

Amendment Guide

Amendment 1:

Requested by Arapahoe County (except short title addition). Adds flexibility/rights to the Board of County Commissioners:

- Allows removal of disruptive or unproductive members of the independent redistricting committee.
- Allows the board to reject district maps that fail to meet statutory requirements.

Amendment 2:

Requested by the Secretary of State's office. Removes reference to a procedure no longer used by the department.

Amendment 3:

Requested by El Paso County. Clarifies that this bill does NOT raise the priority of 'competitiveness' as a redistricting criteria. It's still the lowest criteria.

Amendment 4:

Requested by the League of Women Voters. Changes the legislative declaration such that it doesn't exclude or conflict with proportional redistricting should that representation model be adopted in the future.

Amendment 5:

Requested by Weld County. Makes explicit that an elector may challenge a district map in court. This right was assumed in the case of the League of Women Voters of Weld vs Weld County. *Another amendment request from Weld county that went contrary to the intent of this this bill and the above court case decision was rejected.*

Amendment 6:

Came out of discussions with Arapahoe County. Fixes language around the competitiveness composite formula. The bill mistakenly used "vote share" instead of "margin of victory" in the formula description.

Also clarifies that additional competitiveness formulas may be used in addition to the composition margin of victory formula.