First Regular Session Seventy-fourth General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

REENGROSSED

This Version Includes All Amendments Adopted in the House of Introduction

LLS NO. 23-0639.01 Jerry Barry x4341

HOUSE BILL 23-1182

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

Epps and Mabrey, Bacon, Garcia, Marshall, Sharbini, Woodrow, Boesenecker, Brown, Dickson, English, Gonzales-Gutierrez, Hamrick, Jodeh, Joseph, Kipp, Lieder, Lindsay, Lindstedt, McCluskie, Michaelson Jenet, Ortiz, Parenti, Ricks, Sirota, Soper, Titone, Velasco, Vigil, Weissman, Willford, Young

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Fields and Gardner,

House Committees

Senate Committees

Judiciary

A BILL FOR AN ACT

101 CONCERNING A REQUIREMENT FOR REMOTE PUBLIC ACCESS TO 102 OBSERVE CRIMINAL COURT PROCEEDINGS.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at http://leg.colorado.gov.)

The bill requires all courts in Colorado to provide remote access for the public to observe any criminal court proceeding conducted in open court, unless the court does not have the technology available to do so or the court has ordered that the public is excluded from the proceeding. The bill also requires the court to post links on its website for the remote observation. If a court does not have the technology to allow remote

nOOSE rd Reading Unamended April 14, 2023

> Amended 2nd Reading April 13, 2023

observation to the public but later obtains such technology, the bill requires the court to comply with the bill within 90 days after obtaining the necessary technology.

1 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado: 2 **SECTION 1. Legislative declaration.** (1) The general assembly 3 finds and declares that: 4 (a) It is the public policy of the state of Colorado to maximize 5 transparency and accessibility of criminal court proceedings; 6 (b) The Colorado judicial branch increased transparency in 2020 7 when it facilitated expanded access to remote observation of criminal 8 court proceedings in every judicial district; 9 Continued access to remote court observation remains 10 inconsistent and unpredictable, both within and between jurisdictions; and 11 (d) All Coloradans benefit from consistent, predictable access to 12 observe criminal court proceedings remotely. 13 (2) Therefore, it is the intent of the general assembly to increase 14 transparency and ensure consistent, predictable statewide access for the 15 public to remotely observe criminal proceedings held in open court. 16 **SECTION 2.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, 13-1-132, add (3.5) 17 as follows: 18 13-1-132. Use of interactive audiovisual devices and 19 communication technology in court proceedings. (3.5) (a) 20 COLORADO COURTS, INCLUDING MUNICIPAL COURTS, SHALL MAKE ANY 21 CRIMINAL COURT PROCEEDING CONDUCTED IN OPEN COURT AVAILABLE 22 FOR REMOTE PUBLIC VIEWING AND LISTENING IN REAL TIME, AT NO COST 23 TO THE PUBLIC, THROUGH AN ONLINE PLATFORM, WHICH MAY INCLUDE A 24 PARTICIPATORY WEB CONFERENCING PLATFORM, AND POST PROMINENTLY

-2-

1	ON THE COURT'S WEBSITE THE LINKS FOR REMOTE OBSERVATION, UNLESS
2	(I) THE COURTROOM DOES NOT HAVE SUFFICIENT TECHNOLOGICAL
3	CAPABILITY, SUCH AS A PARTICIPATORY WEB CONFERENCING PLATFORM
4	TO MAKE SUCH PROCEEDINGS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC FOR REMOTE
5	OBSERVATION;
6	(II) MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC HAVE BEEN EXCLUDED FROM THE
7	CRIMINAL PROCEEDING BY ORDER OF THE COURT;
8	(III) TECHNOLOGY, STAFFING, OR INTERNET ISSUES LIMIT OF
9	PREVENT REMOTE OBSERVATION; OR
10	(IV) AFTER A REQUEST BY A PARTY, WITNESS, OR VICTIM, THI
11	COURT MAKES FINDINGS ON THE RECORD BASED ON THE PARTICULAR
12	FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE THAT:
13	(A) THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD REMOTE OBSERVATION
14	OF LIVE PROCEEDINGS RISKS COMPROMISING THE SAFETY OF ANY PERSON
15	THE DEFENDANT'S RIGHT TO A FAIR TRIAL, OR THE VICTIM'S RIGHTS
16	PURSUANT TO SECTION 24-4.1-302.5 (1)(a); AND
17	(B) THERE IS NO LESS RESTRICTIVE ALTERNATIVE THAT PRESERVES
18	THE PUBLIC INTEREST IN REMOTE OBSERVATION WHILE MITIGATING THE
19	IDENTIFIED RISK. AS USED IN THIS SUBSECTION (3.5)(a)(IV)(B), "LESS
20	RESTRICTIVE ALTERNATIVE" INCLUDES ALLOWING REMOTE AUDIO-ONLY
21	OBSERVATION WHILE DISABLING VIDEO OBSERVATION OR TURNING OF
22	REMOTE OBSERVATION FOR PARTICULAR WITNESSES OR DISCRETE
23	PORTIONS OF THE PROCEEDING.
24	(b) As used in this section, "RISKS COMPROMISING THE SAFETY"
25	INCLUDE RISKS TO PHYSICAL AND EMOTIONAL SAFETY, INTIMIDATION, ANI
26	HARASSMENT.
2.7	(c) WHEN ALLOWING REMOTE OBSERVATION, COURTS SHALL TAKE

