

Senate Local Government & Housing

03/05/2026 01:30 PM

SB26-109 Building Code Accessibility

Typed Text of Testimony Submitted

Name, Position, Representing	Typed Text of Testimony
jeany rush Against themselves	<p>TO: SENATE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES</p> <p>RE: SB26-109 BLDG. CODE STANDARDS/ACCESSIBLE HOUSING/PUBL.MONEY</p> <p>SPONSORS: EXUM, CUTTER, JOEPH, RICKS</p> <p>FROM: JEANY RUSH, COLORADO CONSTITUENT, 3-5-26</p> <p>VOTE: NO</p> <p>We have the ADA, Americans with Disabilities Act to create specific requirements for access/accommodations.</p> <p>Here we go again, "Covered Agencies" to develop alternative processes, even interpretations? Standards exist.</p> <p>This is another PPP, Private, Public , Partnerships, to work our systems.</p> <p>I realize we need accessible housing, which the market does have and even some minimum standards already in place.</p> <p>This legislature keeps stepping around commerce, business, construction, real estate, and more in an attempt to CREATE MORE COMPLIANCE. The market place will respond to requests, needs, but this over-subsidizing, skirting existing laws, does not encourage more development of any needed end results. It costs everyone more, and creates less demand, affordability, and puts too many new issues in front of the very industries you want cooperation from in construction, availability in markets, and more people run away. Those that come are in it for the subsidies, not the end product positive financial result.</p> <p>Long and short answer here is, this is another place where state government has gone into overdrive, or overstepped.</p>

	<p>As if the overstep was not enough, LETS SAFETY CLAUSE THE VOTERS, SO THEY CANNOT VOTE ON THE ISSUE. AFTERALL? WHY SHOULD THE TAXPAYERS HAVE A RIGHT TO VOTE ON WHAT THEY PAY FOR!???</p> <p>None of us wants to harm disabled citizens, but start by helping them, rather than the tens of thousands of illegal invaders sucking the kitty dry of funds!</p>
--	---