

Attachment A

Senate Agriculture & Natural Resources

SB21-150 Reserve Big Game Hunting Licenses For Residents

Typed Text of Testimony Submitted

Name, Position, Representing	Typed Text of Testimony
Jeff Mott Against Self	I believe the license quote for Non-Residents should be less than 33%. Most other western states with similar hunting allocate only 10%. I believe over time 10% would be a goal but 33% is too much in my opinion. It should be dropped to 16% or less in my opinion.
John Baumann Against Self	To whom it may concern: I am an avid outdoorsman and hunter who loves to hunt elk, deer , bear, ect. in CO. I have many friends who are also from WI and share the same passion I do for CO. Although it is quite expensive to travel and hunt there, we continue to do so on a regular basis. Bill SB21-150 will greatly affect our chances to follow our passion in CO, which means we will have to spend our money in a different state to chase elk, deer, bear, ect. I think it is a terrible idea to pass this bill and grossly limit the amount of income the state of CO would receive from out of state hunters. Please reconsider! Thank you.
Stephanie Kobald Against Meeker Chamber of Commerce	<p>I am writing to express concern the negative economic impact that could stem from Senate Bill 21-150.</p> <p>The provisions of Senate Bill 150 would place onerous restraints on the ability of the Division of Parks and Wildlife relating to the awarding of big game hunting licenses. Aside from significantly and negatively impacting the income the State receives from these licenses, the proposed bill would have an even more significant ripple effect that would directly hurt the rural areas in the state that are already hurting economically. Local outfitters, private landowners who lease land to non-resident hunters, and the hospitality industry (lodging and restaurants) will all be negatively impacted by this bill. When these parties have less income to spend, other local businesses and organization also stand to be negatively impacted. The loss of one-third of annual income from the non-residents who book our lodges and outfittiers during the hunting season could very well make the difference in remaining a viable business or having to close their business. So, please note, the loss of state revenue is not limited to the loss of income from hunting licenses, but also from the sales and lodging taxes that non-resident hunters and tourists help pay.</p>
Kody Schrum	After hunting the last few years in Colorado for elk it has become very evident that there is an over abundance of non residents. Being a non

<p>For Self</p>	<p>resident myself, I can speak very directly on this matter. As much as I enjoy the opportunity to hunt Colorado every year because of the ability to purchase an over the counter tag, I'd much rather there be a limit to how many tags are sold. This could very well mean I won't be able to hunt every year there because tags may sell out. But for those people who do secure one of those tags, they will have a much better hunting experience due to less hunting pressure. Waiting a couple years is an investment in my opinion. The system Idaho uses is very good for the motivated hunter. They sell a limited number of over the counter tags and if you want one, you must do your homework and know exactly when to buy it before it sells out. This attracts the serious hunters. New Mexico also has a good system where it's a complete random draw even for very sought after units. The majority of tags go to residents and the other tags get drawn for non residents in a very fair system where points don't matter. I think Colorado can do much better with the way non resident tags are dispersed and this bill is a good start to that! Thanks for your time.</p>
---------------------	--



SB-150
Josh Hall to: committees.lcs.ga

03/24/2021 02:35 PM

To whom it may concern

I'm a non resident hunter from California and after hunting in Colorado in OTC units I support this bill. The hunting pressure in all of the units that I have hunted in Colorado has been extremely high and I have had much better hunting experiences in other states that have a cap on non resident hunting licenses. I would like to see this Bill pass.

Sincerely
Josh Hall

Slide the Glide



Hunting License allotment SB21-150

anthony warman to: committees.lcs.ga 03/25/2021 05:23 PM

Please do not move forward with SB21-150. This bill will destroy the quality hunting Colorado has to offer. As a non resident I work hard all year to save money to spend in your great state. I did some math and I spent on average \$ 2,670 in Colorado alone when I am there. I understand how some residents dislike sharing the hunting offered but to punish non residents for the selfishness of residents isn't right. Colorado hunting is a family tradition in many families across this country and with the way the family units are being broken and destroyed we need those activities that will bring families together. Rarely do I ever see another hunter while in the woods. I don't know about rifle season but archery doesn't seem to have the pressure. Again please do not pass and move forward with this bill.