1.41 | 8.00 | 0.0743 | (0.02) | - | (0.18) | 2 |
| $(3,106,771)$ | 6,338 | - | 6,245,685 | 1.11 | 6.85 | 0.0235 | (0.04) | - | (0.64) | 2 |
| $(150,015)$ | 49,250 | 242,274 | 969,781 | 4.39 | 1.15 | 0.3153 | 0.05 | (4.56) | 0.19 | 1 |
| $(146,862)$ | - | - | 1,154,304 | 3.88 | 1.21 | 0.2861 | - | - | (0.01) | 1 |
| $(153,255)$ | - | - | 1,147,350 | 3.62 | 1.01 | 0.2668 | (0.01) | - | (0.02) | 1 |
| $(58,761)$ | - | - | 913,155 | 7.12 | - | 0.4003 | (0.01) | - | (0.02) |  |
| $(60,657)$ | - | - | 891,113 | 6.78 | 245.34 | 0.2517 | 0.01 | - | 0.05 |  |
| $(61,487)$ | - | - | 937,303 | 5.90 | 27.32 | 0.2771 | (0.11) | - | (0.26) |  |
| $(538,331)$ | - | - | 8,015,232 | 4.69 | 1.73 | 0.5255 | 0.02 | - | 0.03 |  |
| $(287,942)$ | - | - | 8,285,055 | 5.02 | 1.58 | 0.4540 | (0.04) | - | (0.07) |  |
| $(427,144)$ | - | - | 7,708,861 | 4.99 | 1.01 | 0.4506 | - | - | (0.01) |  |
| $(2,233,300)$ | - | - | 5,148,423 | 2.08 | 14.37 | 0.1224 | (0.01) | - | (0.06) |  |
| $(1,743,100)$ | - | - | 4,815,050 | 2.07 | 26.10 | 0.1081 | (0.01) | - | (0.08) |  |
| $(1,360,750)$ | - | - | 4,433,827 | 1.83 | 14.91 | 0.0991 | - | - | (0.03) |  |
| $(546,676)$ | - | - | 1,708,396 | 3.66 | 1.00 | 0.2543 | - | - | (0.01) |  |
| $(437,379)$ | - | - | 1,694,737 | 2.81 | 1.09 | 0.1759 | (0.05) | - | (0.22) |  |
| $(383,575)$ | - | - | 1,319,027 | 2.74 | 0.93 | 0.1731 | - | - | (0.01) |  |
| $(2,957,357)$ | - | - | 10,478,116 | 1.87 | 18.16 | 0.1108 | (0.02) | - | (0.14) |  |
| $(2,970,836)$ | - | - | 9,030,689 | 2.50 | 12.40 | 0.1568 | 0.04 | - | 0.36 |  |
| $(3,377,092)$ | - | - | 12,324,823 | 3.34 | 12.20 | 0.1659 | 0.01 | - | 0.10 |  |
| - | - | - | 1,043,150 | 2.49 | 1.09 | 0.1995 | (0.03) | - | (0.13) |  |
| - | - | - | 905,899 | 2.61 | 1.08 | 0.2048 | 0.01 | - | 0.03 |  |
| $(27,160)$ | - | - | 981,471 | 2.84 | 1.02 | 0.2254 | 0.01 | - | 0.04 |  |
| $(867,700)$ | - | - | 58,815 | 1.18 | 12.48 | 0.0213 | 0.01 | - | 4.16 |  |
| $(1,088,590)$ | - | - | 303,397 | 1.21 | 14.03 | 0.0315 | 0.03 | - | 0.49 |  |
| $(1,111,333)$ | - | - | 451,655 | 1.48 | 14.34 | 0.0581 | 0.02 | - | 0.90 |  |
| $(42,366)$ | 225,873 | 5,662,435 | $(2,170)$ | 0.74 | 2.25 | -0.0261 | (0.03) | - | (66.33) |  |
| $(24,000)$ | 53,980 | 484,333 | $(146,106)$ | 1.35 | 0.23 | 0.0387 | 0.06 | (0.24) | 2.17 |  |
| $(48,306)$ | 90,975 | 359,155 | 171,417 | 1.75 | - | 0.0771 | 0.04 | (0.73) | 1.06 |  |
| $(62,000)$ | - | - | 3,825,043 | 4.51 | 1.18 | 0.5286 | 0.03 | - | 0.06 |  |
| $(36,906)$ | - | - | 4,104,251 | 5.51 | 1.18 | 0.5665 | 0.04 | - | 0.09 |  |
| 89,407 | - | - | 4,395,938 | 5.93 | 0.62 | 0.6430 | 0.08 | - | 0.16 |  |
| $(55,000)$ | - | - | 1,040,226 | 6.97 | 1.17 | 0.5437 | 0.11 | - | 0.28 |  |
| $(75,000)$ | - | - | 1,330,064 | 7.75 | - | 0.5809 | 0.06 | - | 0.12 |  |
| $(125,000)$ | - | - | 1,489,785 | 9.39 | - | 0.6611 | 0.10 | - | 0.20 |  |
| $(185,000)$ | - | - | 589,636 | 3.00 | 52.97 | 0.2203 | 0.02 | - | 0.08 |  |
| $(185,000)$ | - | - | 634,061 | 2.93 | 33.56 | 0.2064 | 0.01 | - | (0.02) |  |
| $(175,000)$ | - | - | 622,401 | 3.46 | 90.80 | 0.2536 | 0.04 | - | 0.23 |  |
| $(40,000)$ | - | - | 597,953 | 3.88 | 0.95 | 0.2883 | 0.09 | - | 0.51 |  |
| $(65,000)$ | - | - | 960,314 | 4.59 | 0.86 | 0.3292 | 0.04 | - | 0.14 |  |
| $(48,000)$ | - | - | 1,096,138 | 4.98 | 1.43 | 0.3597 | 0.03 | - | 0.09 |  |
| 1,065,848 | - | - | 20,472,715 | 1.45 | 1.26 | 0.0634 | 0.01 | - | 0.09 |  |
| 1,494,521 | - | - | 22,307,262 | 1.30 | 1.27 | 0.0395 | (0.02) | - | (0.33) |  |
| 1,743,175 | - | - | 14,936,150 | 1.46 | 1.02 | 0.0596 | 0.02 | - | 0.57 |  |
| $(988,769)$ | - | - | 9,217,248 | 3.08 | 0.99 | 0.3601 | 0.02 | - | 0.07 |  |
| $(774,079)$ | - | - | 9,842,196 | 3.54 | 1.09 | 0.3840 | 0.03 | - | 0.08 |  |
| $(1,068,511)$ | - | - | 10,675,222 | 3.80 | 0.94 | 0.3937 | 0.02 | - | 0.05 |  |
| (1,393,621) | - | - | 19,183,872 | 2.63 | 1.12 | 0.1734 | 0.01 | - | 0.06 | 2 |
| $(1,314,136)$ | - | - | 21,931,561 | 2.44 | 1.28 | 0.1534 | (0.02) | - | (0.13) | 2 |
| $(1,563,169)$ | - | - | 19,150,961 | 2.06 | 1.24 | 0.1164 | (0.03) | - | (0.21) | 2 |
| $(705,760)$ | - | - | 4,752,482 | 3.52 | 1.29 | 0.4285 | 0.07 | - | 0.19 |  |
| $(505,760)$ | - | - | 5,674,059 | 3.68 | 1.35 | 0.4711 | 0.05 | - | 0.12 |  |
| $(505,760)$ | - | - | 6,343,994 | 3.87 | 0.98 | 0.4812 | 333.00 | - | 0.06 |  |
| 80,000 | - | - | 6,282,563 | 5.68 | 1.22 | 0.5391 | (0.02) | - | (0.03) |  |


| County | School District Name | Year | Gov Fund Annual Debt Service | Gov Funds Total Revenue Paying Debt Service | Gen Fund Total Assets | Gen Fund Total Liabilities | Fund Balance of the General Fund | Gen Fund Total Revenue | Gen Fund Total Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hinsdale | School District | 2008 | 951,220 | 1,050,280 | 7,016,129 | 1,209,130 | 5,806,999 | 11,054,991 | 11,408,891 |
|  |  | 2009 | 946,220 | 1,033,541 | 7,419,385 | 1,197,478 | 6,221,907 | 11,653,497 | 11,308,589 |
| Archuleta | Bayfield 10 JT-R School District | 2007 | 1,810,445 | 2,084,481 | 4,284,143 | 997,511 | 3,286,632 | 10,286,875 | 10,256,333 |
| La Plata |  | 2008 | 1,785,583 | 1,935,287 | 3,976,225 | 578,666 | 3,397,559 | 10,785,438 | 10,352,378 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,885,498 | 2,017,905 | 4,091,095 | 520,789 | 3,570,306 | 11,078,342 | 10,583,910 |
| Archuleta | Ignacio 11 JT School District | 2007 | 2,632 | 8,501,895 | 4,459,069 | 784,645 | 3,674,424 | 8,501,895 | 7,646,886 |
| La Plata |  | 2008 | 2,413 | 9,009,530 | 5,095,335 | 835,609 | 4,259,726 | 9,009,530 | 7,775,840 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 5,175,911 | 876,539 | 4,299,372 | 8,934,190 | 8,204,243 |
| Baca | Campo RE-6 School District | 2007 | - | - | 935,551 | 137,273 | 798,278 | 1,175,612 | 901,603 |
|  | Baca County RE-6 | 2008 | - | - | 988,423 | 91,224 | 897,179 | 1,078,747 | 927,115 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 1,397,716 | 102,806 | 1,294,910 | 1,370,070 | 911,603 |
| Baca | Pritchett RE-3 School District | 2007 | - | - | 1,106,971 | 107,557 | 1,041,394 | 1,002,712 | 987,783 |
|  | Baca County RE-3 | 2008 | - | - | 1,031,513 | 94,404 | 937,109 | 989,212 | 1,040,797 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 895,965 | 106,153 | 789,812 | 1,029,895 | 1,099,192 |
| Baca | Springfield RE-4 School District | 2007 | 34,178 | 2,804,117 | 1,138,848 | 241,126 | 897,722 | 2,728,719 | 2,426,904 |
|  | Baca County RE-4 | 2008 | 40,629 | 2,758,443 | 1,251,781 | 263,077 | 988,704 | 2,746,645 | 2,525,663 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 1,419,924 | 291,422 | 1,128,502 | 2,805,958 | 2,584,460 |
| Baca | Vilas RE-5 School District | 2007 | - | - | 5,279,732 | 3,130,578 | 2,149,154 | 26,955,980 | 25,345,635 |
|  | Baca County RE-5 | 2008 | - | - | 1,716,122 | 953,032 | 763,090 | 22,471,384 | 23,161,928 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 1,078,201 | 820,916 | 257,285 | 3,405,102 | 3,770,424 |
| Baca | Walsh RE-1 School District | 2007 | 15,857 | 1,890,065 | 2,075,210 | 169,739 | 1,905,471 | 1,930,065 | 1,728,576 |
|  | Baca County RE-1 | 2008 | 15,865 | 1,838,103 | 2,085,956 | 153,164 | 1,932,792 | 1,878,103 | 1,788,782 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 2,079,053 | 160,104 | 1,918,949 | 1,913,009 | 1,904,852 |
| Bent | Las Animas RE-1 School District | 2007 | 273,054 | 4,466,066 | 1,409,854 | 414,599 | 995,255 | 4,437,339 | 4,371,554 |
|  | Bent County RE-1 | 2008 | 283,247 | 5,063,709 | 1,567,639 | 474,991 | 1,092,648 | 4,742,641 | 4,441,116 |
|  |  | 2009 | 182,215 | 5,259,442 | 1,615,867 | 537,350 | 1,078,517 | 4,902,584 | 4,710,056 |
| Bent | McClave RE-2 School District | 2007 | - | - | 1,986,232 | 204,002 | 1,782,230 | 2,628,824 | 2,186,143 |
|  |  | 2008 | 190,955 | 3,185,648 | 2,171,847 | 226,358 | 1,945,489 | 3,179,972 | 2,844,713 |
|  |  | 2009 | 147,606 | 3,087,104 | 2,448,843 | 388,652 | 2,060,191 | 3,084,872 | 2,842,170 |
| Bent | Wiley RE-13 JT School District | 2007 | 837,047 | 448,029 | 1,292,295 | 212,606 | 1,079,689 | 2,410,096 | 2,317,513 |
| Prowers |  | 2008 | 81,753 | 2,520,709 | 1,291,961 | 210,264 | 1,081,697 | 2,546,562 | 2,456,554 |
|  |  | 2009 | 83,301 | 2,495,156 | 1,375,912 | 255,976 | 1,119,936 | 2,492,377 | 2,370,315 |
| Boulder | Boulder Valley RE 2 School District | 2007 | 14,307,353 | 248,640,473 | 56,259,607 | 27,668,251 | 28,591,356 | 227,642,907 | 214,568,049 |
| Broomfield |  | 2008 | 21,707,641 | 256,153,075 | 54,059,213 | 32,293,222 | 21,765,991 | 236,612,317 | 228,853,600 |
| Gilpin |  | 2009 | 22,582,695 | 265,639,403 | 53,606,585 | 33,970,915 | 19,635,670 | 240,401,423 | 237,552,363 |
| Boulder | Park (Estes Park) R-3 | 2007 | 909,869 | 12,141,479 | 5,573,361 | 1,792,201 | 3,781,160 | 10,576,620 | 10,290,391 |
| Larimer | School District | 2008 | 1,798,970 | 13,833,245 | 6,554,599 | 2,690,870 | 3,863,729 | 10,959,115 | 10,164,360 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,681,500 | 1,687,408 | 5,509,249 | 1,823,651 | 3,685,598 | 10,889,130 | 10,368,648 |
| Boulder | St. Vrain Valley RE 1J | 2007 | 26,338,580 | 26,644,449 | 33,035,848 | 20,946,291 | 12,089,557 | 145,484,359 | 144,770,973 |
| Broomfield <br> Larimer, Weld | School District | 2008 | 34,057,489 | 28,550,681 | 38,368,353 | 22,904,985 | 15,463,368 | 156,514,350 | 152,972,168 |
|  |  | 2009 | 27,259,243 | 31,060,626 | 53,900,518 | 18,185,808 | 35,714,710 | 186,819,664 | 166,241,816 |
| Boulder | Thompson R-2J School District | 2007 | 12,307,261 | 118,413,943 | 31,702,257 | 10,319,129 | 21,383,128 | 106,070,730 | 89,201,334 |
| Larimer |  | 2008 | 12,310,886 | 122,667,766 | 36,350,342 | 13,023,921 | 23,326,421 | 111,088,535 | 99,457,514 |
| Weld |  | 2009 | 11,887,907 | 124,961,762 | 38,325,956 | 14,209,461 | 24,116,495 | 113,506,066 | 45,021,472 |
| Broomfield | Weld County RE-8 School District | 2007 | 1,231,602 | 18,029,310 | 3,863,765 | 4,433,794 | 2,429,971 | 17,025,388 | 16,651,845 |
| Weld |  | 2008 | 957,519 | 19,493,849 | 9,869,846 | 6,037,110 | 3,832,736 | 18,480,341 | 17,808,797 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,006,379 | 18,957,981 | 5,953,503 | 2,287,505 | 3,665,998 | 18,059,529 | 18,655,053 |
| Chaffee | Buena Vista R-31 School District | 2007 | 640,616 | 705,097 | 4,668,818 | 802,567 | 3,866,251 | 8,407,143 | 8,076,329 |
|  |  | 2008 | 642,965 | 695,155 | 4,626,227 | 868,487 | 3,757,740 | 8,579,660 | 8,472,171 |
|  |  | 2009 | 645,903 | 665,813 | 4,701,300 | 1,112,741 | 3,588,559 | 8,877,479 | 8,843,660 |
| Chaffee | Salida R-32 School District | 2007 | 663,256 | 9,267,960 | 2,857,126 | 1,051,496 | 1,805,630 | 8,633,202 | 8,393,840 |
| Fremont |  | 2008 | 654,673 | 9,495,669 | 3,026,259 | 951,509 | 2,074,750 | 8,824,068 | 8,387,884 |
|  |  | 2009 | 753,428 | 10,881,572 | 3,866,617 | 1,143,014 | 2,723,603 | 10,255,863 | 9,289,637 |
| Cheyenne | Cheyenne County RE-5 | 2007 | 1,035,868 | 3,668,233 | 1,008,409 | 166,900 | 841,509 | 2,653,353 | 2,593,095 |
|  | School District | 2008 | 898,902 | 1,013,909 | 1,319,325 | 174,490 | 1,144,835 | 2,820,716 | 2,469,890 |
|  |  | 2009 | 898,590 | 791,605 | 1,397,536 | 238,023 | 1,159,513 | 3,009,413 | 2,802,197 |
| Cheyenne | Kit Carson R-1 School District | 2007 | 33,293 | 46,346 | 2,138,676 | 128,817 | 2,009,859 | 1,780,837 | 1,630,791 |
|  | Cheyenne County R-1 | 2008 | - | - | 2,156,157 | 138,980 | 2,017,177 | 1,717,208 | 1,580,875 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 2,180,846 | 148,834 | 2,032,011 | 1,752,032 | 1,601,327 |
| Clear Creek | Clear Creek RE-1 School District | 2007 | 2,274,259 | 2,420,130 | 5,194,083 | 630,334 | 4,563,749 | 8,650,488 | 7,723,798 |
|  |  | 2008 | 2,275,071 | 2,662,180 | 5,047,390 | 639,461 | 4,407,929 | 8,011,576 | 7,963,248 |
|  |  | 2009 | 2,266,528 | 2,298,842 | 5,000,168 | 687,241 | 4,312,927 | 8,046,040 | 7,942,767 |
| Conejos | South Conejos RE-10 | 2007 | - | - | 909,273 | 354,044 | 555,229 | 2,879,555 | 2,569,485 |
|  | School District | 2008 | - | - | 974,494 | 390,212 | 584,282 | 2,934,602 | 2,736,712 |
|  |  | 2009 | 40,975 | 3,299,715 | 1,030,261 | 374,003 | 656,258 | 3,003,373 | 2,756,397 |