-3-

1	REASONABLE STEPS TO ENSURE THERE IS NO AUDIO OR VISUAL RECORDING,
2	INCLUDING PHOTOGRAPHY OR SCREENSHOTS OF THE PROCEEDINGS,
3	WITHOUT EXPLICIT PERMISSION OF THE COURT. AS USED IN THIS
4	SUBSECTION (3.5)(c), "REASONABLE STEPS" MUST INCLUDE AN ON-SCREEN
5	WARNING THAT ANY RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS WITHOUT A COURT
6	ORDER, INCLUDING ANY SCREEN CAPTURE, PHOTOGRAPH, OR AUDIOVISUAL
7	RECORDING, IS PROHIBITED. "REASONABLE STEPS" MAY ALSO INCLUDE THE
8	COURT ISSUING AN ADDITIONAL VERBAL OR ON-SCREEN WARNING AND
9	PROHIBITING REMOTE OBSERVATION BY SPECIFIC INDIVIDUALS WHOM THE
10	COURT HAS REASON TO BELIEVE MAY VIOLATE THIS RULE.
11	(d) When allowing remote observation, courts shall take
12	REASONABLE STEPS TO ENSURE NO AUDIO OR VISUAL TRANSMISSION OF
13	PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATIONS OCCURS. TO HELP
14	FACILITATE PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATIONS IN THE
15	COURTROOM, COURTS SHALL NOTIFY PARTIES AND ATTORNEYS OF THE
16	LOCATION OF MICROPHONES AND ANY ABILITY TO MUTE MICROPHONES. IF
17	THE COURT BECOMES AWARE THAT SUCH TRANSMISSIONS OCCURRED, THE
18	COURT SHALL WORK WITH THE PARTIES AND ATTORNEYS TO PREVENT
19	REOCCURRENCE.
20	(e) If a proceeding subject to a sequestration order is
21	MADE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC REMOTE OBSERVATION, THE COURT SHALL
22	TAKE REASONABLE STEPS TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE
23	SEQUESTRATION ORDER AND ENSURE THE RIGHT TO A FAIR TRIAL, WHICH
24	MAY INCLUDE:
25	(I) ANNOUNCING THE SEQUESTRATION ORDER AS FREQUENTLY AS
26	NECESSARY IN OPEN COURT;
27	(II) REQUIRING OBSERVERS TO IDENTIFY THEMSELVES TO ENSURE

-4- 1182

1	NONE ARE POTENTIAL WITNESSES AND THAT ANY OBSERVERS WHO ARE
2	FORBIDDEN FROM DISCUSSING THE CASE WITH WITNESSES KNOW OF THAT
3	OBLIGATION;
4	(III) AT THE REQUEST OF A PARTY, ALLOWING THE COURT OR
5	PARTY TO INQUIRE OF ANY WITNESS, WHILE UNDER OATH AND OUTSIDE
6	THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, IF THE WITNESS WATCHED ANY PORTION OF
7	THE PROCEEDING OR DISCUSSED ANY PORTION OF THE PROCEEDING WITH
8	ANYONE PRIOR TO TESTIFYING; AND
9	(IV) TERMINATING REMOTE OBSERVATION TO PROTECT THE
10	PARTIES' RIGHT TO A FAIR TRIAL OR TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE
11	SEQUESTRATION ORDER.
12	(f) FOR CRIMINAL COURTS THAT DO NOT HAVE SUFFICIENT
13	EXISTING STAFF OR TECHNOLOGICAL CAPABILITIES TO MAKE PROCEEDINGS
14	AVAILABLE FOR REMOTE OBSERVATION AS OF THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS
15	SUBSECTION (3.5), IF THE COURT SUBSEQUENTLY OBTAINS SUCH STAFF
16	AND CAPABILITIES, THE COURT MUST COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF
17	THIS SECTION WITHIN NINETY DAYS AFTER OBTAINING SUCH STAFF AND
18	CAPABILITIES.
19	SECTION 3. In Colorado Revised Statutes, amend 13-10-103 as
20	follows:
21	13-10-103. Applicability. This article 10 applies to and governs
22	the operation of municipal courts in the cities and towns of this state.
23	Except for the provisions relating to the method of salary payment for
24	municipal judges, the incarceration of children pursuant to sections
25	19-2.5-305 and 19-2.5-1511, the appearance of the parent, guardian, or
26	lawful custodian of any child under eighteen years of age who is charged
2.7	with a municipal offense as required by section 13-10-111, the right to a

-5- 1182

1 trial by jury for petty offenses pursuant to section 16-10-109, relief from 2 improperly entered guilty pleas pursuant to section 18-1-410.6, MAKING 3 CRIMINAL COURT PROCEEDING CONDUCTED IN OPEN COURT AVAILABLE 4 FOR REMOTE PUBLIC VIEWING AND LISTENING IN REAL TIME, rules of 5 procedure promulgated by the supreme court, and appellate procedure, 6 this article 10 may be superseded by charter or ordinance enacted by a 7 home rule city. 8 **SECTION 4.** Act subject to petition - effective date. This act 9 takes effect September 1, 2023; except that, if a referendum petition is 10 filed pursuant to section 1 (3) of article V of the state constitution against 11 this act or an item, section, or part of this act within the ninety-day period 12 after final adjournment of the general assembly, then the act, item, 13 section, or part will not take effect unless approved by the people at the 14 general election to be held in November 2024 and, in such case, will take 15 effect on the date of the official declaration of the vote thereon by the 16

governor.

1182 -6-