## Independent Ethics Commission's Response to JBC Questions:

1. The IEC evaluates its effectiveness by the number of opinions issued in a timely manner, its prompt handling of complaints and by its success in educating covered individuals in its opinions and processes. When the IEC first met in December 2007, there was already a backlog of requests for Advisory Opinions and Letter Rulings. Although many of the requests were duplicative, and several opinions covered more than one question, there were over 70 separate pending questions that required responses. As a result of this back log, several requestors waited over six months for a response. The Commission is now able to handle almost all requests within thirty days. Because of the necessity of timely responses, the Commission meets at least once per month, and frequently meets a second time as well.

With the addition of a second staff member, the IEC has been preparing a handbook for dissemination to public employees and has been reaching out to state and local agencies with training and educational programs.

Most of the complaints received by the Commission have been outside of its jurisdiction, but the Commission has conducted two hearings in the past 18 months, and is in the process of scheduling two additional hearings this fiscal year.

The IEC had its first meeting in December 2007. The Executive Director was hired effective July 1, 2008.

| FY | $\frac{\# \text { of }}{\mathrm{Mtg}} .$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Opinions } \\ & \text { Issued } \end{aligned}$ | Complaints handled | Hearings | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CORA } \\ & \text { Requests } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Trainings | Informal <br> advice <br> calls |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FY } \\ & 09 \end{aligned}$ | 24 | 18 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 143 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline F Y \\ 10 \end{array}$ | 17 | 20 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 175 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline F Y \\ 12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 10 | 9 | 9 | $2$ <br> pending | 7 | 3 | @ 150 |

For each meeting an Agenda and Minutes must be prepared. Staff for the Commission also manages the Commission's web site and handles all budgetary and reimbursement processes for the Commission. Staff estimates that each Opinion takes an average of 14 hours of staff time to research and prepare.
2. The original Commission budget and the Executive Director's salary level were set by the General Assembly before any of the Commissioners were appointed and the nature of the IEC's work was fully appreciated. The Executive Director's position was set essentially as an administrative position, only. The Commission immediately recognized that an upgrade of the Executive Director's position was necessary. This was communicated to the Executive Director when she was offered the position. After the Commission was complete the members reviewed the budget and prepared a request for an emergency supplemental for an increase in operating funds, an increase in the legal services budget, a reallocation of the Executive Director's position to a more appropriate professional level and an additional staff position. All of these requests were approved by the JBC in September 2008. However, due to the hiring freeze, the Commission was not able to reallocate the Executive Director's position until

May, 2009, and at a lesser amount than had been budgeted by the JBC. (The legal services budget was also reduced as a result of mandatory budget cuts). The Commission was in the process of hiring the second staff member when a Department of Personnel and Administration employee was laid off and "bumped" into the Executive Director's position. The Executive Director then was reallocated downward. As a result, the Executive Director was not given the salary she was promised, and makes significantly less than the other Commission employee although she is the functional supervisor of that position.

After the Commission was transferred to the Judicial Department pursuant to statute, the Commission asked the Judicial Department to do a compensation analysis of the Executive Director's position. The attached report suggests that an appropriate salary is $\$ 53,000$ more than the Executive Director is currently earning. Because of the current fiscal situation, the Commission decided to ask the JBC to raise the Executive Director's salary by less than half of the recommended increase. Attached is a copy of the Compensation Analysis Report prepared by the Human Resources Division of the Judicial Branch. The Commission also compared the Executive Director's salary to positions within the Department of Law. Also attached is the most recent available salary survey for attorneys in the Department of Law. The current Executive Director was a First Assistant Attorney General from 2000-2003, and an Assistant Attorney General II from 1996-2000.

Because the Executive Director is an attorney, she is able to perform much of the work which otherwise would require the services of the Department
of Law. For example, if the Attorney General's Office researched and drafted the Commission's opinions, it would cost the Commission approximately $\$ 1100$ per opinion in legal costs, and would require a comparable increase in the Commission's legal services budget. The Executive Director also investigates all of the complaints, makes recommendations to the Commission and prepares the required orders. Some of those tasks would have to be performed by an attorney in the Department of Law if the Executive Director were not an experienced attorney with litigation experience. The Commission estimates that it would need an additional \$20,000-\$25,000 a year in its legal services budget if the Executive Director were not qualified to do this work.

The Commission also promulgated procedural rules in 2008 and is in the process of revising those rules. The Executive Director has taken the lead in the redrafting of those rules.
3. The Commission has a staff of two employees, one of whom is an attorney.
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## Salary Analysis

## Executive Director, Independent Ethics Commission

A request was made to analyze the Executive Director, Independent Ethics Commission position and make a salary recommendation to the Commission. This document is the result of that analysis.