|  |  | Tota |  | Ratio 1 | Ratio 2 | Ratio 3 | Ratio 4 | Ratio 5 | Ratio 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Interfund } \\ & \text { Transfer Net } \end{aligned}$ | Deficit Fund Balance | Deficit Fund Balance | Gen Fund Prior Year Fund Bal | ASR <br> Ratio | DBR Ratio | ORR <br> Ratio | OMR <br> Ratio | DFBR <br> Ratio | CFBR <br> Ratio |  |
| 70,000 | - | - | 6,090,899 | 5.80 | 1.10 | 0.5121 | (0.03) | - | (0.05) |  |
| 70,000 | - | - | 5,806,999 | 6.20 | 1.09 | 0.5536 | 0.04 | - | 0.07 |  |
| $(292,360)$ | - | - | 3,318,450 | 4.29 | 1.15 | 0.3116 | (0.03) | - | (0.01) |  |
| 1,507,867 | - | - | 3,286,632 | 6.87 | 1.08 | 0.3841 | 0.18 | - | 0.03 |  |
| $(321,685)$ | - | - | 3,397,559 | 7.86 | 1.07 | 0.3274 | 0.02 | - | 0.05 |  |
| $(371,666)$ | - | - | 3,191,081 | 5.68 | 3,230.20 | 0.4582 | 0.06 | - | 0.15 |  |
| $(648,388)$ | - | - | 3,674,424 | 6.10 | 3,733.75 | 0.5057 | 0.06 | - | 0.16 |  |
| $(690,301)$ | - | - | 4,259,726 | 5.90 | - | 0.4834 | - | - | 0.01 |  |
| $(29,436)$ | - | - | 553,705 | 6.82 | - | 0.8574 | 0.21 | - | 0.44 |  |
| $(52,731)$ | - | - | 798,278 | 10.84 | - | 0.9156 | 0.09 | - | 0.12 |  |
| $(20,000)$ | - | - | 897,179 | 13.60 | - | 1.3900 | 0.32 | - | 0.44 |  |
| $(45,000)$ | - | - | 1,071,465 | 10.29 | - | 1.0083 | (0.03) | - | (0.03) | 2 |
| $(52,700)$ | - | - | 1,041,394 | 10.93 | - | 0.8570 | (0.11) | - | (0.10) | 2 |
| $(78,000)$ | - | - | 937,109 | 8.44 | - | 0.6709 | (0.14) | - | (0.16) | 2 |
| $(65,000)$ | - | - | 660,907 | 4.72 | 82.04 | 0.3603 | 0.09 | - | 0.36 |  |
| $(130,000)$ | - | - | 897,722 | 4.76 | 67.89 | 0.3723 | 0.03 | - | 0.10 |  |
| $(61,700)$ | - | - | 988,704 | 4.87 | - | 0.4265 | 0.06 | - | 0.14 |  |
| $(112,644)$ | - | - | 1,122,295 | 1.69 | - | 0.0844 | 0.06 | - | 0.91 | 2 |
| $(117,348)$ | - | - | 2,149,154 | 1.80 | - | 0.0328 | (0.04) | - | (0.64) | 2 |
| $(140,483)$ | - | - | 763,090 | 1.31 | - | 0.0658 | (0.15) | - | (0.66) | 2 |
| $(74,000)$ | - | - | 1,777,982 | 12.23 | 119.19 | 1.0571 | 0.07 | - | 0.07 | 1 |
| $(62,000)$ | - | - | 1,905,471 | 13.62 | 115.86 | 1.0443 | 0.01 | - | 0.01 | 1 |
| $(22,000)$ | - | - | 1,932,792 | 12.99 | - | 0.9959 | (0.01) | - | (0.01) | 1 |
| $(200,800)$ | - | - | 874,270 | 3.40 | 16.36 | 0.2177 | (0.03) | - | 0.14 |  |
| $(204,132)$ | - | - | 995,255 | 3.30 | 17.88 | 0.2352 | 0.02 | - | 0.10 |  |
| $(165,000)$ | - | - | 1,092,648 | 3.01 | 28.86 | 0.2212 | 0.01 | - | (0.01) |  |
| $(168,000)$ | - | - | 1,507,549 | 9.74 | - | 0.7571 | 0.10 | - | 0.18 |  |
| $(172,000)$ | - | - | 1,782,230 | 9.59 | 16.68 | 0.6449 | 0.05 | - | 0.09 |  |
| $(128,000)$ | - | - | 1,945,489 | 6.30 | 20.91 | 0.6936 | 0.04 | - | 0.06 |  |
| $(59,299)$ | - | - | 1,046,405 | 6.08 | 0.54 | 0.4543 | 0.01 | - | 0.03 |  |
| $(88,000)$ | - | - | 1,079,689 | 6.14 | 30.83 | 0.4251 | - | - | - |  |
| $(83,823)$ | - | - | 1,081,697 | 5.38 | 29.95 | 0.4563 | 0.02 | - | 0.04 |  |
| $(8,032,741)$ | - | - | 23,549,239 | 2.03 | 17.38 | 0.1284 | 0.02 | - | 0.21 |  |
| $(14,584,082)$ | - | - | 28,591,356 | 1.67 | 11.80 | 0.0894 | (0.03) | - | (0.24) |  |
| $(4,979,381)$ | - | - | 21,765,991 | 1.58 | 11.76 | 0.0810 | (0.01) | - | (0.10) |  |
| $(667,000)$ | - | - | 4,161,931 | 3.11 | 13.34 | 0.3451 | (0.04) | - | (0.09) |  |
| $(712,186)$ | - | - | 3,781,160 | 2.44 | 7.69 | 0.3552 | 0.01 | - | 0.02 |  |
| $(698,613)$ | - | - | 3,863,729 | 3.02 | 1.00 | 0.3330 | (0.02) | - | (0.05) |  |
| $(423,614)$ | - | - | 7,733,686 | 1.58 | 1.01 | 0.0833 | - | - | 0.56 |  |
| $(168,371)$ | - | - | 12,089,557 | 1.68 | 0.84 | 0.1010 | 0.02 | - | 0.28 |  |
| $(326,506)$ | - | - | 15,463,368 | 2.96 | 1.14 | 0.2144 | 0.11 | - | 1.31 |  |
| $(9,591,403)$ | - | - | 14,105,135 | 3.07 | 9.62 | 0.2164 | 0.07 | - | 0.52 |  |
| $(9,687,728)$ | - | - | 21,383,128 | 2.79 | 9.96 | 0.2137 | 0.02 | - | 0.09 |  |
| $(8,899,260)$ | - | - | 23,326,421 | 2.70 | 10.51 | 0.4473 | 0.52 | - | 0.03 |  |
| $(419,000)$ | - | - | 2,475,428 | 0.87 | 14.64 | 0.1423 | - | - | (0.02) |  |
| 731,221 | - | - | 2,429,971 | 1.63 | 20.36 | 0.2244 | 0.08 | - | 0.58 |  |
| 874,986 | - | - | 3,386,536 | 2.60 | 18.84 | 0.2062 | 0.02 | - | 0.08 |  |
| $(197,000)$ | - | - | 3,697,920 | 5.82 | 1.10 | 0.4673 | 0.02 | - | 0.05 | 2 |
| $(216,000)$ | - | - | 3,866,251 | 5.33 | 1.08 | 0.4325 | (0.01) | - | (0.03) | 2 |
| $(203,000)$ | - | - | 3,757,740 | 4.22 | 1.03 | 0.3967 | (0.02) | - | (0.05) | 2 |
| $(189,981)$ | 404,434 | 1,652,314 | 1,756,249 | 2.72 | 13.97 | 0.2104 | 0.01 | (0.85) | 0.03 |  |
| $(167,064)$ | 381,388 | 551,147 | 1,805,630 | 3.18 | 14.50 | 0.2425 | 0.03 | (3.07) | 0.15 |  |
| $(792,186)$ | - | - | 2,074,750 | 3.38 | 14.44 | 0.2701 | 0.02 | - | 0.31 |  |
| $(48,000)$ | - | - | 829,251 | 6.04 | 3.54 | 0.3186 | - | - | 0.01 | 1 |
| $(47,500)$ | - | - | 841,509 | 7.56 | 1.13 | 0.4548 | 0.11 | - | 0.36 | 1 |
| $(154,531)$ | - | - | 1,144,835 | 5.87 | 0.88 | 0.3922 | 0.02 | - | 0.01 | 1 |
| $(124,499)$ | - | - | 1,984,824 | 16.60 | 1.39 | 1.1450 | 0.01 | - | 0.01 |  |
| $(128,267)$ | - | - | 2,009,859 | 15.51 | - | 1.1802 | - | - | - |  |
| $(135,280)$ | - | - | 2,017,177 | 14.65 | - | 1.1701 | 0.01 | - | 0.01 |  |
| $(555,663)$ | - | - | 4,192,722 | 8.24 | 1.06 | 0.5512 | 0.04 | - | 0.09 |  |
| $(204,148)$ | - | - | 4,563,749 | 7.89 | 1.17 | 0.5397 | (0.02) | - | (0.03) |  |
| $(208,604)$ | - | - | 4,312,927 | 7.28 | 1.01 | 0.5291 | (0.01) | - | - |  |
| $(300,000)$ | - | - | 545,159 | 2.57 | - | 0.1935 | - | - | 0.02 |  |
| $(241,000)$ | - | - | 627,392 | 2.50 | - | 0.1962 | (0.01) | - | (0.07) |  |
| $(175,000)$ | - | - | 584,282 | 2.75 | 80.53 | 0.2239 | 0.02 | - | 0.12 |  |


| County | School District Name | Year | Gov Fund Annual Debt Service | Gov Funds Total Revenue Paying Debt Service | Gen Fund Total Assets | Gen Fund Total Liabilities | Fund Balance of the General Fund | Gen Fund Total Revenue | Gen Fund Total Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Costilla | Centennial R-1 School District | 2007 | - | - | 404,816 | 278,305 | 126,511 | 2,506,743 | 2,611,190 |
|  |  | 2008 | 245,321 | 369,531 | 395,555 | 576,073 | $(180,518)$ | 2,298,996 | 2,605,895 |
|  |  | 2009 | 456,173 | 586,562 | 486,194 | 622,189 | $(135,995)$ | 2,433,258 | 2,342,935 |
| Costilla | Sierra Grande R-30 School District | 2007 | 372,408 | 567,122 | 950,692 | 493,227 | 457,465 | 2,696,356 | 2,756,208 |
|  |  | 2008 | 341,993 | 572,776 | 821,740 | 436,569 | 385,171 | 2,767,134 | 2,779,428 |
|  |  | 2009 | 292,346 | 296,311 | 764,921 | 298,330 | 466,591 | 2,812,987 | 2,740,313 |
| Crowley | Crowley County RE-1-J | 2007 | 68,325 | 4,695,985 | 3,558,190 | 1,044,173 | 2,514,017 | 4,693,002 | 4,946,158 |
| Lincoln | School District | 2008 | 452,957 | 5,904,855 | 2,537,407 | 496,689 | 2,040,718 | 5,636,308 | 6,294,290 |
|  |  | 2009 | 207,402 | 4,688,306 | 2,280,381 | 459,351 | 1,821,030 | 4,688,005 | 4,556,455 |
| Crowley | Fowler R-4J School District | 2007 | 167,880 | 159,253 | 1,097,134 | 281,769 | 815,365 | 3,216,530 | 2,981,103 |
| Otero | Otero County R-4J | 2008 | 164,969 | 169,201 | 1,169,345 | 311,425 | 857,920 | 3,210,767 | 3,156,212 |
| Pueblo |  | 2009 | 166,773 | 177,910 | 1,243,396 | 379,248 | 864,148 | 3,475,715 | 3,449,991 |
| Crowley | Manzanola 3J School District | 2007 | - | - | 2,322,547 | 288,867 | 2,033,680 | 2,665,084 | 2,671,187 |
| Otero | Otero County 3J | 2008 | - | - | 2,182,427 | 266,838 | 1,915,589 | 2,630,219 | 2,683,310 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 2,022,374 | 306,124 | 1,716,250 | 2,608,084 | 2,732,423 |
| Custer | Consolidated C-1 School District | 2007 | 428,944 | 474,447 | 987,893 | 386,070 | 601,823 | 4,107,387 | 3,816,879 |
|  | Custer County C-1 | 2008 | 430,771 | 475,705 | 862,786 | 401,726 | 461,060 | 4,123,506 | 4,063,038 |
|  |  | 2009 | 422,185 | 433,933 | 774,290 | 415,661 | 358,629 | 4,323,113 | 4,223,388 |
| Custer | Florence RE-2 School District | 2007 | 1,725,877 | 2,014,170 | 4,111,773 | 1,428,299 | 2,683,474 | 12,104,955 | 10,973,778 |
| El Paso | Fremont County RE-2 | 2008 | 1,767,968 | 1,993,215 | 4,636,231 | 1,459,958 | 3,176,273 | 12,360,229 | 11,422,931 |
| Fremont |  | 2009 | 1,765,738 | 1,892,551 | 5,210,979 | 1,389,059 | 3,821,920 | 12,668,721 | 11,351,737 |
| Delta | Delta County School District 50(J) | 2007 | 7,400,238 | 42,498,706 | 6,480,264 | 3,841,009 | 2,639,255 | 36,529,746 | 33,905,965 |
| Gunnison |  | 2008 | 2,737,293 | 44,021,077 | 8,159,435 | 3,898,366 | 4,261,068 | 37,830,277 | 35,708,277 |
| Mesa, Montrose |  | 2009 | 2,742,997 | 40,278,874 | 8,551,546 | 4,579,439 | 3,972,107 | 38,074,634 | 36,661,200 |
| Denver | Denver County 1 School District | 2007 | 97,449,939 | 639,580,411 | 167,510,398 | 72,092,624 | 95,417,774 | 587,121,645 | 528,631,443 |
|  |  | 2008 | 172,979,050 | 668,624,927 | 114,829,707 | 79,945,991 | 34,883,716 | 608,361,819 | 658,350,653 |
|  |  | 2009 | 121,164,846 | 691,933,501 | 107,870,733 | 79,245,326 | 28,625,407 | 625,758,568 | 587,625,818 |
| Dolores | Dolores County RE No. 2 | 2007 | 344,131 | 503,985 | 1,029,815 | 247,631 | 782,184 | 2,959,892 | 2,669,126 |
| San Miguel | Dove Creek | 2008 | 346,669 | 609,995 | 1,178,095 | 316,536 | 861,559 | 3,159,737 | 2,930,362 |
|  | School District | 2009 | 343,873 | 409,592 | 1,190,494 | 347,837 | 842,657 | 2,956,978 | 2,859,880 |
| Douglas | Douglas County RE 1 | 2007 | 47,940,712 | 413,453,515 | 63,656,818 | 28,031,101 | 35,625,717 | 356,400,536 | 345,898,652 |
| Elbert | School District | 2008 | 54,662,410 | 451,746,089 | 52,884,371 | 32,696,313 | 20,188,058 | 389,260,993 | 390,130,037 |
|  |  | 2009 | 60,677,601 | 505,467,768 | 54,533,273 | 33,912,326 | 20,620,947 | 440,335,777 | 421,444,765 |
| Eagle | Eagle County RE 50 School District | 2007 | 9,486,655 | 14,856,303 | 26,235,578 | 10,405,063 | 15,830,515 | 42,317,250 | 40,359,429 |
| Garfield |  | 2008 | 15,419,135 | 14,717,583 | 29,764,008 | 13,706,089 | 16,057,919 | 44,648,847 | 43,889,853 |
| Routt |  | 2009 | 14,834,935 | 14,662,817 | 25,518,563 | 11,969,891 | 13,548,672 | 47,661,445 | 49,395,748 |
| Eagle | Roaring Fork RE-1 School District | 2007 | 26,306,128 | 11,212,734 | 14,453,014 | 8,124,924 | 6,328,090 | 38,152,456 | 36,472,762 |
| Garfield |  | 2008 | 8,185,004 | 12,923,882 | 14,758,003 | 9,243,884 | 5,514,119 | 38,664,485 | 39,507,189 |
| Pitkin |  | 2009 | 9,045,562 | 11,457,935 | 13,461,518 | 9,266,806 | 4,194,712 | 40,052,386 | 41,086,788 |
| Eagle | West Grand 1-JT School District | 2007 | 290,773 | 1,145,587 | 1,089,223 | 547,887 | 541,336 | 5,110,005 | 5,617,821 |
| Grand |  | 2008 | 935,784 | 1,206,558 | 1,916,540 | 400,602 | 1,515,938 | 5,542,754 | 4,417,849 |
| Summit |  | 2009 | 907,655 | 1,447,794 | 1,931,100 | 394,780 | 1,536,320 | 4,544,651 | 4,976,745 |
| El Paso | Academy 20 School District | 2007 | 29,041,560 | 172,295,073 | 35,625,803 | 18,284,814 | 17,340,989 | 144,743,679 | 143,446,996 |
|  |  | 2008 | 28,124,136 | 186,494,598 | 35,438,274 | 19,618,625 | 15,819,649 | 153,378,725 | 150,770,745 |
|  |  | 2009 | 26,043,572 | 193,299,774 | 39,605,488 | 21,548,268 | 18,057,220 | 161,926,560 | 155,043,100 |
| El Paso | Bid Sandy 100J School District | 2007 | 153,460 | 246,590 | 1,144,630 | 277,959 | 866,671 | 3,297,097 | 3,241,374 |
| Elbert |  | 2008 | - | - | 1,201,191 | 301,853 | 899,338 | 3,503,837 | 3,335,056 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 1,205,435 | 326,614 | 878,821 | 3,522,904 | 3,405,002 |
| El Paso | Calhan RJ-1 School District | 2007 | 287,026 | 263,674 | 1,768,539 | 374,117 | 1,394,422 | 5,045,027 | 4,985,281 |
| Elbert |  | 2008 | 141,368 | 238,461 | 1,870,133 | 371,363 | 1,498,769 | 5,038,456 | 4,957,649 |
|  |  | 2009 | 141,985 | 156,039 | 1,949,838 | 358,574 | 1,591,264 | 4,560,204 | 4,446,019 |
| El Paso | Cheyenne Mountain 12 | 2007 | 3,692,329 | 3,653,991 | 12,210,067 | 3,365,841 | 8,844,226 | 28,254,970 | 27,460,173 |
|  | School District | 2008 | 3,693,991 | 3,529,899 | 11,960,500 | 3,738,696 | 8,221,804 | 28,996,399 | 29,210,491 |
|  |  | 2009 | 3,597,051 | 3,519,277 | 12,756,438 | 3,639,973 | 9,116,465 | 30,778,891 | 29,793,479 |
| El Paso | Colorado Spring 11 School District | 2007 | 20,950,885 | 208,656,103 | 69,010,179 | 52,319,232 | 16,690,947 | 182,456,330 | 200,724,054 |
|  |  | 2008 | 19,956,677 | 218,287,952 | 70,084,747 | 50,173,785 | 19,910,962 | 188,477,790 | 213,268,991 |
|  |  | 2009 | 20,378,156 | 213,658,040 | 74,225,262 | 57,580,985 | 16,644,277 | 190,795,468 | 220,873,362 |
| El Paso | Edison 54 JT School District | 2007 | 26,899 | 1,684,098 | 192,031 | 147,357 | 44,674 | 1,684,098 | 1,707,629 |
| Lincoln |  | 2008 | - | - | 279,140 | 143,869 | 135,271 | 1,838,562 | 1,719,765 |
| Pueblo |  | 2009 | 46,565 | 46,815 | 303,785 | 158,886 | 144,899 | 2,025,416 | 2,019,688 |
| El Paso | Ellicott 22 School District | 2007 | 442,203 | 560,235 | 2,504,116 | 621,326 | 1,882,790 | 6,825,659 | 6,450,114 |
|  |  | 2008 | 663,726 | 1,513,336 | 2,674,716 | 667,502 | 2,007,214 | 7,017,194 | 6,913,401 |
|  |  | 2009 | 645,893 | 1,135,213 | 2,891,087 | 738,131 | 2,152,956 | 7,111,291 | 6,945,549 |
| El Paso | Falcon 49 School District | 2007 | 11,147,657 | 90,239,847 | 34,057,872 | 9,528,867 | 24,529,005 | 81,462,039 | 70,668,163 |
|  |  | 2008 | 12,954,301 | 97,365,739 | 35,665,331 | 12,448,383 | 23,216,948 | 90,143,535 | 88,884,590 |
|  |  | 2009 | 14,885,178 | 106,392,959 | 33,261,956 | 11,105,099 | 22,156,857 | 98,964,120 | 97,308,429 |
| El Paso | Fountain 8 School District | 2007 | 453,497 | 48,637,730 | 6,737,550 | 4,640,303 | 2,097,247 | 46,414,605 | 39,587,193 |