Following usual practice, benchmarks for the position above were researched. Specifically, the positions of Executive Director, Judicial Discipline Commission, and Executive Director, Judicial Performance, were evaluated.

In accordance with job functions found in the Executive Director, Independent Ethics Commission (IEC) PDQ, the position manages the daily operation of the IEC. The position implements the IEC's policies, rules and regulations. The position assists with the training of state and local officials and employees throughout state. Position develops and monitors the IEC's budget and supervises the budget requests and reimbursement processes. Position is the main point of contact for state and local public officials and employees at all levels of government, and the public on ethics issues, and discusses the applicability of IEC opinions in particular situations. Position may also assist in media requests. Position is responsible for recommending rule changes to the Commission, and working with the Attorney General's Office on effecting those changes, and working with the AGO on all legal issues affecting the Commission. The position is responsible for legal research and drafting, investigations, serves as the interpretive authority, manages the administrative functions for the IEC, develops and tracks the agency budget, and researches and drafts proposed rules and policies. The Position advises the Commission on proposed changes to rules and statutes, and on policy issues as appropriate.

The Executive Director, Judicial Discipline Commission and the Executive Director, Judicial Performance are substantially similar to this position. All report directly to a Commission, with no intermediaries, and serve at the pleasure of the Commission. This reporting relationship is unique to these positions, and is not found in any other positions under the Judicial Department umbrella. Each have budgetary, administrative, and policy implementation responsibilities. Each position is responsible for implementing the policies, rules and regulations as directed by the respective Commission. The positions are the highest level of the respective organizations, and have wide discretion and significant decision making authority when running the day to day operations of the business.

Together we will reach new heights. Human Resources • Education • Interpreters

Based upon these substantial similarities in responsibility, decision making, and scope of work, as well as the unique reporting structure, these positions are appropriate benchmarks for the Executive Director, Independent Ethics Commission.

## Recommendation:

The salary for the Executive Director, Judicial Discipline Commission and the Executive Director, Judicial Performance is set at $\$ 10,716.00$. Therefore the recommendation for the Executive Director, Independent Ethics Commission is:

- Salary: \$10,716.00

Analysis completed by Marci Sannes (09/14/10).

## Attorney Salary Ranges FYo9

| Position | Grade | Ranges | Ranges Annualized | Range\% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attorney I | AA | $\$ 4,515$ to $\$ 5,147$ | $\$ 54,176$ to $\$ 61,760$ | $14 \%$ |
| Assistant AG I | A1 | $\$ 5,192$ to $\$ 7,580$ | $\$ 62,302$ to $\$ 90,961$ | $46 \%$ |
| Assistant AG II | A2 | $\$ 6,126$ to $\$ 8,944$ | $\$ 73,516$ to $\$ 107,333$ | $46 \%$ |
| 1st Assist AG | A3 | $\$ 7,229$ to $\$ 10,555$ | $\$ 86,749$ to $\$ 126,654$ | $46 \%$ |
| Deputies | A4 | $\$ 8,530$ to $\$ 12,454$ | $\$ 102,364$ to $\$ 149,451$ | $46 \%$ |

## Attorney Salary Ranges FYo8

| Position | Grade | Ranges | Ranges Annualized | Range\% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attorney I | AA | $\$ 4,366$ to $\$ 4,977$ | $\$ 52,394$ to $\$ 59,729$ | $14 \%$ |
| Assistant AG I | A1 | $\$ 5,021$ to $\$ 7,331$ | $\$ 60,254$ to $\$ 87,970$ | $46 \%$ |
| Assistant AG II | A2 | $\$ 5,925$ to $\$ 8,650$ | $\$ 71,099$ to $\$ 103,804$ | $46 \%$ |
| 1st Assist AG | A3 | $\$ 6,991$ to $\$ 10,207$ | $\$ 83,897$ to $\$ 122,490$ | $46 \%$ |
| Deputies | A4 | $\$ 8,250$ to $\$ 12,045$ | $\$ 98,998$ to $\$ 144,537$ | $46 \%$ |

## Attorney Salary Ranges FYo7

| Position | Grade $\\|$ | Ranges | Ranges Annualized | Range\% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attorney I | AA | $\$ 4,178$ to $\$ 4,763$ | $\$ 50,138$ to $\$ 57,157$ | $14 \%$ |
| Assistant AG I | A1 | $\$ 4,805$ to $\$ 7,015$ | $\$ 57,659$ to $\$ 84,182$ | $46 \%$ |
| Assistant AG II | A2 | $\$ 5,670$ to $\$ 8,278$ | $\$ 68,037$ to $\$ 99,334$ | $46 \%$ |
| 1st Assist AG | A3 | $\$ 6,690$ to $\$ 9,768$ | $\$ 80,284$ to $\$ 117,215$ | $46 \%$ |
| Deputies | A4 | $\$ 7,895$ to $\$ 11,526$ | $\$ 94,735$ to $\$ 138,313$ | $46 \%$ |