[^0]|  |  | Total Revenue in |  | Ratio 1 | Ratio 2 | Ratio 3 | Ratio 4 | Ratio 5 | Ratio 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interfund Transfer Net | Deficit Fund Balance | Deficit Fund Balance | Gen Fund Prior Year Fund Bal | ASR <br> Ratio | DBR Ratio | ORR Ratio | OMR <br> Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DFBR } \\ & \text { Ratio } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | CFBR <br> Ratio |  |
| $(80,000)$ | - | - | 310,958 | 1.45 | - | 0.0470 | (0.07) | - | (0.59) |  |
| $(54,246)$ | 180,518 | 2,298,996 | 180,627 | 0.69 | 1.51 | -0.0679 | (0.16) | - | (2.00) |  |
| $(45,800)$ | 135,995 | 2,433,258 | $(180,518)$ | 0.78 | 1.29 | -0.0569 | 0.02 | - | 0.25 |  |
| $(87,000)$ | - | - | 620,894 | 1.93 | 1.52 | 0.1609 | (0.05) | - | (0.26) | 1 |
| $(60,000)$ | - | - | 457,465 | 1.88 | 1.67 | 0.1357 | (0.03) | - | (0.16) | 1 |
| $(126,506)$ | - | - | 520,423 | 2.56 | 1.01 | 0.1628 | (0.02) | - | (0.10) | 1 |
| $(196,500)$ | - | - | 2,104,124 | 3.41 | 68.73 | 0.4889 | (0.10) | - | 0.19 | 1 |
| $(188,000)$ | 2,752 | 446,547 | 2,514,017 | 5.11 | 13.04 | 0.3148 | (0.15) | (4.56) | (0.19) | 1 |
| $(314,000)$ | - | - | 2,040,718 | 4.96 | 22.60 | 0.3739 | (0.04) | - | (0.11) | 1 |
| $(22,000)$ | - | - | 601,938 | 3.89 | 0.95 | 0.2715 | 0.07 | - | 0.35 |  |
| $(12,000)$ | - | - | 815,365 | 3.75 | 1.03 | 0.2708 | 0.01 | - | 0.05 |  |
| $(19,500)$ | - | - | 857,924 | 3.28 | 1.07 | 0.2491 | - | - | 0.01 |  |
| $(65,000)$ | - | - | 2,104,783 | 8.04 | - | 0.7433 | (0.03) | - | (0.03) | 2 |
| $(65,000)$ | - | - | 2,033,680 | 8.18 | - | 0.6970 | (0.04) | - | (0.06) | 2 |
| $(75,000)$ | - | - | 1,915,589 | 6.61 | - | 0.6113 | (0.08) | - | (0.10) | 2 |
| $(179,901)$ | - | - | 491,216 | 2.56 | 1.11 | 0.1506 | 0.03 | - | 0.23 |  |
| $(201,231)$ | - | - | 601,823 | 2.15 | 1.10 | 0.1081 | (0.03) | - | (0.23) |  |
| $(202,156)$ | - | - | 461,060 | 1.86 | 1.03 | 0.0810 | (0.02) | - | (0.22) |  |
| $(986,017)$ | 5,965 | 906,765 | 2,538,314 | 2.88 | 1.17 | 0.2244 | 0.01 | (2.95) | 0.06 |  |
| $(444,499)$ | - | - | 2,683,474 | 3.18 | 1.13 | 0.2676 | 0.04 | - | 0.18 |  |
| $(329,873)$ | - | - | 3,176,273 | 3.75 | 1.07 | 0.3272 | 0.08 | - | 0.20 |  |
| $(1,766,240)$ | - | - | 1,395,379 | 1.69 | 5.74 | 0.0740 | 0.02 | - | 0.89 | 1 |
| $(1,600,000)$ | - | - | 2,639,255 | 2.09 | 16.08 | 0.1142 | 0.01 | - | 0.61 | 1 |
| $(1,710,000)$ | - | - | 4,261,068 | 1.87 | 14.68 | 0.1035 | (0.01) | - | (0.07) | 1 |
| $(48,081,797)$ | - | - | 85,009,369 | 2.32 | 6.56 | 0.1655 | 0.02 | - | 0.12 |  |
| $(48,788,122)$ | - | - | 95,417,774 | 1.44 | 3.87 | 0.0493 | (0.16) | - | (0.63) |  |
| $(44,391,059)$ | - | - | 34,883,716 | 1.36 | 5.71 | 0.0453 | (0.01) | - | (0.18) |  |
| $(75,000)$ | - | - | 566,418 | 4.16 | 1.46 | 0.2850 | 0.07 | - | 0.38 | 1 |
| $(75,000)$ | - | - | 782,184 | 3.72 | 1.76 | 0.2867 | 0.05 | - | 0.10 | 1 |
| $(116,000)$ | - | - | 861,559 | 3.42 | 1.19 | 0.2832 | (0.01) | - | (0.02) | 1 |
| $(11,135,677)$ | - | - | 36,259,510 | 2.27 | 8.62 | 0.0998 | - | - | (0.02) |  |
| $(14,568,615)$ | - | - | 35,625,717 | 1.62 | 8.26 | 0.0499 | (0.04) | - | (0.43) |  |
| $(18,458,123)$ | - | - | 20,188,058 | 1.61 | 8.33 | 0.0469 | - | - | 0.02 |  |
| $(370,244)$ | - | - | 14,242,938 | 2.52 | 1.57 | 0.3887 | 0.04 | - | 0.11 | 2 |
| $(593,035)$ | - | - | 15,830,514 | 2.17 | 0.95 | 0.3610 | - | - | 0.01 | 2 |
| $(794,898)$ | - | - | 16,057,920 | 2.13 | 0.99 | 0.2699 | (0.05) | - | (0.16) | 2 |
| $(628,368)$ | - | - | 5,276,764 | 1.78 | 0.43 | 0.1706 | 0.03 | - | 0.20 | 2 |
| 28,732 | - | - | 6,328,091 | 1.60 | 1.58 | 0.1397 | (0.02) | - | (0.13) | 2 |
| $(285,007)$ | - | - | 5,514,121 | 1.45 | 1.27 | 0.1014 | (0.03) | - | (0.24) | 2 |
| $(148,303)$ | - | - | 1,197,455 | 1.99 | 3.94 | 0.0939 | (0.13) | - | (0.55) |  |
| $(150,303)$ | - | - | 541,336 | 4.78 | 1.29 | 0.3318 | 0.18 | - | 1.80 |  |
| $(145,303)$ | - | - | 1,515,938 | 4.89 | 1.60 | 0.2999 | (0.13) | - | 0.01 |  |
| $(3,827,060)$ | - | - | 19,871,366 | 1.95 | 5.93 | 0.1177 | (0.02) | - | (0.13) |  |
| $(4,129,320)$ | - | - | 17,340,989 | 1.81 | 6.63 | 0.1021 | (0.01) | - | (0.09) |  |
| $(4,645,889)$ | - | - | 15,819,649 | 1.84 | 7.42 | 0.1131 | 0.01 | - | 0.14 |  |
| $(106,438)$ | - | - | 917,387 | 4.12 | 1.61 | 0.2589 | (0.02) | - | (0.06) |  |
| $(136,115)$ | - | - | 866,671 | 3.98 | - | 0.2591 | 0.01 | - | 0.04 |  |
| $(95,360)$ | - | - | 899,338 | 3.69 | - | 0.2511 | 0.01 | - | (0.02) |  |
| 57,614 | - | - | 1,277,061 | 4.73 | 0.92 | 0.2830 | 0.02 | - | 0.09 |  |
| 23,540 | - | - | 1,394,422 | 5.04 | 1.69 | 0.3038 | 0.02 | - | 0.07 |  |
| $(21,690)$ | - | - | 1,498,769 | 5.44 | 1.10 | 0.3562 | 0.02 | - | 0.06 |  |
| $(414,951)$ | - | - | 8,464,380 | 3.63 | 0.99 | 0.3173 | 0.01 | - | 0.04 | 1 |
| $(408,330)$ | - | - | 8,844,226 | 3.20 | 0.96 | 0.2776 | (0.02) | - | (0.07) | 1 |
| $(90,750)$ | - | - | 8,221,803 | 3.50 | 0.98 | 0.3051 | 0.03 | - | 0.11 | 1 |
| 19,748,468 | - | - | 14,246,985 | 1.32 | 9.96 | 0.0922 | 0.01 | - | 0.17 |  |
| 28,011,216 | - | - | 16,690,947 | 1.40 | 10.94 | 0.1075 | 0.02 | - | 0.19 |  |
| 26,811,209 | - | - | 19,910,962 | 1.29 | 10.48 | 0.0858 | (0.02) | - | (0.16) |  |
| $(60,200)$ | - | - | 128,405 | 1.30 | 62.61 | 0.0253 | (0.05) | - | (0.65) |  |
| $(28,200)$ | - | - | 44,674 | 1.94 | - | 0.0774 | 0.05 | - | 2.03 |  |
| $(40,000)$ | 9,839 | 2,085,503 | 135,271 | 1.91 | 1.01 | 0.0703 | (0.02) | (0.06) | 0.07 |  |
| $(15,000)$ | - | - | 1,522,245 | 4.03 | 1.27 | 0.2912 | 0.05 | - | 0.24 |  |
| $(15,000)$ | - | - | 1,882,789 | 4.01 | 2.28 | 0.2897 | 0.01 | - | 0.07 |  |
| $(20,000)$ | - | - | 2,007,214 | 3.92 | 1.76 | 0.3091 | 0.02 | - | 0.07 |  |
| $(1,631,388)$ | - | - | 15,366,517 | 3.57 | 8.09 | 0.3393 | 0.11 | - | 0.60 |  |
| $(2,571,002)$ | - | - | 24,529,005 | 2.87 | 7.52 | 0.2539 | (0.01) | - | (0.05) |  |
| $(2,715,782)$ | - | - | 23,216,948 | 3.00 | 7.15 | 0.2215 | (0.01) | - | (0.05) |  |
| $(6,261,761)$ | - | - | 1,531,596 | 1.45 | 107.25 | 0.0457 | 0.01 | - | 0.37 |  |


| County | School District Name | Year | Gov Fund Annual Debt Service | Gov Funds Total Revenue Paying Debt Service | Gen Fund Total Assets | Gen Fund Total Liabilities | Fund Balance of the General Fund | Gen Fund Total Revenue | Gen Fund Total Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2008 | 2,037,600 | 53,543,994 | 7,660,461 | 6,103,004 | 1,557,457 | 52,359,374 | 44,723,700 |
|  |  | 2009 | 2,131,450 | 56,977,342 | 7,999,736 | 5,995,133 | 2,004,603 | 56,704,302 | 49,292,509 |
| El Paso | Hanover 28 School District | 2007 | 659,975 | 864,423 | 1,064,444 | 257,543 | 806,901 | 3,251,678 | 2,768,852 |
|  |  | 2008 | 658,500 | 837,062 | 1,085,775 | 323,164 | 762,611 | 3,377,029 | 3,331,019 |
|  |  | 2009 | 727,509 | 957,818 | 872,226 | 410,361 | 461,865 | 3,073,411 | 3,256,592 |
| El Paso | Harrison 2 School District El Paso County 2 | 2007 | 6,742,146 | 9,026,772 | 34,680,835 | 10,808,813 | 23,872,022 | 71,029,617 | 69,589,267 |
|  |  | 2008 | 6,824,973 | 9,675,282 | 36,229,828 | 9,135,227 | 27,094,601 | 75,467,912 | 72,245,333 |
|  |  | 2009 | 6,777,439 | 84,320,990 | 33,590,101 | 8,911,538 | 24,678,563 | 74,693,885 | 77,259,653 |
| El Paso | Lewis Palmer 38 School District | 2007 | 5,988,348 | 9,430,698 | 12,938,640 | 5,399,950 | 7,538,690 | 36,639,281 | 38,360,483 |
|  |  | 2008 | 8,154,583 | 51,025,925 | 15,110,388 | 9,227,036 | 5,883,352 | 43,444,711 | 43,396,874 |
|  |  | 2009 | 8,050,871 | 50,950,601 | 8,817,441 | 4,890,040 | 3,927,401 | 44,704,347 | 44,518,495 |
| El Paso | Manitou Springs 14 School District El Paso County 14 | 2007 | 939,158 | 846,365 | 3,220,687 | 1,727,357 | 1,493,330 | 10,538,390 | 9,635,331 |
|  |  | 2008 | 835,431 | 871,410 | 3,752,632 | 1,315,175 | 2,434,457 | 11,478,954 | 10,224,827 |
|  |  | 2009 | 837,681 | 841,152 | 4,398,482 | 1,419,335 | 2,979,147 | 11,470,839 | 10,552,919 |
| El Paso | Miami/Yoder 60 JT School District | 2007 | 104,583 | 207,138 | 1,661,240 | 277,595 | 1,383,645 | 3,380,087 | 3,025,255 |
| Elbert |  | 2008 | 228,468 | 380,063 | 2,078,580 | 328,467 | 1,750,113 | 3,209,063 | 2,779,594 |
| Lincoln |  | 2009 | 229,268 | 282,847 | 2,024,303 | 387,429 | 1,636,874 | 3,187,684 | 3,237,922 |
| El Paso | Peyton 23 JT School District | 2007 | 474,213 | 5,518,175 | 2,158,472 | 919,898 | 1,238,573 | 4,987,341 | 4,937,943 |
| Elbert |  | 2008 | 454,638 | 549,477 | 2,084,298 | 875,853 | 1,208,445 | 5,020,188 | 5,028,316 |
|  |  | 2009 | 525,562 | 908,593 | 1,672,630 | 488,461 | 1,184,169 | 4,818,151 | 4,820,427 |
| El Paso | Widefield 3 School District | 2007 | 1,935,825 | 2,292,377 | 31,798,092 | 10,431,021 | 21,367,071 | 51,232,324 | 53,585,011 |
|  |  | 2008 | 1,934,567 | 2,379,133 | 23,596,678 | 8,788,443 | 14,808,235 | 55,392,730 | 57,430,631 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,947,910 | 2,050,332 | 20,038,800 | 8,823,940 | 11,214,860 | 56,644,996 | 60,239,292 |
| Elbert | Agate 300 School District | 2007 | 6,979 | 7,749 | 245,399 | 98,275 | 147,124 | 1,137,996 | 1,069,949 |
|  |  | 2008 | 6,979 | 10,495 | 315,821 | 84,095 | 231,726 | 1,155,581 | 1,047,855 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 333,979 | 68,585 | 265,394 | 1,083,323 | 1,035,732 |
| Elbert | Elbert 200 School District | 2007 | - | - | 631,540 | 68,185 | 563,355 | 2,641,015 | 2,437,598 |
|  |  | 2008 | - | - | 544,559 | 108,249 | 436,310 | 2,562,542 | 2,554,446 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 597,141 | 116,293 | 480,848 | 2,607,340 | 2,515,661 |
| Elbert | Elizabeth C-1 School District Elbert County C-1 | 2007 | 1,669,024 | 1,838,142 | 3,434,424 | 2,154,295 | 1,280,129 | 19,626,923 | 18,284,753 |
|  |  | 2008 | 1,763,183 | 1,805,184 | 4,041,381 | 2,105,885 | 1,935,496 | 20,412,153 | 18,743,624 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,755,184 | 1,722,611 | 4,605,627 | 2,140,220 | 2,465,407 | 20,443,037 | 18,930,787 |
| $\overline{\text { Elbert }}$ | Kiowa C-2 School District | 2007 | 220,258 | 251,632 | 1,603,164 | 368,649 | 1,234,515 | 4,026,079 | 4,094,140 |
|  |  | 2008 | 220,498 | 195,047 | 1,824,668 | 376,183 | 1,448,485 | 3,452,846 | 3,140,846 |
|  |  | 2009 | 251,433 | 3,568,286 | 1,955,277 | 383,140 | 1,572,137 | 3,389,453 | 3,179,718 |
| Elbert | Limon RE-4J School District | 2007 | 177,526 | 246,833 | 1,415,301 | 372,588 | 1,042,713 | 4,050,575 | 3,544,337 |
| Lincoln | Lincoln County RE-4J | 2008 | 175,434 | 263,128 | 1,505,810 | 351,215 | 1,154,595 | 4,032,281 | 3,788,899 |
|  |  | 2009 | 179,905 | 4,324,268 | 1,513,775 | 406,884 | 1,106,891 | 4,076,418 | 3,992,664 |
| Fremont | Canon City RE-1 School District | 2007 | 9,905,375 | 25,763,825 | 7,453,593 | 5,257,776 | 2,195,817 | 23,686,307 | 23,406,777 |
|  | Freemont County RE-1 | 2008 | 2,154,580 | 28,253,005 | 6,770,663 | 4,884,002 | 1,886,661 | 24,494,286 | 24,356,853 |
|  |  | 2009 | 2,103,388 | 3,201,817 | 6,441,092 | 4,517,534 | 1,923,558 | 25,060,215 | 24,535,294 |
| Fremont | Cotopaxi RE-3 School District | 2007 | 278,845 | 3,694,019 | 1,385,903 | 341,306 | 1,044,597 | 3,543,423 | 3,604,446 |
|  | Fremont County RE-3 | 2008 | 116,815 | 111,424 | 1,457,716 | 367,083 | 1,090,633 | 2,962,274 | 2,778,238 |
|  |  | 2009 | 235,780 | 2,889,229 | 1,586,585 | 514,813 | 1,071,772 | 2,780,096 | 2,680,957 |
| Garfield | DeBeque 49JT School District | 2007 | 270,010 | 322,814 | 1,828,015 | 223,525 | 1,604,490 | 2,136,145 | 1,907,507 |
| Mesa |  | 2008 | 224,482 | 400,660 | 1,858,785 | 221,623 | 1,637,162 | 2,118,726 | 2,044,404 |
|  |  | 2009 | 305,883 | 347,152 | 1,532,705 | 230,836 | 1,301,869 | 2,076,177 | 2,198,217 |
| Garfield | Garfield 16 School District | 2007 | 1,997,211 | 14,081,494 | 2,017,532 | 787,556 | 1,229,976 | 8,850,230 | 8,508,725 |
|  |  | 2008 | 4,668,392 | 17,150,162 | 2,678,226 | 1,104,412 | 1,573,814 | 10,575,975 | 9,962,476 |
|  |  | 2009 | 4,707,651 | 16,453,137 | 2,768,851 | 998,454 | 1,770,397 | 11,411,153 | 11,178,637 |
| Garfield | Garfield RE-2 School District | 2007 | 7,177,513 | 11,495,348 | 15,928,724 | 2,252,864 | 13,675,860 | 30,719,476 | 28,532,112 |
|  |  | 2008 | 8,563,032 | 8,954,644 | 16,898,094 | 2,159,902 | 14,738,193 | 33,201,233 | 31,750,806 |
|  |  | 2009 | 9,571,325 | 11,180,889 | 15,798,314 | 2,194,178 | 13,604,136 | 36,125,182 | 36,683,349 |
| Gilpin | Gilpin County RE-1 School District | 2007 | 1,808,703 | 2,368,127 | 1,828,674 | 351,334 | 1,477,340 | 3,753,022 | 3,644,356 |
|  |  | 2008 | 1,801,198 | 2,072,619 | 1,851,379 | 363,754 | 1,487,625 | 3,779,618 | 3,674,840 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,854,589 | 2,024,576 | 2,045,818 | 368,010 | 1,677,808 | 4,007,992 | 3,690,884 |
| Grand | East Grand 2 School District | 2007 | 1,859,470 | 2,329,438 | 3,359,570 | 1,135,378 | 2,224,192 | 10,548,026 | 10,185,803 |
|  |  | 2008 | 2,267,077 | 15,112,363 | 3,263,201 | 1,225,876 | 2,037,325 | 11,226,564 | 10,933,604 |
|  |  | 2009 | 3,137,694 | 4,492,476 | 3,034,668 | 1,194,717 | 1,839,951 | 11,739,578 | 11,457,875 |
| Gunnison | Gunnison RE1J School District | 2007 | 1,631,669 | 1,614,160 | 3,910,450 | 1,892,821 | 2,017,629 | 12,747,273 | 12,421,163 |
| Saguache |  | 2008 | 1,815,481 | 2,227,887 | 3,329,093 | 1,408,507 | 1,920,586 | 13,324,304 | 13,459,474 |
|  |  | 2009 | 2,872,631 | 19,696,484 | 3,760,323 | 1,751,676 | 2,008,647 | 14,808,093 | 13,792,596 |
| Gunnison | Montrose County RE-1J | 2007 | 912,469 | 958,420 | 7,292,791 | 4,233,402 | 3,059,389 | 38,545,433 | 37,020,651 |
| Montrose | School District | 2008 | 880,722 | 981,818 | 8,365,180 | 5,222,693 | 3,142,487 | 41,114,912 | 39,722,569 |
| Ouray |  | 2009 | 851,338 | 44,805,200 | 9,231,486 | 5,322,043 | 3,909,443 | 43,870,250 | 41,814,999 |
| Hinsdale | Hinsdale County RE 1 School District | 2007 | 93,340 | 105,013 | 1,179,089 | 87,289 | 1,091,800 | 1,244,878 | 1,086,528 |
|  | Lake City | 2008 | 91,731 | 108,112 | 885,195 | 107,944 | 777,251 | 1,299,403 | 1,633,008 |

[^1]|  |  |  |  | Ratio 1 | Ratio 2 | Ratio 3 | Ratio 4 | Ratio 5 | Ratio 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interfund Transfer Net | Deficit Fund Balance | Deficit Fund Balance | Gen Fund Prior Year Fund Bal | ASR <br> Ratio | DBR Ratio | ORR <br> Ratio | OMR <br> Ratio | DFBR <br> Ratio | CFBR <br> Ratio |  |
| $(8,175,464)$ | - | - | 2,097,247 | 1.26 | 26.28 | 0.0294 | (0.01) | - | (0.26) |  |
| $(6,964,647)$ | - | - | 1,557,457 | 1.33 | 26.73 | 0.0356 | 0.01 | - | 0.29 |  |
| $(79,214)$ | - | - | 403,289 | 4.13 | 1.31 | 0.2833 | 0.12 | - | 1.00 | 1 |
| $(90,300)$ | 34,691 | 119,708 | 806,901 | 3.36 | 1.27 | 0.2229 | (0.01) | (6.08) | (0.05) | 1 |
| $(117,565)$ | - | - | 762,611 | 2.13 | 1.32 | 0.1369 | (0.10) | - | (0.39) | 1 |
| - | - | - | 22,431,672 | 3.21 | 1.34 | 0.3430 | 0.02 | - | 0.06 |  |
| - | - | - | 23,872,022 | 3.97 | 1.42 | 0.3750 | 0.04 | - | 0.13 |  |
| - | - | - | 27,094,601 | 3.77 | 12.44 | 0.3194 | (0.03) | - | (0.09) |  |
| - | - | - | 9,259,892 | 2.40 | 1.57 | 0.1965 | (0.05) | - | (0.19) | 2 |
| $(2,311,123)$ | - | - | 8,146,638 | 1.64 | 6.26 | 0.1287 | (0.05) | - | (0.28) | 2 |
| $(2,141,803)$ | - | - | 5,883,352 | 1.80 | 6.33 | 0.0842 | (0.04) | - | (0.33) | 2 |
| $(433,856)$ | - | - | 1,024,127 | 1.86 | 0.90 | 0.1483 | 0.04 | - | 0.46 |  |
| $(310,000)$ | - | - | 1,493,330 | 2.85 | 1.04 | 0.2311 | 0.08 | - | 0.63 |  |
| $(376,230)$ | - | - | 2,437,457 | 3.10 | 1.00 | 0.2726 | 0.05 | - | 0.22 |  |
| $(60,500)$ | - | - | 1,089,313 | 5.98 | 1.98 | 0.4484 | 0.09 | - | 0.27 |  |
| $(63,000)$ | - | - | 1,383,644 | 6.33 | 1.66 | 0.6157 | 0.11 | - | 0.26 |  |
| $(63,000)$ | - | - | 1,750,112 | 5.22 | 1.23 | 0.4959 | (0.04) | - | (0.06) |  |
| $(15,000)$ | - | - | 1,195,714 | 2.35 | 11.64 | 0.2501 | 0.01 | - | 0.04 |  |
| $(22,000)$ | - | - | 1,238,573 | 2.38 | 1.21 | 0.2393 | (0.01) | - | (0.02) |  |
| $(22,000)$ | - | - | 1,208,445 | 3.42 | 1.73 | 0.2445 | (0.01) | - | (0.02) |  |
| - | - | - | 23,719,758 | 3.05 | 1.18 | 0.3988 | (0.05) | - | (0.10) | 1 |
| $(4,520,935)$ | - | - | 21,367,071 | 2.68 | 1.23 | 0.2390 | (0.12) | - | (0.31) | 1 |
| 921 | - | - | 14,808,235 | 2.27 | 1.05 | 0.1862 | (0.06) | - | (0.24) | 1 |
| $(29,246)$ | - | - | 108,323 | 2.50 | 1.11 | 0.1338 | 0.03 | - | 0.36 |  |
| $(23,124)$ | - | - | 147,124 | 3.76 | 1.50 | 0.2164 | 0.07 | - | 0.58 |  |
| $(13,923)$ | - | - | 231,726 | 4.87 | - | 0.2528 | 0.03 | - | 0.15 |  |
| $(47,141)$ | - | - | 407,079 | 9.26 | - | 0.2267 | 0.06 | - | 0.38 |  |
| $(135,141)$ | - | - | 563,355 | 5.03 | - | 0.1622 | (0.05) | - | (0.23) |  |
| $(47,141)$ | - | - | 436,310 | 5.13 | - | 0.1876 | 0.02 | - | 0.10 |  |
| $(924,053)$ | - | - | 862,012 | 1.59 | 1.10 | 0.0666 | 0.02 | - | 0.49 | 1 |
| $(1,013,162)$ | - | - | 1,280,129 | 1.92 | 1.02 | 0.0980 | 0.03 | - | 0.51 | 1 |
| $(982,339)$ | - | - | 1,935,496 | 2.15 | 0.98 | 0.1238 | 0.03 | - | 0.27 | 1 |
| $(115,735)$ | - | - | 1,141,757 | 4.35 | 1.14 | 0.2932 | (0.05) | - | 0.08 |  |
| $(98,030)$ | - | - | 1,234,515 | 4.85 | 0.88 | 0.4472 | 0.06 | - | 0.17 |  |
| $(88,000)$ | - | - | 1,448,485 | 5.10 | 14.19 | 0.4811 | 0.04 | - | 0.09 |  |
| $(138,170)$ | - | - | 674,645 | 3.80 | 1.39 | 0.2832 | 0.09 | - | 0.55 | 1 |
| $(131,500)$ | - | - | 1,042,719 | 4.29 | 1.50 | 0.2945 | 0.03 | - | 0.11 | 1 |
| $(131,458)$ | - | - | 1,154,595 | 3.72 | 24.04 | 0.2684 | (0.01) | - | (0.04) | 1 |
| $(461,561)$ | - | - | 2,377,848 | 1.42 | 2.60 | 0.0920 | (0.01) | - | (0.08) |  |
| $(446,589)$ | - | - | 2,195,817 | 1.39 | 13.11 | 0.0761 | (0.01) | - | (0.14) |  |
| $(488,024)$ | - | - | 1,886,661 | 1.43 | 1.52 | 0.0769 | - | - | 0.02 |  |
| $(138,000)$ | - | - | 983,692 | 4.06 | 13.25 | 0.2791 | (0.06) | - | 0.06 |  |
| $(138,000)$ | - | - | 1,044,597 | 3.97 | 0.95 | 0.3740 | 0.02 | - | 0.04 |  |
| $(118,000)$ | - | - | 1,090,633 | 3.08 | 12.25 | 0.3829 | (0.01) | - | (0.02) |  |
| $(35,000)$ | - | - | 1,410,852 | 8.18 | 1.20 | 0.8260 | 0.09 | - | 0.14 | 2 |
| $(41,650)$ | 190,659 | 301,365 | 1,604,490 | 8.39 | 1.78 | 0.7848 | 0.02 | (4.80) | 0.02 | 2 |
| $(213,253)$ | - | - | 1,637,162 | 6.64 | 1.13 | 0.5399 | (0.16) | - | (0.20) | 2 |
| $(204,092)$ | - | - | 1,059,263 | 2.56 | 7.05 | 0.1412 | 0.02 | - | 0.16 |  |
| $(269,661)$ | - | - | 1,229,976 | 2.43 | 3.67 | 0.1538 | 0.03 | - | 0.28 |  |
| $(25,000)$ | - | - | 1,573,814 | 2.77 | 3.49 | 0.1580 | 0.02 | - | 0.12 |  |
| 613,369 | - | - | 10,875,127 | 7.07 | 1.60 | 0.4898 | 0.09 | - | 0.26 | 1 |
| $(904,763)$ | - | - | 14,192,529 | 7.82 | 1.05 | 0.4513 | 0.02 | - | 0.04 | 1 |
| $(575,890)$ | - | - | 14,738,193 | 7.20 | 1.17 | 0.3651 | (0.03) | - | (0.08) | 1 |
| $(88,494)$ | - | - | 1,457,168 | 5.20 | 1.31 | 0.3958 | 0.01 | - | 0.01 |  |
| $(142,431)$ | - | - | 1,477,339 | 5.09 | 1.15 | 0.3897 | (0.01) | - | 0.01 |  |
| $(126,925)$ | - | - | 1,487,625 | 5.56 | 1.09 | 0.4395 | 0.05 | - | 0.13 |  |
| $(396,720)$ | - | - | 2,258,687 | 2.96 | 1.25 | 0.2102 | - | - | (0.02) | 1 |
| $(479,822)$ | - | - | 2,224,187 | 2.66 | 6.67 | 0.1785 | (0.02) | - | (0.08) | 1 |
| $(479,077)$ | - | - | 2,037,325 | 2.54 | 1.43 | 0.1541 | (0.02) | - | (0.10) | 1 |
| $(49,028)$ | - | - | 1,740,547 | 2.07 | 0.99 | 0.1618 | 0.02 | - | 0.16 |  |
| $(87,035)$ | - | - | 2,142,790 | 2.36 | 1.23 | 0.1418 | (0.02) | - | (0.10) |  |
| $(430,188)$ | - | - | 1,722,098 | 2.15 | 6.86 | 0.1412 | 0.04 | - | 0.17 |  |
| $(1,425,587)$ | - | - | 2,960,193 | 1.72 | 1.05 | 0.0796 | - | - | 0.03 |  |
| $(1,306,245)$ | - | - | 3,059,389 | 1.60 | 1.11 | 0.0766 | - | - | 0.03 |  |
| $(1,288,295)$ | - | - | 3,142,487 | 1.73 | 52.63 | 0.0907 | 0.02 | - | 0.24 |  |
| $(24,000)$ | - | - | 957,450 | 13.51 | 1.13 | 0.9831 | 0.11 | - | 0.14 |  |
| $(25,000)$ | - | - | 1,135,856 | 8.20 | 1.18 | 0.4688 | (0.28) | - | (0.32) |  |


| County | School District Name | Year | Gov Fund Annual Debt Service | Gov Funds Total Revenue Paying Debt Service | Gen Fund Total Assets | Gen Fund Total Liabilities | Fund Balance of the General Fund | Gen Fund Total Revenue | Gen Fund Total Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2009 | 93,680 | 99,704 | 1,006,435 | 122,033 | 884,402 | 1,401,048 | 1,266,897 |
| Huerfano | Huerfano RE-1 School District | 2007 | 442,209 | 428,651 | 2,141,223 | 492,685 | 1,648,538 | 4,938,355 | 4,799,918 |
|  |  | 2008 | 440,096 | 450,621 | 2,036,787 | 527,392 | 1,509,395 | 4,954,029 | 4,832,832 |
|  |  | 2009 | 451,984 | 401,656 | 1,766,423 | 544,343 | 1,222,080 | 4,925,928 | 4,737,881 |
| Huerfano | La Veta RE-2 School District | 2007 | 81,420 | 91,709 | 1,538,178 | 286,474 | 1,251,704 | 2,547,846 | 2,315,026 |
|  |  | 2008 | 80,120 | 125,892 | 1,568,257 | 348,691 | 1,219,566 | 2,739,689 | 2,646,827 |
|  |  | 2009 | 78,820 | 92,602 | 1,661,682 | 544,406 | 1,117,276 | 3,281,571 | 3,248,861 |
| Jackson | North Park R-1 School District | 2007 | 25,531 | 486,218 | 1,191,254 | 197,237 | 994,017 | 2,575,885 | 2,295,088 |
|  |  | 2008 | 50,260 | 654,093 | 1,334,964 | 242,399 | 1,092,565 | 2,432,231 | 2,302,508 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 1,488,403 | 221,475 | 1,266,928 | 2,564,319 | 2,344,956 |
| Jefferson | Jefferson County R-1 | 2007 | 77,447,972 | 692,043,012 | 180,607,166 | 75,034,403 | 105,572,763 | 613,380,508 | 552,237,480 |
| Broomfield | School District | 2008 | 81,079,744 | 718,304,212 | 193,936,516 | 83,459,195 | 110,477,321 | 632,907,112 | 592,284,500 |
|  |  | 2009 | 80,249,587 | 724,956,393 | 182,120,995 | 84,354,411 | 97,766,584 | 641,493,063 | 618,719,459 |
| Kiowa | Eads RE-1 School District | 2007 | - | - | 1,302,691 | 224,842 | 1,077,849 | 2,566,609 | 2,193,224 |
|  | Kiowa County RE-1 | 2008 | - | - | 1,275,396 | 168,376 | 1,107,020 | 2,053,042 | 1,967,871 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 1,326,425 | 231,707 | 1,094,718 | 2,203,299 | 2,154,202 |
| Kiowa | Plainview RE-2 School District | 2007 | - | - | 796,609 | 104,299 | 692,310 | 919,568 | 882,620 |
|  | Kiowa County RE-2 | 2008 | - | - | 849,944 | 88,499 | 761,445 | 1,028,293 | 944,158 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 968,889 | 107,509 | 861,380 | 1,216,874 | 1,134,219 |
| Kit Carson | Arriba-Flagler C-20 | 2007 | 127,805 | 163,785 | 843,185 | 147,846 | 695,339 | 2,227,228 | 2,061,901 |
| Lincoln | School District | 2008 | 123,885 | 156,952 | 1,071,245 | 116,075 | 955,170 | 2,161,005 | 1,840,261 |
|  |  | 2009 | 120,234 | 151,408 | 1,252,368 | 129,531 | 1,122,838 | 2,199,726 | 1,967,462 |
| Kit Carson | Berthune R-5 School District | 2007 | - | - | 1,426,090 | 119,808 | 1,306,282 | 1,671,094 | 1,539,527 |
|  |  | 2008 | - | - | 1,471,892 | 132,135 | 1,339,757 | 1,717,659 | 1,546,925 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 1,359,161 | 147,343 | 1,211,818 | 1,773,733 | 1,761,953 |
| Kit Carson | Burlington RE-6J School District | 2007 | 534,446 | 535,746 | 2,367,147 | 478,058 | 1,889,089 | 5,159,037 | 5,068,354 |
| Yuma |  | 2008 | 535,071 | 894,351 | 2,396,046 | 564,887 | 1,831,170 | 5,517,918 | 5,229,706 |
|  |  | 2009 | 536,226 | 620,909 | 2,123,297 | 616,560 | 1,506,737 | 5,715,078 | 5,698,281 |
| Kit Carson | Hi-Plains R-23 School District | 2007 | - | - | 770,643 | 113,868 | 656,775 | 1,581,500 | 1,536,273 |
|  |  | 2008 | 4,977 | 1,764,668 | 985,869 | 138,430 | 847,439 | 1,711,799 | 1,416,005 |
|  |  | 2009 | 4,977 | 1,764,356 | 1,063,107 | 122,041 | 941,065 | 1,763,101 | 1,582,271 |
| Kit Carson Yuma | Idalia RJ-3 School District | 2007 | - | - | 1,122,534 | 247,549 | 874,985 | 1,845,455 | 1,733,525 |
|  |  | 2008 | - | - | 1,026,498 | 198,741 | 827,757 | 1,880,102 | 1,871,545 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 959,062 | 156,135 | 802,927 | 1,924,968 | 1,847,998 |
| Kit Carson <br> Yuma | Liberty J-4 School District | 2007 | 25,235 | 25,575 | 936,671 | 157,856 | 778,815 | 1,453,631 | 1,321,038 |
|  |  | 2008 | 45,904 | 1,530,272 | 1,052,635 | 142,371 | 910,624 | 1,504,892 | 1,310,443 |
|  |  | 2009 | 48,775 | 1,453,734 | 962,034 | 118,105 | 843,929 | 1,429,568 | 1,436,903 |
| Kit Carson | Stratton R-4 School District | 2007 | 67,806 | 2,408,692 | 1,769,719 | 311,529 | 1,458,190 | 2,408,692 | 2,189,538 |
|  |  | 2008 | 84,805 | 2,619,381 | 1,949,928 | 496,264 | 1,453,665 | 2,619,381 | 2,585,789 |
|  |  | 2009 | 101,275 | 2,714,627 | 1,466,559 | 212,886 | 1,253,673 | 2,647,203 | 2,758,129 |
| La Plata | Durango 9-R School District | 2007 | 11,077,113 | 12,759,416 | 10,172,211 | 5,062,339 | 5,109,872 | 35,879,838 | 34,468,006 |
|  |  | 2008 | 11,260,593 | 11,596,397 | 9,973,854 | 5,222,599 | 4,751,255 | 37,914,450 | 36,380,841 |
|  |  | 2009 | 11,208,858 | 11,032,193 | 9,545,492 | 5,479,034 | 4,066,458 | 38,401,843 | 37,589,379 |
| Lake | Lake County R-1 School District | 2007 | 212,886 | 205,234 | 4,052,540 | 1,435,588 | 2,616,952 | 8,988,197 | 8,496,263 |
|  |  | 2008 | 121,306 | 187,684 | 4,022,461 | 1,129,969 | 2,892,492 | 8,893,938 | 8,618,398 |
|  |  | 2009 | 119,281 | 171,926 | 4,410,949 | 1,133,020 | 3,277,929 | 9,660,517 | 9,255,080 |
| Larimer | Johnstown-Milliken RE-5J | 2007 | 2,920,011 | 20,437,238 | 5,041,689 | 1,978,353 | 3,063,336 | 17,345,588 | 16,941,779 |
| Weld | School District | 2008 | 2,960,452 | 22,262,058 | 4,976,250 | 1,905,764 | 3,070,486 | 19,029,156 | 18,396,972 |
|  |  | 2009 | 3,028,899 | 23,023,793 | 4,719,752 | 1,782,790 | 2,936,962 | 20,164,487 | 19,787,435 |
| Larimer | Poudre R-1 School District | 2007 | 24,031,398 | 29,108,387 | 40,863,492 | 13,325,455 | 27,538,037 | 177,982,142 | 167,058,619 |
|  |  | 2008 | 27,588,157 | 29,649,026 | 46,486,125 | 15,027,098 | 31,459,027 | 185,716,361 | 178,763,413 |
|  |  | 2009 | 26,837,004 | 30,123,353 | 46,921,823 | 16,402,965 | 30,518,858 | 192,005,517 | 185,858,693 |
| Las Animas | Aguilar Reorganized 6 | 2007 | 198,996 | 2,019,092 | 609,548 | 125,920 | 483,628 | 1,958,718 | 1,554,675 |
|  | School District | 2008 | 197,815 | 2,429,762 | 900,257 | 117,223 | 783,034 | 2,342,087 | 1,971,769 |
|  |  | 2009 | 209,719 | 2,191,808 | 910,315 | 160,602 | 749,713 | 2,092,214 | 2,011,813 |
| Las Animas | Branson Reorganized 82 | 2007 | - | - | 1,374,618 | 683,322 | 691,296 | 5,701,420 | 6,309,895 |
|  | School District | 2008 | - | - | 968,180 | 1,136,883 | $(168,703)$ | 4,212,415 | 4,328,438 |
|  | Las Animas County RE-82 | 2009 | - | - | 990,771 | 306,796 | 683,975 | 3,518,839 | 3,270,937 |
| Las Animas | Hoehne Reorganized 3 | 2007 | 181,430 | 3,262,795 | 1,948,646 | 288,759 | 1,659,887 | 3,046,311 | 3,123,585 |
|  | School District | 2008 | 177,886 | 232,506 | 1,923,982 | 538,217 | 1,385,765 | 3,052,945 | 3,241,735 |
|  |  | 2009 | 179,128 | 199,438 | 1,690,279 | 605,985 | 1,084,294 | 3,180,569 | 3,285,164 |
| Las Animas | Kim Reorganized 88 | 2007 | - | - | 675,552 | 149,208 | 526,344 | 1,152,604 | 1,025,707 |
|  | School District | 2008 | - | - | 741,587 | 95,839 | 645,748 | 1,090,439 | 919,425 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 936,606 | 135,113 | 801,493 | 1,402,769 | 1,199,024 |
| Las Animas | Primero Reorganized 2 | 2007 | 10,771 | 58,395 | 900,017 | 211,824 | 688,193 | 2,264,300 | 2,307,646 |
|  | School District | 2008 | 250,849 | 1,397,120 | 1,649,591 | 157,256 | 1,492,335 | 2,911,077 | 1,916,591 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,376,995 | 4,495,343 | 2,237,963 | 232,836 | 2,005,127 | 2,982,798 | 2,190,000 |