## Attorney Salary Ranges FYo6

| Position | Grade | Ranges | Ranges Annualized | Range\% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attorney I | AA | $\$ 4,178$ to $\$ 4,745$ | $\$ 50,138$ to $\$ 56,940$ | $14 \%$ |
| Assistant AG I | A1 | $\$ 4,576$ to $\$ 6,678$ | $\$ 54,913$ to $\$ 80,138$ | $46 \%$ |


| Assistant AG II | A2 | $\$ 5,509$ to $\$ 8,040$ | $\$ 66,108$ to $\$ 96,475$ | $46 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st Assist AG | A3 | $\$ 6,590$ to $\$ 9,617$ | $\$ 79,076$ to $\$ 115,400$ | $46 \%$ |
| Deputies | A4 | $\$ 7,142$ to $\$ 10,423$ | $\$ 85,703$ to $\$ 125,070$ | $46 \%$ |

## Attorney Salary Ranges FYo5

| Position | Grade | Ranges | Ranges Annualized | Range\% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attorney I | AA | $\$ 3,942$ to $\$ 4,476$ | $\$ 47,300$ to $\$ 53,717$ | $14 \%$ |
| Assistant AG I | A1 | $\$ 4,317$ to $\$ 6,300$ | $\$ 51,805$ to $\$ 75,602$ | $46 \%$ |
| Assistant AG II | A2 | $\$ 5,197$ to $\$ 7,584$ | $\$ 62,366$ to $\$ 91,014$ | $46 \%$ |
| 1st Assist AG | A3 | $\$ 6,217$ to $\$ 9,072$ | $\$ 74,600$ to $\$ 108,868$ | $46 \%$ |
| Deputies | A4 | $\$ 6,738$ to $\$ 9,833$ | $\$ 80,852$ to $\$ 117,991$ | $46 \%$ |

## Attorney Salary Ranges FYo4

| Position | Grade | Ranges | Ranges Annualized | $\\|$ Range $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attorney I | AA | $\$ 3,808$ to $\$ 4,325$ | $\$ 45,700$ to $\$ 51,900$ | $14 \%$ |
| Assistant AG I | A1 | $\$ 4,171$ to $\$ 6,087$ | $\$ 50,053$ to $\$ 73,045$ | $46 \%$ |
| Assistant AG II | A2 | $\$ 5,021$ to $\$ 7,328$ | $\$ 60,257$ to $\$ 87,936$ | $46 \%$ |
| 1st Assist AG | A3 | $\$ 6,006$ to $\$ 8,766$ | $\$ 72,077$ to $\$ 105,186$ | $46 \%$ |
| Deputies | A4 | $\$ 6,510$ to $\$ 9,500$ | $\$ 78,118$ to $\$ 114,001$ | $46 \%$ |

## Attorney Salary Ranges FYo3

| Position | Grade | Ranges | Ranges Annualized | Range\% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attorney I | AA | $\$ 3,808$ to $\$ 4,179$ | $\$ 45,700$ to $\$ 50,145$ | $10 \%$ |
| Assistant AG I | A1 | $\$ 4,171$ to $\$ 5,881$ | $\$ 50,053$ to $\$ 70,575$ | $41 \%$ |
| Assistant AG II | A2 | $\$ 5,021$ to $\$ 7,080$ | $\$ 60,257$ to $\$ 84,962$ | $41 \%$ |
| 1st Assist AG | A3 | $\$ 6,006$ to $\$ 8,469$ | $\$ 72,077$ to $\$ 101,629$ | $41 \%$ |
| Deputies | A4 | $\$ 6,510$ to $\$ 9,179$ | $\$ 78,118$ to $\$ 110,146$ | $41 \%$ |

## Attorney Salary Ranges FYoz

| Position | Grade | Ranges | Ranges Annualized | Range\% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attorney I | AA | $\$ 3,330$ to $\$ 4,057$ | $\$ 39,960$ to $\$ 48,684$ | $22 \%$ |
| Assistant AG I | A1 | $\$ 3,7191$ to $\$ 5,335$ | $\$ 45,492$ to $\$ 64,020$ | $41 \%$ |
| Assistant AG II | A2 | $\$ 4,610$ to $\$ 6,485$ | $\$ 55,320$ to $\$ 77,820$ | $41 \%$ |
| 1st Assist AG | A3 | $\$ 5,601$ to $\$ 7,878$ | $\$ 67,212$ to $\$ 94,536$ | $41 \%$ |
| Deputies | A4 | $\$ 6,485$ to $\$ 9,123$ | $\$ 77,820$ to $\$ 109,476$ | $41 \%$ |