[^2]|  |  |  |  | Ratio 1 | Ratio 2 | Ratio 3 | Ratio 4 | Ratio 5 | Ratio 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interfund Transfer Net | Deficit Fund Balance | Deficit Fund Balance | Gen Fund Prior Year Fund Bal | ASR <br> Ratio | DBR Ratio | ORR <br> Ratio | OMR <br> Ratio | DFBR <br> Ratio | CFBR <br> Ratio |  |
| $(27,000)$ | - | - | 777,251 | 8.25 | 1.06 | 0.6835 | 0.08 | - | 0.14 |  |
| $(267,012)$ | - | - | 1,777,113 | 4.35 | 0.97 | 0.3254 | (0.03) | - | (0.07) | 2 |
| $(260,340)$ | - | - | 1,648,538 | 3.86 | 1.02 | 0.2964 | (0.03) | - | (0.08) | 2 |
| $(472,362)$ | - | - | 1,509,395 | 3.25 | 0.89 | 0.2346 | (0.06) | - | (0.19) | 2 |
| $(125,000)$ | - | - | 1,143,884 | 5.37 | 1.13 | 0.5130 | 0.04 | - | 0.09 | 2 |
| $(125,000)$ | - | - | 1,251,704 | 4.50 | 1.57 | 0.4400 | (0.01) | - | (0.03) | 2 |
| $(135,000)$ | - | - | 1,219,566 | 3.05 | 1.17 | 0.3302 | (0.03) | - | (0.08) | 2 |
| $(34,807)$ | - | - | 748,027 | 6.04 | 19.04 | 0.4266 | 0.10 | - | 0.33 |  |
| $(31,175)$ | - | - | 994,017 | 5.51 | 13.01 | 0.4682 | 0.04 | - | 0.10 |  |
| $(45,000)$ | - | - | 1,092,565 | 6.72 | - | 0.5301 | 0.07 | - | 0.16 |  |
| $(30,287,445)$ | - | - | 72,096,438 | 2.41 | 8.94 | 0.1812 | 0.05 | - | 0.46 | 1 |
| $(33,097,312)$ | - | - | 105,572,763 | 2.32 | 8.86 | 0.1767 | 0.01 | - | 0.05 | 1 |
| $(35,484,341)$ | - | - | 110,477,321 | 2.16 | 9.03 | 0.1494 | (0.02) | - | (0.12) | 1 |
| $(63,500)$ | - | - | 767,964 | 5.79 | - | 0.4776 | 0.12 | - | 0.40 | 1 |
| $(56,000)$ | - | - | 1,077,849 | 7.57 | - | 0.5470 | 0.01 | - | 0.03 | 1 |
| $(61,399)$ | - | - | 1,107,020 | 5.72 | - | 0.4941 | (0.01) | - | (0.01) | 1 |
| $(20,000)$ | - | - | 675,362 | 7.64 | - | 0.7670 | 0.02 | - | 0.03 |  |
| $(15,000)$ | - | - | 692,310 | 9.60 | - | 0.7939 | 0.07 | - | 0.10 |  |
| $(15,000)$ | - | - | 793,725 | 9.01 | - | 0.7495 | 0.06 | - | 0.09 |  |
| $(69,816)$ | - | - | 584,490 | 5.70 | 1.28 | 0.3262 | 0.04 | - | 0.19 |  |
| $(60,141)$ | - | - | 695,339 | 9.23 | 1.27 | 0.5026 | 0.12 | - | 0.37 |  |
| $(63,805)$ | - | - | 955,170 | 9.67 | 1.26 | 0.5528 | 0.08 | - | 0.18 |  |
| $(94,000)$ | - | - | 1,281,115 | 11.90 | - | 0.7997 | 0.02 | - | 0.02 |  |
| $(86,640)$ | - | - | 1,306,282 | 11.14 | - | 0.8201 | 0.05 | - | 0.03 |  |
| $(88,800)$ | - | - | 1,339,757 | 9.22 | - | 0.6548 | (0.04) | - | (0.10) |  |
| $(220,892)$ | - | - | 2,021,563 | 4.95 | 1.00 | 0.3572 | (0.03) | - | (0.07) | 1 |
| $(343,829)$ | - | - | 1,889,089 | 4.24 | 1.67 | 0.3285 | (0.01) | - | (0.03) | 1 |
| $(338,730)$ | - | - | 1,831,170 | 3.44 | 1.16 | 0.2496 | (0.06) | - | (0.18) | 1 |
| $(61,526)$ | - | - | 673,675 | 6.77 | - | 0.4110 | (0.01) | - | (0.03) |  |
| $(104,552)$ | - | - | 656,775 | 7.12 | 354.56 | 0.5573 | 0.11 | - | 0.29 |  |
| $(86,576)$ | - | - | 847,439 | 8.71 | 354.50 | 0.5639 | 0.05 | - | 0.11 |  |
| $(49,964)$ | - | - | 813,019 | 4.53 | - | 0.4906 | 0.03 | - | 0.08 |  |
| $(55,785)$ | - | - | 874,985 | 5.17 | - | 0.4295 | (0.03) | - | (0.05) |  |
| $(101,800)$ | - | - | 827,757 | 6.14 | - | 0.4118 | (0.01) | - | (0.03) |  |
| $(41,000)$ | - | - | 687,222 | 5.93 | 1.01 | 0.5718 | 0.06 | - | 0.13 |  |
| $(63,000)$ | - | - | 778,815 | 7.39 | 33.34 | 0.6630 | 0.09 | - | 0.17 |  |
| $(59,000)$ | - | - | 910,264 | 8.15 | 29.80 | 0.5642 | (0.05) | - | (0.07) |  |
| $(98,423)$ | - | - | 1,338,413 | 5.68 | 35.52 | 0.6373 | 0.05 | - | 0.09 | 2 |
| $(89,678)$ | - | - | 1,458,190 | 3.93 | 30.89 | 0.5433 | (0.02) | - | - | 2 |
| $(88,100)$ | - | - | 1,453,665 | 6.89 | 26.80 | 0.4405 | (0.08) | - | (0.14) | 2 |
| $(1,658,816)$ | - | - | 5,356,856 | 2.01 | 1.15 | 0.1414 | (0.01) | - | (0.05) | 2 |
| $(1,760,400)$ | - | - | 4,978,046 | 1.91 | 1.03 | 0.1246 | (0.01) | - | (0.05) | 2 |
| $(1,497,261)$ | 72,029 | 229,284 | 4,751,255 | 1.74 | 0.98 | 0.1040 | (0.02) | (17.42) | (0.14) | 2 |
| - | - | - | 2,125,018 | 2.82 | 0.96 | 0.3080 | 0.05 | - | 0.23 |  |
| - | - | - | 2,616,952 | 3.56 | 1.55 | 0.3356 | 0.03 | - | 0.11 |  |
| $(20,000)$ | - | - | 2,892,492 | 3.89 | 1.44 | 0.3534 | 0.04 | - | 0.13 |  |
| $(395,727)$ | - | - | 3,055,254 | 2.55 | 7.00 | 0.1767 | - | - | - |  |
| $(625,034)$ | - | - | 3,063,336 | 2.61 | 7.52 | 0.1614 | - | - | - |  |
| $(510,576)$ | - | - | 3,070,486 | 2.65 | 7.60 | 0.1447 | (0.01) | - | (0.04) |  |
| $(405,527)$ | - | - | 25,816,775 | 3.07 | 1.21 | 0.1644 | 0.06 | - | 0.07 |  |
| $(5,445,280)$ | - | - | 29,951,359 | 3.09 | 1.07 | 0.1708 | 0.01 | - | 0.05 |  |
| $(5,812,916)$ | - | - | 31,459,027 | 2.86 | 1.12 | 0.1592 | - | - | (0.03) |  |
| $(80,915)$ | - | - | 204,880 | 4.84 | 10.15 | 0.2957 | 0.16 | - | 1.36 | 1 |
| $(70,912)$ | - | - | 483,628 | 7.68 | 12.28 | 0.3833 | 0.13 | - | 0.62 | 1 |
| $(113,722)$ | - | - | 783,034 | 5.67 | 10.45 | 0.3527 | (0.02) | - | (0.04) | 1 |
| $(83,036)$ | - | - | 1,382,807 | 2.01 | - | 0.1081 | (0.12) | - | (0.50) |  |
| $(82,700)$ | 168,703 | 4,212,415 | 30,020 | 0.85 | - | -0.0382 | (0.05) | - | (6.62) |  |
| $(56,500)$ | - | - | $(168,703)$ | 3.23 | - | 0.2056 | 0.05 | - | 5.05 |  |
| $(216,100)$ | - | - | 1,953,261 | 6.75 | 17.98 | 0.4970 | (0.10) | - | (0.15) | 2 |
| $(85,332)$ | - | - | 1,659,887 | 3.57 | 1.31 | 0.4165 | (0.09) | - | (0.17) | 2 |
| $(196,876)$ | - | - | 1,385,765 | 2.79 | 1.11 | 0.3114 | (0.09) | - | (0.22) | 2 |
| $(30,000)$ | - | - | 429,447 | 4.53 | - | 0.4986 | 0.08 | - | 0.23 |  |
| $(51,640)$ | - | - | 526,344 | 7.74 | - | 0.6650 | 0.11 | - | 0.23 |  |
| $(48,000)$ | - | - | 645,748 | 6.93 | - | 0.6427 | 0.11 | - | 0.24 |  |
| $(182,895)$ | - | - | 914,434 | 4.25 | 5.42 | 0.2763 | (0.10) | - | (0.25) |  |
| $(190,344)$ | - | - | 688,193 | 10.49 | 5.57 | 0.7083 | 0.28 | - | 1.17 |  |
| $(237,306)$ | - | - | 1,492,335 | 9.61 | 3.26 | 0.8261 | 0.19 | - | 0.34 |  |


| County | School District Name | Year | Gov Fund Annual Debt Service | Gov Funds Total Revenue Paying Debt Service | Gen Fund Total Assets | Gen Fund Total Liabilities | Fund Balance of the General Fund | Gen Fund Total Revenue | Gen Fund Total Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Las Animas | Trinidad 1 School District | 2007 | 859,784 | 1,144,894 | 3,700,441 | 1,022,218 | 2,678,223 | 9,905,429 | 8,352,522 |
|  | Las Animas County RE-1 | 2008 | 897,141 | 1,097,214 | 4,674,197 | 1,029,354 | 3,644,843 | 10,209,080 | 8,816,460 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,079,590 | 11,608,424 | 5,523,495 | 1,533,481 | 3,990,014 | 11,031,622 | 9,481,480 |
| Lincoln | Genoa-Hugo C113 School District | 2007 | 131,219 | 152,379 | 1,283,862 | 224,827 | 1,059,035 | 2,215,871 | 2,051,453 |
|  |  | 2008 | 133,040 | 154,850 | 1,486,058 | 200,528 | 1,285,530 | 2,216,453 | 1,965,958 |
|  |  | 2009 | 210,238 | 161,295 | 1,658,658 | 188,418 | 1,470,240 | 2,206,877 | 1,998,167 |
| Lincoln | Karval RE-23 School District | 2007 | - | - | 599,605 | 110,409 | 489,196 | 1,725,421 | 1,539,941 |
|  |  | 2008 | - | - | 779,055 | 149,510 | 629,544 | 1,759,589 | 1,584,434 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 1,105,402 | 174,322 | 931,079 | 2,066,697 | 1,719,023 |
| Logan | Buffalo RE-4 School District | 2007 | - | - | 1,326,827 | 316,212 | 1,010,615 | 2,801,817 | 2,787,467 |
| Morgan |  | 2008 | - | - | 1,373,617 | 231,957 | 1,141,660 | 3,038,646 | 2,844,601 |
| Washington |  | 2009 | 160,912 | 3,167,292 | 1,481,155 | 245,652 | 1,235,503 | 2,996,263 | 2,849,843 |
| Logan | Frenchman RE-3 School District | 2007 | 85,050 | 2,290,679 | 1,214,814 | 200,365 | 1,014,449 | 2,246,520 | 2,046,835 |
|  |  | 2008 | 104,423 | 2,349,188 | 1,330,851 | 215,658 | 1,115,193 | 2,302,069 | 2,215,788 |
|  |  | 2009 | 104,424 | 2,390,873 | 1,408,782 | 236,989 | 1,171,793 | 2,347,004 | 2,204,819 |
| Logan | Haxtun RE-2J School District | 2007 | 116,137 | 4,804 | 1,289,976 | 254,791 | 1,035,185 | 2,648,067 | 2,486,896 |
| Phillips |  | 2008 | 102,050 | 2,199 | 1,360,997 | 221,675 | 1,139,322 | 2,733,860 | 2,542,521 |
| Sedgwick, Yuma |  | 2009 | - | - | 1,460,645 | 216,795 | 1,243,850 | 2,719,876 | 2,567,348 |
| Logan | Plateau RE-5 School District | 2007 | 152,030 | 2,589,809 | 2,021,529 | 344,429 | 1,677,100 | 2,242,316 | 2,351,830 |
|  |  | 2008 | 161,228 | 2,629,282 | 1,612,931 | 176,717 | 1,436,214 | 2,260,206 | 2,413,295 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,182,224 | 2,118,169 | 1,467,078 | 192,115 | 1,274,963 | 2,090,014 | 2,162,404 |
| Logan | Prairie RE-11 School District | 2007 | - | - | 1,417,811 | 167,091 | 1,250,720 | 1,934,216 | 1,595,238 |
| Weld | Weld County RE-11J | 2008 | - | - | 1,602,734 | 160,576 | 1,442,158 | 2,211,562 | 1,840,124 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 1,795,865 | 175,049 | 1,620,816 | 2,112,865 | 1,799,207 |
| Logan | Valley RE-1 School District | 2007 | 1,663,429 | 20,126,060 | 7,045,750 | 2,580,959 | 4,464,791 | 18,347,649 | 17,259,894 |
|  |  | 2008 | 1,554,450 | 1,619,544 | 7,147,686 | 2,411,101 | 4,736,585 | 18,168,534 | 17,712,458 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,641,956 | 1,759,675 | 6,958,015 | 2,246,157 | 4,711,858 | 17,903,867 | 17,392,902 |
| Mesa | Mesa County Valley 51 | 2007 | 10,843,949 | 151,749,661 | 25,816,662 | 17,961,484 | 7,855,178 | 137,356,833 | 133,909,953 |
|  | School District | 2008 | 11,337,153 | 161,523,435 | 26,984,652 | 19,029,142 | 7,955,510 | 141,262,865 | 141,032,250 |
|  |  | 2009 | 13,533,142 | 168,331,923 | 28,387,286 | 20,111,221 | 8,276,065 | 151,551,481 | 151,036,326 |
| Mesa | Plateau Valley 50 School District | 2007 | 301,325 | 351,892 | 1,949,305 | 347,286 | 1,602,019 | 3,615,359 | 3,699,697 |
|  | Mesa County 50 | 2008 | 302,050 | 346,574 | 2,047,146 | 347,212 | 1,699,934 | 3,791,594 | 3,595,662 |
|  |  | 2009 | 297,700 | 350,720 | 2,049,856 | 379,231 | 1,670,625 | 4,075,229 | 3,989,316 |
| Mineral | Creede Consolidated 1 | 2007 | - | - | 1,446,084 | 249,174 | 1,196,910 | 2,030,944 | 1,837,252 |
|  | School District | 2008 | - | - | 1,528,060 | 211,695 | 1,316,365 | 1,964,552 | 1,828,793 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 1,637,127 | 217,999 | 1,419,128 | 1,860,860 | 1,723,489 |
| Moffat | Moffat County RE:No 1 | 2007 | 132,472 | 18,957,315 | 7,932,893 | 2,015,918 | 5,916,975 | 18,955,212 | 18,574,306 |
|  | School District | 2008 | 634,914 | 2,395,220 | 9,032,282 | 2,192,916 | 6,839,366 | 19,710,003 | 18,345,154 |
|  |  | 2009 | 2,563,349 | 2,459,113 | 8,833,888 | 1,904,766 | 6,929,122 | 20,173,703 | 19,521,442 |
| Montezuma | Dolores RE-4A School District | 2007 | 421,056 | 5,644,452 | 1,611,730 | 624,663 | 987,067 | 5,527,804 | 5,547,406 |
|  | Montezuma County RE-4A | 2008 | 383,320 | 5,767,346 | 1,797,076 | 652,156 | 1,144,920 | 5,600,420 | 5,315,217 |
|  |  | 2009 | 399,353 | 7,044,526 | 2,360,856 | 737,290 | 1,623,566 | 6,757,375 | 6,406,930 |
| Montezuma | Mancos RE-6 School District | 2007 | 152,948 | 167,584 | 1,515,197 | 347,843 | 1,167,354 | 3,744,314 | 3,497,790 |
|  | Montezuma County RE-6 | 2008 | 153,275 | 154,994 | 1,709,553 | 303,152 | 1,406,401 | 3,747,436 | 3,379,523 |
|  |  | 2009 | 153,220 | 182,931 | 1,817,338 | 312,787 | 1,504,551 | 3,792,791 | 3,521,026 |
| Montezuma | Montezuma-Cortez RE-1 | 2007 | - | - | 6,854,910 | 2,797,286 | 4,057,624 | 20,760,537 | 20,462,720 |
|  | School District | 2008 | - | - | 6,573,974 | 2,668,294 | 3,905,680 | 20,903,012 | 20,880,682 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 7,543,097 | 3,826,867 | 3,716,230 | 22,037,447 | 21,162,396 |
| Montrose | Norwood R-2J School District | 2007 | 288,525 | 639,690 | 1,391,402 | 307,316 | 1,084,086 | 2,782,353 | 2,576,762 |
| San Miguel | San Miguel R-2J | 2008 | 286,963 | 63,675 | 1,657,530 | 318,143 | 1,339,387 | 3,241,583 | 2,915,371 |
|  |  | 2009 | 286,046 | 5,758 | 1,666,777 | 347,724 | 1,319,053 | 3,346,570 | 3,250,022 |
| Montrose | West End RE-2 School District | 2007 | 31,172 | 3,112,167 | 1,495,948 | 236,901 | 1,259,047 | 3,112,167 | 2,886,541 |
|  | Montrose County RE-2 | 2008 | - | - | 1,650,389 | 220,096 | 1,430,293 | 3,179,202 | 2,947,331 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 1,576,640 | 357,175 | 1,219,465 | 3,541,234 | 3,611,562 |
| Morgan | Briggsdale RE-10 School District | 2007 | 515,110 | 2,305,590 | 416,373 | 136,160 | 280,213 | 1,892,323 | 1,887,438 |
| Weld |  | 2008 | 396,300 | 418,342 | 285,563 | 150,058 | 135,505 | 1,894,204 | 1,980,490 |
|  |  | 2009 | 431,634 | 2,483,154 | 419,983 | 185,571 | 234,412 | 2,069,413 | 1,898,184 |
| Morgan | Brush RE-2(J) School District | 2007 | 1,119,229 | 12,372,085 | 3,566,988 | 1,165,549 | 2,401,439 | 10,730,235 | 10,359,507 |
| Washington |  | 2008 | 1,114,876 | 12,480,606 | 3,777,892 | 1,320,291 | 2,457,601 | 11,268,320 | 10,976,658 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,209,026 | 12,355,952 | 3,646,558 | 1,193,742 | 2,452,816 | 11,254,263 | 10,829,615 |
| Morgan | Fort Morgan RE-3 School District | 2007 | 2,252,247 | 24,042,098 | 7,684,121 | 2,357,105 | 5,327,016 | 21,636,306 | 21,509,614 |
|  |  | 2008 | 2,057,497 | 26,071,609 | 7,697,615 | 2,582,452 | 5,115,163 | 22,418,821 | 22,603,478 |
|  |  | 2009 | 2,211,136 | 25,554,097 | 8,691,657 | 2,989,453 |  | 23,011,824 | 22,375,659 |

[^3]|  |  | Total Revenue in |  | Ratio 1 | Ratio 2 | Ratio 3 | Ratio 4 | Ratio 5 | Ratio 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interfund <br> Transfer Net | Deficit Fund Balance | Deficit Fund Balance | Gen Fund Prior Year Fund Bal | ASR <br> Ratio | DBR Ratio | ORR <br> Ratio | OMR Ratio | DFBR <br> Ratio | CFBR <br> Ratio |  |
| $(840,000)$ | - | - | 2,206,116 | 3.62 | 1.33 | 0.2913 | 0.07 | - | 0.21 |  |
| $(426,000)$ | - | - | 2,678,223 | 4.54 | 1.22 | 0.3944 | 0.09 | - | 0.36 |  |
| $(960,500)$ | - | - | 3,644,843 | 3.60 | 10.75 | 0.3821 | 0.05 | - | 0.09 |  |
| $(24,000)$ | - | - | 918,617 | 5.71 | 1.16 | 0.5103 | 0.06 | - | 0.15 |  |
| $(24,000)$ | - | - | 1,059,035 | 7.41 | 1.16 | 0.6460 | 0.10 | - | 0.21 |  |
| $(24,000)$ | - | - | 1,285,530 | 8.80 | 0.77 | 0.7271 | 0.08 | - | 0.14 |  |
| $(9,413)$ | - | - | 338,979 | 5.43 | - | 0.3157 | 0.10 | - | 0.44 |  |
| - | - | - | 489,196 | 5.21 | - | 0.3973 | 0.10 | - | 0.29 |  |
| $(45,207)$ | - | - | 629,544 | 6.34 | - | 0.5278 | 0.15 | - | 0.48 |  |
| $(53,000)$ | - | - | 1,049,265 | 4.20 | - | 0.3558 | (0.01) | - | (0.04) |  |
| $(63,000)$ | - | - | 1,010,615 | 5.92 | - | 0.3926 | 0.04 | - | 0.13 |  |
| $(52,577)$ | - | - | 1,141,660 | 6.03 | 19.68 | 0.4257 | 0.03 | - | 0.08 |  |
| $(107,085)$ | - | - | 921,849 | 6.06 | 26.93 | 0.4710 | 0.04 | - | 0.10 |  |
| $(71,272)$ | - | - | 1,014,449 | 6.17 | 22.50 | 0.4876 | 0.01 | - | 0.10 |  |
| $(85,585)$ | - | - | 1,115,193 | 5.94 | 22.90 | 0.5116 | 0.02 | - | 0.05 |  |
| $(29,500)$ | - | - | 903,514 | 5.06 | 0.04 | 0.4114 | 0.05 | - | 0.15 |  |
| $(87,202)$ | - | - | 1,035,185 | 6.14 | 0.02 | 0.4332 | 0.04 | - | 0.10 |  |
| $(48,000)$ | - | - | 1,139,322 | 6.74 | - | 0.4756 | 0.04 | - | 0.09 |  |
| $(84,426)$ | - | - | 1,813,245 | 5.87 | 17.03 | 0.6884 | (0.09) | - | (0.08) | 1 |
| $(87,797)$ | - | - | 1,677,100 | 9.13 | 16.31 | 0.5742 | (0.11) | - | (0.14) | 1 |
| $(88,861)$ | - | - | 1,436,214 | 7.64 | 1.79 | 0.5663 | (0.08) | - | (0.11) | 1 |
| $(130,000)$ | - | - | 1,041,742 | 8.49 | - | 0.7250 | 0.11 | - | 0.20 |  |
| $(180,000)$ | - | - | 1,250,720 | 9.98 | - | 0.7139 | 0.09 | - | 0.15 |  |
| $(135,000)$ | - | - | 1,442,158 | 10.26 | - | 0.8380 | 0.08 | - | 0.12 |  |
| $(664,292)$ | - | - | 4,041,328 | 2.73 | 12.10 | 0.2491 | 0.02 | - | 0.10 |  |
| $(392,501)$ | - | - | 4,673,010 | 2.96 | 1.04 | 0.2616 | - | - | 0.01 |  |
| $(535,692)$ | - | - | 4,736,585 | 3.10 | 1.07 | 0.2628 | - | - | (0.01) |  |
| $(258,000)$ | - | - | 4,666,298 | 1.44 | 13.99 | 0.0585 | 0.02 | - | 0.68 |  |
| $(151,103)$ | - | - | 7,855,178 | 1.42 | 14.25 | 0.0563 | - | - | 0.01 |  |
| $(194,600)$ | - | - | 7,955,510 | 1.41 | 12.44 | 0.0547 | - | - | 0.04 |  |
| $(75,145)$ | - | - | 1,761,502 | 5.61 | 1.17 | 0.4244 | (0.04) | - | (0.09) |  |
| $(98,017)$ | - | - | 1,602,019 | 5.90 | 1.15 | 0.4602 | 0.03 | - | 0.06 |  |
| $(115,222)$ | - | - | 1,699,934 | 5.41 | 1.18 | 0.4070 | (0.01) | - | (0.02) |  |
| $(60,000)$ | - | - | 1,063,218 | 5.80 | - | 0.6309 | 0.07 | - | 0.13 |  |
| $(40,000)$ | - | - | 1,220,606 | 7.22 | - | 0.7044 | 0.05 | - | 0.08 |  |
| $(34,608)$ | - | - | 1,316,365 | 7.51 | - | 0.8072 | 0.06 | - | 0.08 |  |
| $(438,800)$ | - | - | 5,974,869 | 3.94 | 143.10 | 0.3112 | - | - | (0.01) | 1 |
| $(442,458)$ | - | - | 5,916,975 | 4.12 | 3.77 | 0.3640 | 0.05 | - | 0.16 | 1 |
| $(562,505)$ | - | - | 6,839,366 | 4.64 | 0.96 | 0.3450 | - | - | 0.01 | 1 |
| $(197,385)$ | - | - | 1,204,054 | 2.58 | 13.41 | 0.1718 | (0.04) | - | (0.18) |  |
| $(127,350)$ | - | - | 987,067 | 2.76 | 15.05 | 0.2104 | 0.03 | - | 0.16 |  |
| $(2,000)$ | - | - | 1,144,920 | 3.20 | 17.64 | 0.2533 | 0.05 | - | 0.42 |  |
| $(125,188)$ | - | - | 1,046,018 | 4.36 | 1.10 | 0.3222 | 0.03 | - | 0.12 |  |
| $(128,866)$ | - | - | 1,167,354 | 5.64 | 1.01 | 0.4009 | 0.06 | - | 0.20 |  |
| $(173,615)$ | - | - | 1,406,401 | 5.81 | 1.19 | 0.4072 | 0.03 | - | 0.07 |  |
| $(218,584)$ | - | - | 3,978,391 | 2.45 | - | 0.1962 | - | - | 0.02 | 1 |
| $(174,274)$ | - | - | 4,057,624 | 2.46 | - | 0.1855 | (0.01) | - | (0.04) | 1 |
| $(1,064,501)$ | - | - | 3,905,680 | 1.97 | - | 0.1672 | (0.01) | - | (0.05) | 1 |
| $(65,000)$ | - | - | 943,495 | 4.53 | 2.22 | 0.4104 | 0.05 | - | 0.15 | 1 |
| $(70,911)$ | - | - | 1,084,086 | 5.21 | 0.22 | 0.4485 | 0.08 | - | 0.24 | 1 |
| $(116,882)$ | - | - | 1,339,387 | 4.79 | 0.02 | 0.3918 | (0.01) | - | (0.02) | 1 |
| $(115,299)$ | - | - | 1,148,720 | 6.31 | 99.84 | 0.4194 | 0.04 | - | 0.10 |  |
| $(60,625)$ | - | - | 1,259,047 | 7.50 | - | 0.4755 | 0.05 | - | 0.14 |  |
| $(140,500)$ | - | - | 1,430,293 | 4.41 | - | 0.3250 | (0.06) | - | (0.15) |  |
| $(43,517)$ | - | - | 318,845 | 3.06 | 4.48 | 0.1451 | (0.02) | - | (0.12) |  |
| $(58,422)$ | - | - | 280,213 | 1.90 | 1.06 | 0.0665 | (0.08) | - | (0.52) |  |
| $(72,322)$ | - | - | 135,505 | 2.26 | 5.75 | 0.1190 | 0.05 | - | 0.73 |  |
| $(426,362)$ | - | - | 2,457,073 | 3.06 | 11.05 | 0.2226 | (0.01) | - | (0.02) |  |
| $(235,500)$ | - | - | 2,401,439 | 2.86 | 11.19 | 0.2192 | - | - | 0.02 |  |
| $(429,433)$ | - | - | 2,457,601 | 3.05 | 10.22 | 0.2179 | - | - | - |  |
| $(87,759)$ | 404 | 74,747 | 5,288,083 | 3.26 | 10.67 | 0.2467 | - | (71.26) | 0.01 |  |
| $(27,196)$ | 763 | 76,321 | 5,327,016 | 2.98 | 12.67 | 0.2260 | (0.01) | (67.01) | (0.04) |  |
| $(49,124)$ | - | - | 5,115,163 | 2.91 | 11.56 | 0.2543 | 0.03 | - | 0.11 |  |


| County | School District Name | Year | Gov Fund Annual Debt Service | Gov Funds Total Revenue Paying Debt Service | Gen Fund Total Assets | Gen Fund Total Liabilities | Fund Balance of the General Fund | Gen Fund Total Revenue | Gen Fund Total Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Morgan | Weldon Valley RE-20(J) | 2007 | 80,375 | 110,414 | 2,052,476 | 174,333 | 1,878,143 | 2,327,111 | 1,915,195 |
| Weld | School District | 2008 | 79,475 | 119,667 | 1,851,235 | 230,285 | 1,620,950 | 2,525,466 | 2,045,057 |
|  |  | 2009 | 78,530 | 95,437 | 2,106,900 | 231,573 | 1,875,327 | 2,421,268 | 2,200,872 |
| Otero | Cheraw 31 School District Otero County 31 | 2007 | - | - | 780,679 | 214,679 | 566,000 | 2,309,592 | 2,254,419 |
|  |  | 2008 | 33,535 | 770,756 | 906,182 | 223,342 | 682,840 | 2,477,910 | 2,288,198 |
|  |  | 2009 | 33,535 | 2,517,692 | 881,948 | 232,419 | 649,529 | 2,517,112 | 2,467,397 |
| Otero | East Otero R-1 School District | 2007 | 613,394 | 1,723,676 | 3,491,678 | 808,846 | 2,682,832 | 10,136,102 | 9,059,133 |
|  |  | 2008 | 607,994 | 2,243,770 | 4,183,017 | 902,630 | 3,280,387 | 10,692,951 | 8,774,635 |
|  |  | 2009 | 495,040 | 1,390,002 | 4,220,090 | 865,552 | 3,354,538 | 11,283,174 | 9,384,744 |
| Otero | Rocky Ford R-2 School District | 2007 | 122,836 | 6,886,986 | 2,795,759 | 682,373 | 2,113,386 | 6,442,336 | 6,340,163 |
|  |  | 2008 | 122,875 | 7,350,608 | 2,451,586 | 654,644 | 1,796,942 | 6,544,334 | 6,460,778 |
|  |  | 2009 | 122,836 | 7,146,950 | 2,172,968 | 672,323 | 1,500,645 | 7,113,988 | 7,170,335 |
| Otero | Swink 33 School District | 2007 | 70,350 | 22,004 | 1,966,406 | 301,101 | 1,665,305 | 3,188,487 | 2,873,931 |
|  | Otero County 33 | 2008 | 113,807 | 190,190 | 2,082,907 | 292,361 | 1,790,546 | 3,279,714 | 3,014,004 |
|  |  | 2009 | 261,812 | 193,645 | 1,917,419 | 303,185 | 1,614,234 | 3,509,705 | 3,564,017 |
| Ouray | Ouray R-1 School District | 2007 | 202,148 | 188,296 | 1,277,533 | 220,140 | 1,057,393 | 2,756,104 | 2,518,124 |
|  |  | 2008 | 189,789 | 200,230 | 1,433,754 | 251,386 | 1,182,368 | 2,919,420 | 2,621,445 |
|  |  | 2009 | 191,283 | 174,972 | 1,316,802 | 270,003 | 1,046,799 | 2,994,126 | 2,923,695 |
| Ouray | Ridgway R-2 School District | 2007 | 665,788 | 4,525,203 | 1,851,659 | 260,324 | 1,591,335 | 3,634,990 | 3,220,695 |
|  | Ouray R-2 | 2008 | 639,925 | 4,399,807 | 1,744,058 | 316,565 | 1,427,493 | 3,554,021 | 3,550,238 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,346,498 | 4,740,691 | 1,614,352 | 343,794 | 1,270,558 | 3,892,104 | 3,863,547 |
| Park | Park County RE-2 School District | 2007 | 667,917 | 842,482 | 2,542,630 | 494,468 | 2,048,163 | 6,296,121 | 6,196,486 |
|  |  | 2008 | 662,273 | 670,072 | 2,256,668 | 628,462 | 1,628,208 | 6,168,188 | 6,466,459 |
|  |  | 2009 | 674,559 | 797,754 | 2,252,687 | 514,458 | 1,738,229 | 6,441,563 | 6,218,248 |
| Park | Platte Canyon 1 School District | 2007 | 904,765 | 1,063,307 | 2,666,797 | 1,364,655 | 1,302,142 | 9,593,212 | 9,147,645 |
|  |  | 2008 | 902,370 | 950,995 | 2,447,616 | 1,050,366 | 1,397,250 | 9,752,631 | 9,257,523 |
|  |  | 2009 | 902,624 | 803,589 | 2,593,550 | 1,145,773 | 1,447,777 | 10,507,594 | 9,806,510 |
| Phillips | Holyoke RE-1J School District | 2007 | 192,426 | 214,599 | 2,259,931 | 504,515 | 1,755,416 | 5,060,444 | 4,542,197 |
| Sedgwick |  | 2008 | 192,932 | 209,078 | 2,215,178 | 473,788 | 1,741,390 | 4,991,691 | 4,732,515 |
| Yuma |  | 2009 | 193,565 | 200,602 | 2,321,884 | 633,622 | 1,688,262 | 5,272,959 | 4,931,617 |
| Phillips Sedgwick | Julesburg RE-1 School District | 2007 | - | - | 1,422,378 | 238,870 | 1,183,508 | 2,614,171 | 2,405,049 |
|  |  | 2008 | - | - | 1,739,725 | 280,265 | 1,459,460 | 2,635,877 | 2,189,374 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 4,717,390 | 2,708,094 | 2,009,296 | 5,569,822 | 4,862,856 |
| Pitkin | Aspen 1 School District | 2007 | 6,153,766 | 6,236,291 | 10,137,794 | 3,084,681 | 7,053,113 | 15,889,380 | 15,647,703 |
|  |  | 2008 | 6,365,075 | 6,192,473 | 13,042,196 | 5,989,500 | 7,052,696 | 16,442,871 | 16,189,773 |
|  |  | 2009 | 6,442,581 | 9,502,227 | 11,303,339 | 3,966,039 | 7,337,302 | 17,004,253 | 16,290,964 |
| Prowers | Granada RE-1 School District | 2007 | - | - | 2,101,501 | 211,980 | 1,889,521 | 2,515,533 | 2,381,238 |
|  | Prowers County RE-1 | 2008 | - | - | 2,082,643 | 202,976 | 1,879,667 | 2,598,029 | 2,501,063 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 2,171,492 | 294,479 | 1,877,013 | 2,742,585 | 2,560,889 |
| Prowers | Holly RE-3 School District | 2007 | 54,590 | 3,115,062 | 1,658,571 | 270,004 | 1,388,567 | 3,188,042 | 2,976,673 |
|  |  | 2008 | 54,590 | 3,383,704 | 1,722,711 | 298,392 | 1,424,319 | 3,383,704 | 3,182,952 |
|  |  | 2009 | 54,590 | 3,221,394 | 1,844,128 | 292,053 | 1,552,075 | 3,221,394 | 2,983,638 |
| Prowers | Lamar RE-2 School District | 2007 | 541,067 | 1,242,121 | 3,012,815 | 995,683 | 2,017,132 | 11,269,365 | 11,018,845 |
|  |  | 2008 | 365,518 | 672,361 | 3,027,692 | 1,128,357 | 1,899,335 | 11,716,561 | 10,985,225 |
|  |  | 2009 | 369,261 | 567,395 | 3,284,006 | 1,350,802 | 1,933,204 | 11,152,589 | 10,307,879 |
| Pueblo | Pueblo City Schools | 2007 | 8,094,675 | 119,048,913 | 21,352,963 | 12,771,299 | 8,581,664 | 101,399,888 | 102,622,717 |
|  |  | 2008 | 10,692,172 | 119,125,784 | 23,743,918 | 12,258,412 | 11,485,506 | 122,531,349 | 116,018,184 |
|  |  | 2009 | 10,899,144 | 134,762,208 | 27,155,343 | 15,826,166 | 11,329,177 | 125,439,191 | 121,227,729 |
| Pueblo | Pueblo County Rural 70 | 2007 | 7,369,020 | 62,540,928 | 17,817,343 | 8,636,795 | 9,180,548 | 53,900,701 | 52,301,722 |
|  | School District | 2008 | 8,736,389 | 66,048,651 | 19,955,172 | 10,632,874 | 9,322,298 | 57,378,915 | 62,610,816 |
|  |  | 2009 | 7,979,631 | 72,454,471 | 15,331,505 | 10,338,690 | 4,992,815 | 59,271,184 | 62,456,909 |
| Rio Blanco | Meeker RE1 School District | 2007 | - | - | 3,094,061 | 529,613 | 2,564,448 | 4,977,821 | 4,969,109 |
|  | Rio Blanco County RE-1 | 2008 | 33,640 | 5,376,944 | 3,260,132 | 608,800 | 2,651,332 | 5,376,944 | 5,290,060 |
|  |  | 2009 | 504,313 | 2,222,445 | 3,295,957 | 670,609 | 2,625,348 | 5,587,290 | 5,597,917 |
| Rio Blanco | Rangely RE-4 School District | 2007 | 618,732 | 726,307 | 1,271,979 | 443,382 | 828,597 | 4,431,700 | 4,257,061 |
|  | Rio Blanco County RE-4 | 2008 | 632,206 | 845,238 | 891,040 | 543,909 | 347,131 | 4,482,222 | 4,697,688 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,674,006 | 7,091,852 | 1,030,887 | 716,928 | 313,959 | 4,958,557 | 4,909,257 |
| Rio Blanco | South Routt RE 3 School District | 2007 | 737,790 | 931,566 | 631,411 | 355,735 | 275,677 | 3,831,350 | 3,652,257 |
| Routt |  | 2008 | 781,487 | 5,155,582 | 759,294 | 419,950 | 339,344 | 4,251,165 | 4,003,259 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,254,713 | 6,387,582 | 1,516,838 | 400,077 | 1,116,761 | 5,247,541 | 4,431,347 |
| Rio Grande | Del Norte C-7 School District | 2007 | 420,310 | 5,546,085 | 984,004 | 644,759 | 339,245 | 4,752,100 | 4,716,566 |
|  |  | 2008 | 399,073 | 5,331,518 | 1,101,131 | 642,704 | 458,427 | 4,840,131 | 4,643,449 |
|  |  | 2009 | 399,073 | 5,374,866 | 1,338,813 | 542,928 | 795,885 | 4,881,045 | 4,639,451 |
| Rio Grande | Monte Vista C-8 School District | 2007 | 369,538 | 584,292 | 1,802,649 | 930,442 | 872,207 | 7,972,452 | 7,845,141 |
|  |  | 2008 | 362,045 | 758,207 | 1,534,024 | 846,499 | 687,525 | 7,934,489 | 8,068,973 |
|  |  | 2009 | 362,044 | 950,491 | 2,025,686 | 1,024,930 | 1,000,756 | 8,263,599 | 7,853,868 |
| Routt | Hayden RE-1 School District | 2007 | - | - | 1,037,084 | 520,586 | 516,498 | 4,658,323 | 4,449,130 |

Source: Analysis performed by the Office of the State Auditor, Local Government Audit Division using data from audited financial statements submitted by school districts.

|  |  | Total Revenue in |  | Ratio 1 | Ratio 2 | Ratio 3 | Ratio 4 | Ratio 5 | Ratio 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interfund <br> Transfer Net | Deficit Fund Balance | Deficit Fund Balance | Gen Fund Prior Year Fund Bal | ASR <br> Ratio | DBR Ratio | ORR <br> Ratio | OMR <br> Ratio | DFBR <br> Ratio | CFBR <br> Ratio |  |
| $(139,000)$ | - | - | 1,605,227 | 11.77 | 1.37 | 0.9143 | 0.12 | - | 0.17 |  |
| $(737,602)$ | - | - | 1,878,143 | 8.04 | 1.51 | 0.5825 | (0.10) | - | (0.14) |  |
| $(61,019)$ | - | - | 1,715,950 | 9.10 | 1.22 | 0.8291 | 0.07 | - | 0.09 |  |
| $(102,653)$ | - | - | 613,480 | 3.64 | - | 0.2401 | (0.02) | - | (0.08) |  |
| $(72,872)$ | - | - | 566,000 | 4.06 | 22.98 | 0.2892 | 0.05 | - | 0.21 |  |
| $(83,026)$ | - | - | 682,840 | 3.79 | 75.08 | 0.2547 | (0.01) | - | (0.05) |  |
| $(1,356,161)$ | - | - | 2,520,126 | 4.32 | 2.81 | 0.2576 | (0.03) | - | 0.06 |  |
| $(1,320,761)$ | - | - | 2,682,832 | 4.63 | 3.69 | 0.3249 | 0.06 | - | 0.22 |  |
| $(1,129,388)$ | - | - | 3,280,388 | 4.88 | 2.81 | 0.3191 | 0.07 | - | 0.02 |  |
| $(240,860)$ | - | - | 2,252,073 | 4.10 | 56.07 | 0.3211 | (0.02) | - | (0.06) | 2 |
| $(400,000)$ | - | - | 2,113,386 | 3.74 | 59.82 | 0.2619 | (0.05) | - | (0.15) | 2 |
| $(239,950)$ | - | - | 1,796,942 | 3.23 | 58.18 | 0.2025 | (0.04) | - | (0.16) | 2 |
| $(117,000)$ | - | - | 1,467,749 | 6.53 | 0.31 | 0.5568 | 0.06 | - | 0.13 | 1 |
| $(140,469)$ | - | - | 1,665,305 | 7.12 | 1.67 | 0.5676 | 0.04 | - | 0.08 | 1 |
| $(122,000)$ | - | - | 1,790,546 | 6.32 | 0.74 | 0.4379 | (0.05) | - | (0.10) | 1 |
| $(194,674)$ | - | - | 1,014,087 | 5.80 | 0.93 | 0.3898 | 0.02 | - | 0.04 |  |
| $(173,000)$ | - | - | 1,057,393 | 5.70 | 1.06 | 0.4231 | 0.04 | - | 0.12 |  |
| $(206,000)$ | - | - | 1,182,368 | 4.88 | 0.91 | 0.3345 | (0.05) | - | (0.11) |  |
| $(397,527)$ | 7,337 | 68,222 | 1,574,567 | 7.11 | 6.80 | 0.4398 |  | (23.22) | 0.01 | 1 |
| $(167,625)$ | - | - | 1,591,335 | 5.51 | 6.88 | 0.3840 | (0.05) | - | (0.10) | 1 |
| $(185,492)$ | - | - | 1,427,493 | 4.70 | 3.52 | 0.3138 | (0.04) | - | (0.11) | 1 |
| $(83,542)$ | - | - | 2,032,069 | 5.14 | 1.26 | 0.3261 | - | - | 0.01 |  |
| $(121,684)$ | - | - | 2,048,163 | 3.59 | 1.01 | 0.2471 | (0.07) | - | (0.21) |  |
| $(113,294)$ | - | - | 1,628,208 | 4.38 | 1.18 | 0.2745 | 0.02 | - | 0.07 |  |
| $(300,000)$ | - | - | 1,156,575 | 1.95 | 1.18 | 0.1378 | 0.02 | - | 0.13 | 1 |
| $(400,000)$ | - | - | 1,302,142 | 2.33 | 1.05 | 0.1447 | 0.01 | - | 0.07 | 1 |
| $(650,000)$ | - | - | 1,397,250 | 2.26 | 0.89 | 0.1385 | - | - | 0.04 | 1 |
| $(223,387)$ | - | - | 1,460,556 | 4.48 | 1.12 | 0.3684 | 0.06 | - | 0.20 | 1 |
| $(273,202)$ | 4,947 | 44,949 | 1,755,416 | 4.68 | 1.08 | 0.3479 | - | (38.63) | (0.01) | 1 |
| $(394,470)$ | - | - | 1,741,390 | 3.66 | 1.04 | 0.3170 | (0.01) | - | (0.03) | 1 |
| $(165,924)$ | - | - | 1,140,310 | 5.95 | - | 0.4603 | 0.02 | - | 0.04 |  |
| $(170,551)$ | - | - | 1,183,508 | 6.21 | - | 0.6184 | 0.10 | - | 0.23 |  |
| $(157,130)$ | - | - | 1,459,460 | 1.74 | - | 0.4003 | 0.10 | - | 0.38 |  |
| $(636,771)$ | - | - | 7,448,207 | 3.29 | 1.01 | 0.4331 | (0.02) | - | (0.05) |  |
| $(253,515)$ | - | - | 7,053,113 | 2.18 | 0.97 | 0.4289 | - | - | - |  |
| $(428,683)$ | - | - | 7,052,696 | 2.85 | 1.47 | 0.4388 | 0.02 | - | 0.04 |  |
| $(110,900)$ | - | - | 1,866,126 | 9.91 | - | 0.7582 | 0.01 | - | 0.01 |  |
| $(106,820)$ | - | - | 1,889,521 | 10.26 | - | 0.7208 | - | - | (0.01) |  |
| $(184,350)$ | - | - | 1,879,667 | 7.37 | - | 0.6837 | - | - | - |  |
| $(87,980)$ | - | - | 1,265,178 | 6.14 | 57.06 | 0.4531 | 0.04 | - | 0.10 |  |
| $(165,000)$ | - | - | 1,388,567 | 5.77 | 61.98 | 0.4254 | 0.01 | - | 0.03 |  |
| $(110,000)$ | - | - | 1,424,319 | 6.31 | 59.01 | 0.5017 | 0.04 | - | 0.09 |  |
| $(57,158)$ | - | - | 1,823,770 | 3.03 | 2.30 | 0.1821 | 0.02 | - | 0.11 |  |
| $(575,761)$ | - | - | 1,787,777 | 2.68 | 1.84 | 0.1643 | 0.01 | - | 0.06 |  |
| $(810,842)$ | - | - | 1,899,336 | 2.43 | 1.54 | 0.1739 | - | - | 0.02 |  |
| $(50,000)$ | - | - | 9,608,880 | 1.67 | 14.71 | 0.0836 | (0.01) | - | (0.11) |  |
| $(3,700,000)$ | - | - | 8,581,664 | 1.94 | 11.14 | 0.0959 | 0.02 | - | 0.34 |  |
| $(3,900,000)$ | - | - | 11,017,715 | 1.72 | 12.36 | 0.0905 | - | - | 0.03 |  |
| $(2,036,238)$ | - | - | 9,464,957 | 2.06 | 8.49 | 0.1690 | (0.01) | - | (0.03) | 1 |
| $(2,271,330)$ | - | - | 9,180,548 | 1.88 | 7.56 | 0.1437 | (0.13) | - | 0.02 | 1 |
| $(2,445,485)$ | - | - | 9,322,298 | 1.48 | 9.08 | 0.0769 | (0.10) | - | (0.46) | 1 |
| - | - | - | 2,555,736 | 5.84 | - | 0.5161 | - | - | - |  |
| - | - | - | 2,564,448 | 5.36 | 159.84 | 0.5012 | 0.02 | - | 0.03 |  |
| $(15,357)$ | - | - | 2,651,332 | 4.91 | 4.41 | 0.4677 | - | - | (0.01) |  |
| $(221,000)$ | - | - | 874,958 | 2.87 | 1.17 | 0.1850 | (0.01) | - | (0.05) | 1 |
| $(266,000)$ | - | - | 828,597 | 1.64 | 1.34 | 0.0699 | (0.11) | - | (0.58) | 1 |
| $(82,472)$ | - | - | 347,131 | 1.44 | 4.24 | 0.0629 | (0.01) | - | (0.10) | 1 |
| $(117,057)$ | - | - | 213,641 | 1.77 | 1.26 | 0.0731 | 0.02 | - | 0.29 |  |
| $(184,239)$ | - | - | 275,677 | 1.81 | 6.60 | 0.0810 | 0.01 | - | 0.23 |  |
| $(38,777)$ | - | - | 339,344 | 3.79 | 5.09 | 0.2498 | 0.15 | - | 2.29 |  |
| $(77,500)$ | - | - | 381,211 | 1.53 | 13.20 | 0.0708 | (0.01) | - | (0.11) |  |
| $(77,500)$ | 245 | 363,884 | 339,245 | 1.71 | 13.36 | 0.0971 | 0.02 | (1.26) | 0.35 |  |
| $(55,800)$ | 2,534 | 385,538 | 610,091 | 2.47 | 13.47 | 0.1695 | 0.04 | (2.06) | 0.30 |  |
| $(106,500)$ | - | - | 851,396 | 1.94 | 1.58 | 0.1097 | - | - | 0.02 |  |
| $(121,500)$ | - | - | 943,509 | 1.81 | 2.09 | 0.0839 | (0.03) | - | (0.27) |  |
| $(121,500)$ | - | - | 687,525 | 1.98 | 2.63 | 0.1255 | 0.03 | - | 0.46 |  |
| $(247,500)$ | - | - | 554,805 | 1.99 | - | 0.1100 | (0.01) | - | (0.07) | 2 |


| County | School District Name | Year | Gov Fund Annual Debt Service | Gov Funds Total Revenue Paying Debt Service | Gen Fund Total Assets | Gen Fund Total Liabilities | Fund Balance of the General Fund | Gen Fund Total Revenue | Gen Fund Total Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2008 | 23,544 | 4,672,991 | 856,390 | 473,973 | 382,417 | 4,672,953 | 4,512,034 |
|  |  | 2009 | 48,194 | 4,933,881 | 729,768 | 485,838 | 243,930 | 4,923,704 | 4,778,581 |
| Routt | Steamboat Springs RE-2 School District | 2007 | 2,378,250 | 3,435,771 | 9,365,323 | 2,359,593 | 7,005,730 | 19,109,785 | 17,897,336 |
|  |  | 2008 | 3,692,481 | 3,552,767 | 9,854,892 | 3,697,770 | 6,157,122 | 20,160,727 | 20,023,368 |
|  |  | 2009 | 3,537,425 | 3,508,801 | 8,609,029 | 1,990,836 | 6,618,193 | 21,303,496 | 20,285,890 |
| Saguache | Moffat 2 School District | 2007 | 175,615 | 183,727 | 931,969 | 237,758 | 694,211 | 2,920,026 | 2,921,372 |
|  |  | 2008 | 268,005 | 212,259 | 1,035,793 | 264,509 | 771,284 | 3,102,795 | 2,928,272 |
|  |  | 2009 | 174,717 | 180,791 | 1,149,482 | 360,106 | 789,376 | 2,950,477 | 2,835,394 |
| Saguache | Mountain Valley RE 1 | 2007 | - | - | 1,108,982 | 223,775 | 885,207 | 1,684,127 | 1,528,923 |
|  | School District | 2008 | - | - | 1,273,768 | 221,412 | 1,052,356 | 1,820,593 | 1,613,443 |
|  |  | 2009 | 10,991 | 1,729,739 | 1,413,624 | 223,119 | 1,190,504 | 1,729,739 | 1,551,591 |
| San Juan | Silverton 1 School District | 2007 | - | - | 1,575,592 | 91,514 | 1,484,078 | 1,148,522 | 1,096,504 |
|  |  | 2008 | - | - | 1,411,145 | 102,371 | 1,308,774 | 1,045,892 | 1,203,676 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 1,318,675 | 119,848 | 1,198,827 | 1,206,788 | 1,296,580 |
| San Miguel | Telluride R-1 School District | 2007 | 2,353,161 | 3,956,771 | 2,920,344 | 756,072 | 2,164,272 | 7,277,228 | 7,780,128 |
|  |  | 2008 | 2,338,875 | 4,623,532 | 3,043,944 | 777,836 | 2,266,108 | 8,021,103 | 7,790,603 |
|  |  | 2009 | 4,706,438 | 2,941,709 | 2,910,442 | 816,087 | 2,094,355 | 8,247,649 | 8,023,476 |
| Sedgwick | Platte Valley RE-3 School District | 2007 | 71,507 | 1,786,041 | 1,134,464 | 159,923 | 974,541 | 1,786,041 | 1,724,164 |
|  |  | 2008 | 71,506 | 1,879,612 | 1,115,198 | 183,428 | 931,770 | 1,879,612 | 1,877,115 |
|  |  | 2009 | 71,506 | 1,880,341 | 981,120 | 166,736 | 814,384 | 1,880,341 | 1,971,727 |
| Summit | Summit RE-1 School District | 2007 | 3,628,448 | 32,878,334 | 6,874,069 | 4,977,086 | 1,896,984 | 25,470,975 | 24,945,975 |
|  |  | 2008 | 7,212,761 | 7,652,756 | 6,322,104 | 4,608,550 | 1,713,554 | 26,992,635 | 26,379,721 |
|  |  | 2009 | 7,191,686 | 7,767,794 | 6,376,103 | 4,128,414 | 2,247,689 | 27,346,837 | 26,392,694 |
| Teller | Cripple Creek-Victor RE-1 School District | 2007 | 534,193 | 5,815,857 | 1,689,775 | 435,955 | 1,253,820 | 5,259,863 | 5,031,847 |
|  |  | 2008 | 778,591 | 6,022,528 | 1,631,458 | 424,892 | 1,206,566 | 5,167,293 | 4,942,687 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,281,139 | 6,208,196 | 1,619,294 | 612,518 | 1,006,776 | 5,238,695 | 5,115,426 |
| Teller | Woodland Park RE-2 | 2007 | 1,985,691 | 22,771,772 | 9,938,514 | 1,949,586 | 7,988,928 | 20,392,179 | 19,917,153 |
|  | School District | 2008 | 1,701,848 | 23,907,056 | 9,060,163 | 2,023,789 | 7,036,374 | 21,247,454 | 21,712,179 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,706,813 | 1,943,155 | 9,365,680 | 2,580,270 | 6,785,410 | 21,103,579 | 21,434,543 |
| Washington | Akron R-1 School District | 2007 | 115,003 | 3,553,486 | 1,059,672 | 378,254 | 681,418 | 3,338,695 | 3,330,810 |
|  | Washington County R-1 | 2008 | 105,393 | 4,153,556 | 1,090,408 | 388,369 | 702,039 | 3,542,953 | 3,342,332 |
|  |  | 2009 | 105,394 | 3,932,347 | 1,231,676 | 371,216 | 860,460 | 3,629,021 | 3,302,125 |
| Washington | Arickaree R-2 School District | 2007 | - | - | 1,311,684 | 113,004 | 1,198,680 | 1,458,083 | 1,254,701 |
|  |  | 2008 | - | - | 1,450,663 | 128,340 | 1,322,323 | 1,532,865 | 1,362,222 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 1,569,185 | 163,733 | 1,405,452 | 1,578,647 | 1,463,518 |
| Washington | Lone Star 101 School District | 2007 | - | - | 919,493 | 118,814 | 800,679 | 1,470,661 | 1,276,782 |
|  | Washington County 101 | 2008 | - | - | 1,084,979 | 121,819 | 963,160 | 1,400,951 | 1,220,470 |
|  |  | 2009 | - | - | 1,165,885 | 152,741 | 1,013,144 | 1,429,553 | 1,361,569 |
| Washington | Otis R-3 School District | 2007 | 72,840 | 99,599 | 925,302 | 242,402 | 682,900 | 2,322,084 | 2,233,501 |
|  |  | 2008 | 75,842 | 103,252 | 1,112,407 | 184,668 | 927,739 | 2,564,197 | 2,254,758 |
|  |  | 2009 | 73,637 | 97,738 | 1,047,348 | 412,744 | 634,604 | 2,707,808 | 2,945,543 |
| Washington | Woodlin R-104 School District | 2007 | 21,027 | 222,002 | 781,434 | 216,562 | 564,872 | 1,534,750 | 1,573,328 |
|  |  | 2008 | 12,776 | 1,243,455 | 850,220 | 278,953 | 571,267 | 1,670,628 | 1,497,711 |
|  |  | 2009 | 6,575 | 399,678 | 956,203 | 180,382 | 775,821 | 1,777,569 | 1,516,740 |
| Weld | Ault-Highland RE-9 School District | 2007 | 554,712 | 8,237,595 | 2,337,383 | 644,700 | 1,692,683 | 7,504,282 | 6,774,017 |
|  |  | 2008 | 560,507 | 9,217,230 | 2,321,930 | 708,784 | 1,613,146 | 7,568,493 | 7,196,756 |
|  |  | 2009 | 551,112 | 8,214,186 | 2,570,061 | 696,803 | 1,873,258 | 7,718,005 | 7,041,043 |
| Weld | Eaton RE-2 School District | 2007 | 943,875 | 978,414 | 2,944,334 | 1,117,001 | 1,827,333 | 10,595,725 | 9,656,432 |
|  | Weld County RE-2 | 2008 | 1,005,346 | 14,064,575 | 4,564,862 | 1,385,688 | 3,179,174 | 13,065,003 | 11,202,044 |
|  |  | 2009 | 1,061,375 | 13,821,918 | 4,933,955 | 1,292,385 | 3,641,570 | 12,861,805 | 11,829,737 |
| Weld | Gilcrest RE-1 School District | 2007 | 34,407 | 18,362 | 6,543,646 | 2,036,650 | 4,506,996 | 15,820,063 | 16,002,516 |
|  | Weld County RE-1 | 2008 | - | - | 5,334,802 | 1,950,933 | 3,383,869 | 15,874,269 | 16,488,092 |
|  |  | 2009 | 130,017 | 15,970,958 | 4,115,598 | 1,754,589 | 2,361,009 | 15,968,594 | 16,387,446 |
| Weld | Greeley 6 School District | 2007 | 10,952,045 | 132,577,998 | 26,565,868 | 11,497,635 | 15,068,233 | 118,327,498 | 116,245,377 |
|  | Greeley Evans Weld 6 | 2008 | 9,479,113 | 128,739,393 | 27,458,449 | 13,553,632 | 13,904,817 | 122,473,709 | 105,793,200 |
|  |  | 2009 | 9,831,420 | 140,872,973 | 34,359,948 | 16,772,555 | 17,587,393 | 131,116,951 | 123,570,652 |
| Weld | Pawnee RE-12 School District | 2007 | 64,970 | 105,561 | 1,508,386 | 140,123 | 1,368,263 | 1,640,075 | 1,597,529 |
|  |  | 2008 | 68,170 | 116,348 | 1,522,209 | 147,432 | 1,374,777 | 1,763,712 | 1,679,080 |
|  |  | 2009 | 66,160 | 89,021 | 1,669,636 | 142,697 | 1,526,939 | 1,900,093 | 1,662,431 |
| Weld | Platte Valley RE-7 School District | 2007 | 2,064,378 | 2,403,044 | 3,893,899 | 1,192,572 | 2,701,327 | 9,482,148 | 8,836,979 |
|  |  | 2008 | 2,045,045 | 2,358,687 | 4,061,168 | 1,199,600 | 2,861,568 | 9,878,075 | 9,560,866 |
|  |  | 2009 | 2,040,575 | 2,116,205 | 3,597,605 | 992,679 | 2,604,926 | 9,820,865 | 9,891,113 |
| Weld | Windsor RE-4 School District | 2007 | 4,033,566 | 28,210,119 | 6,742,919 | 4,190,204 | 2,552,715 | 23,041,670 | 20,866,618 |
|  | Weld County RE-4 | 2008 | 4,560,701 | 33,340,203 | 7,969,120 | 4,811,644 | 3,157,476 | 26,627,800 | 23,319,112 |
|  |  | 2009 | 7,294,140 | 35,501,052 | 8,769,496 | 5,259,527 | 3,509,969 | 28,272,485 | 25,252,121 |

[^4]|  |  | To |  | Ratio 1 | Ratio 2 | Ratio 3 | Ratio 4 | Ratio 5 | Ratio 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Interfund } \\ & \text { Transfer Net } \end{aligned}$ | Deficit Fund Balance | Deficit Fund Balance | Gen Fund Prior Year Fund Bal | ASR <br> Ratio | DBR Ratio | ORR <br> Ratio | OMR <br> Ratio | DFBR <br> Ratio | CFBR <br> Ratio |  |
| $(295,000)$ | - | - | 516,498 | 1.81 | 198.48 | 0.0796 | (0.03) | - | (0.26) | 2 |
| $(283,610)$ | - | - | 382,417 | 1.50 | 102.38 | 0.0482 | (0.03) | - | (0.36) | 2 |
| $(39,845)$ | - | - | 6,625,375 | 3.97 | 1.44 | 0.3906 | 0.06 | - | 0.06 |  |
| $(985,967)$ | - | - | 7,005,730 | 2.67 | 0.96 | 0.2931 | (0.04) | - | (0.12) |  |
| $(557,235)$ | - | - | 6,157,122 | 4.32 | 0.99 | 0.3175 | 0.02 | - | 0.07 |  |
| $(98,473)$ | - | - | 794,030 | 3.92 | 1.05 | 0.2299 | (0.03) | - | (0.13) |  |
| $(97,450)$ | - | - | 694,211 | 3.92 | 0.79 | 0.2549 | 0.02 | - | 0.11 |  |
| $(96,991)$ | - | - | 771,284 | 3.19 | 1.03 | 0.2692 | 0.01 | - | 0.02 |  |
| $(40,000)$ | - | - | 770,003 | 4.96 | - | 0.5642 | 0.07 | - | 0.15 |  |
| $(40,000)$ | - | - | 885,206 | 5.75 | - | 0.6365 | 0.09 | - | 0.19 |  |
| $(40,000)$ | - | - | 1,052,356 | 6.34 | 157.38 | 0.7480 | 0.08 | - | 0.13 |  |
| $(50,000)$ | - | - | 1,482,060 | 17.22 | - | 1.2944 | - | - | - |  |
| $(17,520)$ | - | - | 1,484,078 | 13.78 | - | 1.0717 | (0.17) | - | (0.12) |  |
| $(20,155)$ | - | - | 1,308,774 | 11.00 | - | 0.9105 | (0.09) | - | (0.08) |  |
| 278,439 | - | - | 2,570,781 | 3.86 | 1.68 | 0.2885 | (0.03) | - | (0.16) |  |
| 67,596 | - | - | 2,164,276 | 3.91 | 1.98 | 0.2934 | 0.04 | - | 0.05 |  |
| $(395,926)$ | - | - | 2,266,108 | 3.57 | 0.63 | 0.2488 | (0.02) | - | (0.08) |  |
| $(81,000)$ | - | - | 993,664 | 7.09 | 24.98 | 0.5399 | (0.01) | - | (0.02) | 2 |
| $(79,368)$ | - | - | 974,541 | 6.08 | 26.29 | 0.4762 | (0.04) | - | (0.04) | 2 |
| $(26,000)$ | - | - | 931,770 | 5.88 | 26.30 | 0.4077 | (0.06) | - | (0.13) | 2 |
| $(385,002)$ | - | - | 1,756,986 | 1.38 | 9.06 | 0.0749 | 0.01 | - | 0.08 |  |
| $(796,344)$ | - | - | 1,896,984 | 1.37 | 1.06 | 0.0631 | (0.01) | - | (0.10) |  |
| $(420,008)$ | - | - | 1,713,554 | 1.54 | 1.08 | 0.0838 | 0.02 | - | 0.31 |  |
| $(292,488)$ | - | - | 1,318,292 | 3.88 | 10.89 | 0.2355 | (0.01) | - | (0.05) | 1 |
| $(271,860)$ | - | - | 1,253,820 | 3.84 | 7.74 | 0.2314 | (0.01) | - | (0.04) | 1 |
| $(323,059)$ | - | - | 1,206,566 | 2.64 | 4.85 | 0.1851 | (0.04) | - | (0.17) | 1 |
| - | - | - | 7,513,903 | 5.10 | 11.47 | 0.4011 | 0.02 | - | 0.06 |  |
| $(20,000)$ | - | - | 7,730,938 | 4.48 | 14.05 | 0.3238 | (0.02) | - | (0.09) |  |
| $(20,000)$ | - | - | 7,036,374 | 3.63 | 1.14 | 0.3163 | (0.02) | - | (0.04) |  |
| $(40,000)$ | - | - | 712,657 | 2.80 | 30.90 | 0.2022 | (0.01) | - | (0.04) |  |
| $(180,000)$ | - | - | 681,418 | 2.81 | 39.41 | 0.1993 | 0.01 | - | 0.03 |  |
| $(168,475)$ | - | - | 702,039 | 3.32 | 37.31 | 0.2479 | 0.04 | - | 0.23 |  |
| $(65,000)$ | - | - | 1,060,298 | 11.61 | - | 0.9083 | 0.09 | - | 0.13 |  |
| $(47,000)$ | - | - | 1,198,680 | 11.30 | - | 0.9383 | 0.08 | - | 0.10 |  |
| $(32,000)$ | - | - | 1,322,323 | 9.58 | - | 0.9398 | 0.05 | - | 0.06 |  |
| $(12,000)$ | - | - | 618,800 | 7.74 | - | 0.6213 | 0.12 | - | 0.29 |  |
| $(18,000)$ | - | - | 800,679 | 8.91 | - | 0.7777 | 0.12 | - | 0.20 |  |
| $(18,000)$ | - | - | 963,160 | 7.63 | - | 0.7344 | 0.03 | - | 0.05 |  |
| $(87,228)$ | - | - | 681,545 | 3.82 | 1.37 | 0.2943 | - | - | - |  |
| $(64,600)$ | - | - | 682,900 | 6.02 | 1.36 | 0.4000 | 0.10 | - | 0.36 |  |
| $(55,400)$ | - | - | 927,739 | 2.54 | 1.33 | 0.2115 | (0.11) | - | (0.32) |  |
| $(44,093)$ | - | - | 434,135 | 3.61 | 10.56 | 0.3492 | (0.05) | - | 0.30 |  |
| $(166,522)$ | - | - | 564,872 | 3.05 | 97.33 | 0.3433 | - | - | 0.01 |  |
| $(56,275)$ | - | - | 571,267 | 5.30 | 60.79 | 0.4932 | 0.12 | - | 0.36 |  |
| $(450,600)$ | - | - | 1,413,018 | 3.63 | 14.85 | 0.2343 | 0.04 | - | 0.20 |  |
| $(451,274)$ | - | - | 1,692,683 | 3.28 | 16.44 | 0.2109 | (0.01) | - | (0.05) |  |
| $(416,850)$ | - | - | 1,613,146 | 3.69 | 14.90 | 0.2512 | 0.03 | - | 0.16 |  |
| $(693,000)$ | - | - | 1,581,040 | 2.64 | 1.04 | 0.1766 | 0.02 | - | 0.16 |  |
| $(695,500)$ | - | - | 1,827,333 | 3.29 | 13.99 | 0.2672 | 0.09 | - | 0.74 |  |
| $(770,000)$ | - | - | 3,179,173 | 3.82 | 13.02 | 0.2890 | 0.02 | - | 0.15 |  |
| $(988,278)$ | - | - | 5,677,727 | 3.21 | 0.53 | 0.2653 | (0.07) | - | (0.21) | 2 |
| $(509,304)$ | - | - | 4,506,996 | 2.73 | - | 0.1991 | (0.07) | - | (0.25) | 2 |
| $(604,008)$ | - | - | 3,383,869 | 2.35 | 122.84 | 0.1390 | (0.06) | - | (0.30) | 2 |
| (3,293,052) | - | - | 16,279,164 | 2.31 | 12.11 | 0.1261 | (0.01) | - | (0.07) |  |
| $(17,097,148)$ | - | - | 15,068,233 | 2.03 | 13.58 | 0.1131 | - | - | (0.08) |  |
| $(3,863,723)$ | - | - | 13,904,817 | 2.05 | 14.33 | 0.1380 | 0.03 | - | 0.26 |  |
| $(76,100)$ | - | - | 1,401,817 | 10.76 | 1.62 | 0.8175 | (0.02) | - | (0.02) |  |
| $(93,300)$ | - | - | 1,368,263 | 10.32 | 1.71 | 0.7757 | - | - | - |  |
| $(85,500)$ | - | - | 1,374,777 | 11.70 | 1.35 | 0.8736 | 0.08 | - | 0.11 |  |
| $(122,069)$ | - | - | 2,178,227 | 3.27 | 1.16 | 0.3015 | 0.06 | - | 0.24 | 1 |
| $(156,968)$ | - | - | 2,701,327 | 3.39 | 1.15 | 0.2945 | 0.02 | - | 0.06 | 1 |
| $(186,394)$ | - | - | 2,861,568 | 3.62 | 1.04 | 0.2585 | (0.03) | - | (0.09) | 1 |
| $(2,106,216)$ | - | - | 2,483,879 | 1.61 | 6.99 | 0.1111 | - | - | 0.03 |  |
| $(2,703,927)$ | - | - | 2,552,715 | 1.66 | 7.31 | 0.1213 | 0.02 | - | 0.24 |  |
| $(3,186,772)$ | - | - | 3,157,476 | 1.67 | 4.87 | 0.1234 | (0.01) | - | 0.11 |  |

## Appendix D

School District Fiscal Health Analysis
Colorado School District Fiscal Health Data 2007 through 2009

| County | School District Name | Year | Gov Fund Annual Debt Service | Gov Funds Total Revenue Paying Debt Service | Gen Fund Total Assets | Gen Fund Total Liabilities | Fund Balance of the General Fund | Gen Fund Total Revenue | Gen Fund Total Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yuma | Wray RD-2 School District | 2007 | 633,869 | 6,577,867 | 2,470,473 | 550,393 | 1,920,080 | 5,682,294 | 5,198,173 |
|  |  | 2008 | 697,056 | 6,643,164 | 2,480,161 | 509,740 | 1,970,421 | 5,728,312 | 5,392,971 |
|  |  | 2009 | 732,981 | 6,760,358 | 2,572,355 | 587,317 | 1,985,038 | 5,862,449 | 5,714,035 |
| Yuma | Yuma 1 School District | 2007 | 857,004 | 7,645,203 | 4,536,976 | 853,996 | 3,682,980 | 6,783,578 | 6,280,691 |
|  |  | 2008 | 847,695 | 9,383,618 | 5,903,629 | 878,559 | 5,025,070 | 8,561,547 | 6,851,348 |
|  |  | 2009 | 826,663 | 9,779,097 | 5,749,711 | 797,519 | 4,952,192 | 8,963,681 | 8,351,904 |


|  |  |  |  | Ratio 1 | Ratio 2 | Ratio 3 | Ratio 4 | Ratio 5 | Ratio 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interfund Transfer Net | Deficit Fund Balance | Deficit Fund Balance | Gen Fund Prior Year Fund Bal | ASR <br> Ratio | DBR Ratio | ORR <br> Ratio | OMR <br> Ratio | DFBR <br> Ratio | CFBR <br> Ratio |  |
| $(277,000)$ | - | - | 1,712,959 | 4.49 | 10.38 | 0.3507 | 0.04 | - | 0.12 |  |
| $(285,000)$ | - | - | 1,920,080 | 4.87 | 9.53 | 0.3470 | 0.01 | - | 0.03 |  |
| $(133,797)$ | - | - | 1,970,421 | 4.38 | 9.22 | 0.3394 | - | - | 0.01 |  |
| $(300,491)$ | - | - | 3,480,584 | 5.31 | 8.92 | 0.5596 | 0.03 | - | 0.06 |  |
| $(368,109)$ | - | - | 3,682,980 | 6.72 | 11.07 | 0.6960 | 0.16 | - | 0.36 |  |
| $(684,655)$ | - | - | 5,025,070 | 7.21 | 11.83 | 0.5480 | (0.01) | - | (0.01) |  |
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